



Environmental Protection Authority

EPA Referral Form

Form for the referral of a proposal to the Environmental Protection Authority under Section 38 of the *Environmental Protection Act 1986*

Referrer Information			
Who is referring this proposal?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Proponent <input type="checkbox"/> Decision-making authority <input type="checkbox"/> Community member/third party	
Name Daniel Kalms		Signature	
Position	SVP Scarborough	Organisation	Woodside Energy Ltd
Email	feedback@woodside.com.au		
Address	11	Mount Street	
	Perth	WA	6000
Date	12/12/18		
Does the referrer request that the EPA treat any part of the proposal information in the referral as confidential? <i>Provide confidential information in a separate attachment.</i>		<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
Referral declaration for organisations, proponents and decision-making authorities: I, <u>DANIEL KALMS</u> , (full name) declare that I am authorised to refer this proposal on behalf of <u>WOODSIDE ENERGY LTD</u> and further declare that the information contained in this form is true and not misleading.			
Part A: Proponent and proposal description			
Proponent Information			
Name of the proponent/s (including Trading Name if relevant)		Woodside Energy Ltd (Woodside) for and on behalf of the Scarborough Joint Venture (SJV) consisting of Woodside and BHP Billiton Petroleum (North West Shelf) Pty Ltd (BHP NWS)	
Australian Company Number(s) OR Australian Business Number(s)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	Woodside ACN - 005 482 986 BHP NWS ACN - 004 514 489	

<p>Contact for the proposal (if different from the referrer)</p> <p><i>Please include: name, physical address, phone, and email.</i></p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>Tegan Box Scarborough Development 11 Mount street, Perth, WA, 6000 feedback@woodside.com.au 1800 442 977</p>
<p>Does the proponent have the legal access required for the implementation of all aspects of the proposal?</p> <p><i>If yes, provide details of legal access authorisations / agreements / tenure.</i></p> <p><i>If no, what authorisations / agreements / tenure is required and from whom?</i></p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>Those as detailed in part C of this form.</p>
<p>Proposal type</p>	
<p>What type of proposal is being referred?</p> <p>For a change to an approved proposal please state the Ministerial Statement number/s (MS No./s) of the approved proposal</p> <p>For a derived proposal please state the Ministerial Statement number (MS No.) of the associated strategic proposal</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> significant – new proposal</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> significant – change to approved proposal (MS No./s: _____)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> proposal under an assessed planning scheme</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> strategic</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> derived (Strategic MS No.: _____)</p>
<p>For a significant proposal:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Why do you consider the proposal may have a significant effect on the environment and warrant referral to the EPA? 	<p>Significant work has been completed by Woodside to understand the environment context of the nearshore component of the Scarborough Development located in the Dampier Archipelago. Previous work completed for the Pluto trunkline and the well documented key environmental constraints in the region means the potential environmental impacts and risks are well understood. As detailed in the Referral Supplementary Report, it is considered that the proposal will meet the EPA objectives for each key environmental factor considered.</p>
<p>For a proposal under an assessed planning scheme, provide the following details:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Scheme name and number <p>For the Responsible Authority:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> What new environmental issues are raised by the proposal that were not assessed during the assessment of the planning scheme? How does the proposal not comply with the assessed scheme and/or the environmental conditions in the assessed planning scheme? 	<p>Not applicable</p>
<p>Proposal description</p>	
<p>Title of the proposal</p>	<p>Scarborough Project – Nearshore Component</p>
<p>Name of the Local Government Authority in which the proposal is located.</p>	<p>City of Karratha</p>

