

Environmental Protection Authority

Form for the referral of a proposal to the Environmental Protection Authority under Section 38 of the *Environmental Protection Act 1986*

Referrer information						
Who is referring this proposal?		✓ Proponent ☐ Decision-making authority ☐ Community member/third party				
Name Tawake R	akai	Signature	ignature Hahan			
Position	Executive General Manager, Transmission Asset Management	Organisation		AGI Operation	ons Pty Limite	d
Email	tawake.rakai@agig.com.a	u				
Address	Level 22	140 St George:	s Terr	ace	*	
	Perth				WA	6000
Date	8 th April 2021					
Does the referrer request that the EPA treat any part of the proposal information in the referral as confidential? Provide confidential information in a separate attachment. Referral declaration for organisations, proponents and deci				☐ Yes ✓ No cision-making authorities:		
	declare that I am authorise her declare that the inform					
Part A: Proponent and proposal description						
Proponent infor	mation					
Name of the proponent/s			AGI Operations Pty Limited			
(including Trading Name if relevant) 76 166 900 170						
Australian Company Number(s)			70 100 000 110			
OR Australian Business Number(s)						
Contact for the proposal (if different from the referrer) Please include: name, physical address, phone, and email.			✓ Yes Mark Brown, Senior HSE Advisor 08 9223 4907; mark.brown@agig.com.au Level 22, 140 St Georges Tce, PERTH, WA 6000			agig.com.au
Does the proponent have the legal access required for the implementation of all aspects of the proposal?			√ Ye	es	□ No	

If yes, provide details of legal access authorisations / agreements / tenure.	Land Tenure under Pipeline Licence and Planning Act Approval
If no, what authorisations / agreements / tenure is	
required and from whom?	
Proposal type	
What type of proposal is being referred? For a change to an approved proposal please state the Ministerial Statement number/s (MS No./s) of the approved proposal	✓ significant – new proposal ☐ significant – change to approved proposal (MS No./s:) ☐ proposal under an assessed planning scheme
For a derived proposal please state the Ministerial Statement number (MS No.) of the associated strategic proposal	☐ strategic ☐ derived (Strategic MS No.:)
 For a significant proposal: Why do you consider the proposal may have a significant effect on the environment and warrant referral to the EPA? 	The Proposal includes clearing of up to 90 ha within a 213 ha Development Envelope to support the development and operation of a gas plant, interconnecting pipeline and supporting infrastructure.
For a proposal under an assessed planning scheme, provide the following details:	Not applicable.
Scheme name and number	
For the Responsible Authority:	
 What new environmental issues are raised by the proposal that were not assessed during the assessment of the planning scheme? 	
 How does the proposal not comply with the assessed scheme and/or the environmental conditions in the assessed planning scheme? 	
Proposal description	
Title of the proposal	West Erregulla Processing Plant and Pipeline
Name of the Local Government Authority in which the proposal is located.	Shire of Irwin and Shire of Three Springs
Location: a) street address, lot number, suburb, and nearest road intersection; or b) if remote the nearest town and distance and direction from that town to the proposal site.	The Proposal is located approximately 30 km southeast of Dongara, in the South West region of Western Australia.
Proposal description – including the key characteristics of the proposal Provide as an attachment to the form	AGI Operations Pty Limited is proposing to construct and operate a gas processing plant and pipeline near Dongara, WA collectively referred to as the West Erregulla Gas Project (WER). The processing plant will process gas produced by Warrego Energy and Strike Energy from upstream wells. The processed gas will then be transported via a new interconnecting pipeline to tie into the Dampier to Bunbury Natural Gas Pipeline (DBNGP). The Proposed Action includes: • a gas processing facility (West Erregulla Facility - WEF) with nominal design flow of 87 terajoules per day (TJ/d):