<p>Location:</p> <p>a) street address, lot number, suburb, and nearest road intersection; or</p> <p>b) if remote the nearest town and distance and direction from that town to the proposal site.</p>	<p>Seabed of the Port of Dampier out to the three-nautical mile State territorial limit.</p> <p>The shore crossing site is located on Crown allotment, lease, Lot 574 Mof Road, Burrup (City of Karratha). Land zoned as 'Strategic Industry' (City of Karratha Town Planning Scheme No.8). This site is 8 kilometres north east of Dampier Port.</p>
<p>Proposal description – including the key characteristics of the proposal</p> <p><i>Provide as an attachment to the form</i></p>	<p>Refer to Chapter 2 of the Referral Supplementary Report</p>
<p>Have you provided electronic spatial data, maps and figure in the appropriate format?</p> <p><i>Refer to instructions at the front of the form</i></p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>
<p>What is the current land use on the property, and the extent (area in hectares) of the property?</p>	<p>Seabed is within Pilbara Port Authority limits (Port of Dampier).</p> <p>The shore crossing site is located adjacent to the Pluto LNG facility on land zoned as 'Strategic Industry' (City of Karratha Town Planning Scheme No.8).</p>
<p>Have you had pre-referral discussions with the EPA at DWER Services? If so, quote the reference number and/or the DWER contact.</p>	<p>Yes, initial discussions with the WA EPA (Anthony Sutton - Executive Director EPA Services) and the Commonwealth Department of Environment and Energy (DoEE) (Matt Whitting – Assistant Director Major Projects West Section – Environmental Standards Division DoEE) have been held.</p>
<p>Part B: Environmental impacts</p>	
<p>Environmental factors</p>	
<p>What are the likely significant environmental factors for this proposal?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Benthic Communities and Habitat <input type="checkbox"/> Coastal Processes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Marine Environmental Quality <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Marine Fauna <input type="checkbox"/> Flora and Vegetation <input type="checkbox"/> Landforms <input type="checkbox"/> Subterranean Fauna <input type="checkbox"/> Terrestrial Environmental Quality <input type="checkbox"/> Terrestrial Fauna <input type="checkbox"/> Inland Waters <input type="checkbox"/> Air Quality <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Social Surroundings <input type="checkbox"/> Human Health
<p><i>For each of the environmental factors identified above, complete the following table, or provide the information in a supplementary report</i></p>	
<p>Potential environmental impacts</p>	

1	EPA Factor	Refer to Chapter 4 of the Referral Supplementary Report
2	EPA policy and guidance - What have you considered and how have you applied them in relation to this factor?	Refer to Chapter 4 of the Referral Supplementary Report
3	Consultation – Outline the outcomes of consultation in relation to the potential environmental impacts	Refer to Chapter 3 of the Referral Supplementary Report
4	Receiving environment – Describe the current condition of the receiving environment in relation to this factor.	Refer to Chapter 4 of the Referral Supplementary Report
5	Proposal activities – Describe the proposal activities that have the potential to impact the environment	Refer to Chapter 2 and 4 of the Referral Supplementary Report
6	Mitigation – Describe the measures proposed to manage and mitigate the potential environmental impacts.	Refer to Chapter 4 of the Referral Supplementary Report
7	Impacts – Assess the impacts of the proposal and review the residual impacts against the EPA objective.	Refer to Chapter 4 of the Referral Supplementary Report
8	Assumptions - Describe any assumptions critical to your assessment e.g. particular mitigation measures or regulatory conditions.	Refer to Chapter 4 of the Referral Supplementary Report

Part C: Other approvals and regulation			
State and Local Government approvals			
Is rezoning of any land required before the proposal can be implemented? If yes, please provide details.		<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
If this proposal has been referred by a decision-making authority, what approval(s) are required from you?		Not applicable	
Please identify other approvals required for the proposal:			
Proposal activities e.g. clearing, dewatering, mining, processing, dredging	Land tenure/access e.g. Crown land, Mining lease, specify legislation for access if relevant	Type of approval e.g. Native Vegetation Clearing Permit, licence, mining proposal,	Legislation regulating the activity e.g. EP Act 1986 – Part V, RiWI Act 1914, Mining Act 1979
Subsea infrastructure development and operation	Port of Dampier - Controlled Access Area within Landside Restricted Zone [LRZ]	Pilbara Port Authority (1) Seabed Lease (2) Construction and Operational approvals (3) Dredging License Dept Mines, Industry Regulation & Safety (4) State waters Pipeline License, EPs and Consents to Construct & Operate Pipeline, Safety Cases	(1) Maritime Transport and Offshore Facilities Security Act 2003 (2) Pilbara Port Authorities Act 1999 (WA) (3) Petroleum (Submerged Lands) Act 1982 and regulations

Part C: Other approvals and regulation

State and Local Government approvals

Commonwealth Government approvals

<p>Does the proposal involve an action that may be or is a controlled action under the <i>Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999</i> (EPBC Act)?</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p><i>The proposal is being referred to DoEE at the same time as this referral. Assessments of Significance for MNES have concluded impacts are unlikely to be significant.</i></p>
<p>Has the proposed action been referred? If yes, when was it referred and what is the reference number (EPBC No.)?</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p><i>The proposal is being referred to DoEE at the same time as this referral.</i></p>
<p>If referred, has a decision been made on whether the proposed action is a controlled action? If 'yes', check the appropriate box and provide the decision in an attachment.</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Decision – controlled action</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Decision – not a controlled action</p>
<p>If the proposal is determined to be a controlled action, do you request that this proposal be assessed under the bilateral agreement or as an accredited assessment?</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes - Bilateral <input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes - Accredited</p>
<p>Is approval required from other Commonwealth Government/s for any part of the proposal? <i>If yes, describe.</i></p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>Approval: Woodside is currently confirming other Commonwealth Government approvals</p>