*	 a 16.5 km interconnecting buried gas pipeline between the WEF and the Dampier to Bunbury Natural Gas Pipeline (DBNGP) tie-in point;
	 a custody transfer metering facility located at the DBNGP tie-in point;
	a pig launcher station; and
	 supporting infrastructure including but not limited to power generation, flare system, incinerator, fire water system, office and accommodation, water treatment package, back-up diesel system and communications.
	Exclusions
	Wellhead connections and gathering lines from wellheads to the midstream tie-in point A are not included in the Proposed Action.
	Additional information on the proposal is include the Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) and Environmental Review Document (ERD) submitted with the proposal.
Have you provided electronic spatial data, maps and	✓ Yes □ No
figure in the appropriate format? Refer to instructions at the front of the form	Figures are provided in the ERD. And shape files are included in this proposal application.
What is the current land use on the property, and the extent (area in hectares) of the property?	The Proposal includes a disturbance footprint of 90 ha within a Development Envelope of approximately 213 ha.
	The Proposal occurs within Energy Permit (EP) 469.
	The Proposal overlaps area managed by the Bundi Yamatji Aboriginal Corporation (BYAC).
Have you had pre-referral discussions with the EPA at DWER Services? If so, quote the reference number and/or the DWER contact.	Pre-referral consultation sessions for the Proposal were held with the EPA Services on 16 December 2020, 15 February 2021 and 3 March 2021. Contact: Helen Butterworth and Robert Hughes
Part B: Environmental impacts	
Environmental factors	
What are the likely significant environmental factors	☐ Benthic Communities and Habitat
for this proposal?	☐ Coastal Processes
	☐ Marine Environmental Quality
	☐ Marine Fauna
6	✓ Flora and Vegetation
	☐ Landforms
	☐ Subterranean Fauna
	☐ Terrestrial Environmental Quality
	✓ Terrestrial Fauna
	✓ Inland Waters
	☐ Air Quality
	✓ Greenhouse Gas Emissions
	-

For **each** of the environmental factors identified above, complete the following table, or provide the information in a supplementary report

Potential environmental impacts

1 EPA Factor	Flora and Vegetation
EPA policy and guidance - What have you considered and how have you applied them in relation to this factor?	Flora and Vegetation EPA Objective: The EPA's overarching Statement of Environmental Principles, Factors and Objectives (EPA 2020) lists the objective for this factor as: • To protect flora and vegetation so that biological diversity and ecological integrity are maintained. In considering this objective, the Proponent has sought to quantify the existing biological diversity and ecological integrity of the area through environmental surveying. Policy and guidance: The following policy and guidance is relevant to this factor: • Statement of Environmental Principles, Factors and Objectives (EPA 2020); • Environmental Factor Guideline: Flora and Vegetation (EPA 2016); • Technical Guidance - Flora and Vegetation Surveys for Environmental Impact Assessment (EPA 2016); • Instructions on how to prepare an Environmental Review Document (EPA 2020); • Statutory Guidelines for Mine Closure Plans (DMIRS 2020); • Cumulative environmental impacts of development in the Pilbara region: Advice of the Environmental Protection Authority to the Minister for Environment under Section 16(e) of the Environmental Protection Act 1986 (EPA 2014); • WA Environmental Offsets Policy (GoWA 2011); and • WA Environmental Offsets Guidelines (GoWA 2014). The Proponent has considered the abovementioned policy and guidance in the following ways: • planning, design and implementation of the environmental surveys undertaken; • preliminary assessment of potential impacts; and • application of the mitigation hierarchy.

3 **Consultation** – Outline the outcomes of consultation in relation to the potential environmental impacts

Consultation with decision-making authorities Department of Water and Environmental Regulation (DWER); Department of Planning, Land and Heritage (DPLH); Department of Jobs, Tourism, Science and Innovation (DJTSI) and key stakeholders is ongoing.

A referral under the EPBC Act has also been completed for the project (EPBC 2021/8907). A Stakeholder Consultation Table is available within the CEMP

The Proponent will continue to consult with relevant stakeholders during the environmental assessment process, and after as required.

4 **Receiving environment** – Describe the current condition of the receiving environment in relation to this factor.

The receiving environment in the Development Envelope is generally well understood. Relevant flora and vegetation surveys include:

- West Erregulla Pipeline Flora and Fauna Survey (ELA 2020a).
- West Erregulla targeted threatened flora survey (ecologia Environment 2018).
- Review of Key Potential Flora, Vegetation and Fauna values on the proposed pipeline for Strike Energy near Dongara (Mattiske Consulting Pty Ltd 2020)
- West Erregulla Project Flora and Vegetation Assessment (Woodman Environmental Consulting 2013)

The Development Envelope is located within Interim Biogeographic Regionalisation for Australia (IBRA) Geraldton Sandplains bioregion and the Lesueur Sandplain subregion. Six vegetation communities have been delineated within the Development Envelope, with *Banksia* and *Hakea* best represented throughout the survey area. None of the vegetation communities are restricted or represent threatened or priority ecological communities.

The majority of intact vegetation in the Development Envelope is considered to be in Excellent condition (209 ha; 98.4 %). A small proportion is already cleared (3.5 ha; 1.6 %).

Historical records of one threatened flora species, *Paracaleana dixonii*, (EPBC Act endangered; BC Act vulnerable) are known from the Development Envelope; however, no known populations of this species currently occur in the Development Envelope. Eight Priority flora species were recorded in the Development Envelope during recent survey effort, including:

- DBCA Priority 1 (P1)
 - o Micromyrtus rogeri
 - o Lasiopetalum ogilvieanum
- DBCA Priority 3 (P3)
 - Guichenotia alba
 - o Mesomelaena stygia subsp. Deflexa
 - o Stylidium drummondianum
- DBCA Priority 4 (P4)
 - o Banksia scabrella
 - o Eucalyptus macrocarpa subsp. elachantha
 - o Stawellia dimorphantha

Refer to the receiving environment outlined in Section 5 of the ERD.

5	Proposal activities – Describe the proposal activities that have the potential to impact the environment	Proposal activities that have the potential to impact flora and vegetation include but are not limited to:		
	· ' '	Direct loss through clearing of vegetation		
		Introduction or spread of weeds as a result of disturbance and vehicle/machinery movements		
		Refer to proposal activities outlined in Section 5 of the ERD.		
manage and mitigate the potential environmental impacts.		The Proponent has reviewed the Proposal design of minimise potential impacts to Priority flora species as far as practicable. This includes minimisation (3 m width in a 100 m envelope) and localised micrositing of the pipeline route within the Developmer Envelope to avoid or minimise impacts to Priority flora species.		
		The Proponent commits to a pre-clearance site walk over to ensure no individuals of <i>P. dixonii</i> are present within the construction footprint.		
		Other mitigation methods to be implemented include but are not limited to:		
		Minimise clearing the extent necessary;		
		 Implement vehicle/machinery hygiene protocols; 		
		Weed and dust control during construction, as required.		
		Refer to mitigation measures outlined in Section 5.6 of the CEMP.		
7	Impacts – Assess the impacts of the proposal and review the residual impacts against the EPA objective.	Refer to Section 5.5 in the ERD for discussion regarding assessment of impacts and residual impacts against the EPA objective.		
8	Assumptions - Describe any assumptions critical to your assessment e.g. particular mitigation measures or regulatory conditions.	The proposed approaches to mitigation conditions are included in Section 6 of the CEMP.		
1	EPA Factor	Fauna		

2		EPA Objective:
		The EPA's overarching Statement of Environmental Principles, Factors and Objectives (EPA 2020) lists the objective for terrestrial fauna as follows:
		To protect terrestrial fauna so that biological diversity and ecological integrity are maintained
		In considering this objective, the Proponent has sought to quantify the existing biological diversity and ecological integrity of the area through environmental surveying.
		Policy and guidance:
		The following policy and guidance are relevant to this factor:
		The following policy and guidance are relevant to this factor:
		• Environmental Factor Guideline: Terrestrial Fauna (EPA 2016)
		• Technical Guidance — Terrestrial Fauna Surveys (EPA 2016)
	EPA policy and guidance - What have you considered and how have you applied them in relation to this	Technical Guidance — Sampling Methods for Terrestrial Vertebrate Fauna (EPA 2016)
	factor?	• Technical Guidance – Sampling Methods for Short Range Endemic Invertebrate Fauna (EPA 2016).
		We have also considered the following:
		Survey guidelines for Australia's threatened mammals (Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, population and Communities (DSEWPaC 2011)
		Survey guidelines for Australia's threatened reptiles (DSEWPaC 2011)
		Survey guidelines for Australia's threatened bats (DEWHA 2010)
		The Proponent has considered the abovementioned policy and guidance in the following ways:
		 planning, design and implementation of the environmental surveys undertaken;
		• preliminary assessment of potential impacts; and
		application of the mitigation hierarchy.
3	Consultation – Outline the outcomes of consultation in relation to the potential environmental impacts	Consultation with decision-making authorities Department of Water and Environmental Regulation (DWER); Department of Planning, Land and Heritage (DPLH); Department of Jobs, Tourism, Science and Innovation (DJTSI) and key stakeholders is ongoing.
		A referral under the EPBC Act has also been completed for the project (EPBC 2021/8907). A Stakeholder Consultation Table is available within the CEMP
		The Proponent will continue to consult with relevant stakeholders during the environmental assessment process, and after as required.

4 **Receiving environment** – Describe the current condition of the receiving environment in relation to this factor.

The receiving environment in the Development Envelope is generally well understood. Relevant terrestrial fauna surveys include:

- West Erregulla Pipeline Flora and Fauna Survey (ELA 2020a).
- Review of Key Potential Flora, Vegetation and Fauna values on the proposed pipeline for Strike Energy near Dongara (Mattiske Consulting Pty Ltd 2020)

Fauna habitat areas (outside of cleared areas) are considered to be in Excellent condition. Three broad fauna habitats have been mapped within the Development Envelope including:

- Fauna habitat 1:(72.2 ha) Allocasuarina tall sparse shrubland over shrubs and sedgeland on sandy plains,
- Fauna habitat 2: (95.2 ha) Banksia spp. and occasional Eucalyptus todtiana mid open woodland over shrubs and sedgeland on sandy plains
- Fauna habitat 3: (41.4 ha) *Allocasuarina* tall sparse shrubland over shrubs and sedgeland on stony rises.

In addition, a total of 3.5 ha in the Development Envelope is already cleared.

Four conservation significant fauna species have the potential to occur in the Development Envelope including:

- Carnaby's Cockatoo (*Calyptorhynchus latirostris*; listed as EN under the EPBC Act and BC Act),
- Fork-tailed Swift (Apus pacificus; listed as MI under the EPBC Act and BC Act)
- Grey Falcon (Falco hypoleucos; listed as VU under the BC Act)
- Peregrine Falcon (Falco peregrinus; listed as OS under the BC Act).

The Development Envelope is in the non-breeding range for Carnaby's Cockatoo (Fauna Habitat 2) and the species therefore likely only occurs as an itinerant forager, due to the presence of some low quality foraging habitat comprising *Banksia* and *Hakea* shrubland.

The remaining three species potentially occur as itinerant aerial visitors, and are unlikely to be reliant on habitats present in the Development Envelope. Refer to the receiving environment outlined in Section 6 of the ERD.

5	Proposal activities — Describe the proposal activities that have the potential to impact the environment	Proposal activities that have the potential to impact terrestrial fauna include:		
	that have the potential to impact the environment	 Direct loss of habitat from clearing and construction; 		
		Habitat fragmentation; and		
		 Injury or mortality of individuals from vehicle or machinery interaction. 		
6	Mitigation – Describe the measures proposed to manage and mitigate the potential environmental impacts.	The Proponent has reviewed the Proposal design to ensure potential impacts to Carnaby's Cockatoo foraging habitat are minimised as far as practicable.		
	·	The Proponent commits to a pre-clearance site walk over with a trained fauna handler to ensure no fauna individuals will be directly impacted by clearing. This includes the inspection of any hollows.		
		The majority of the pipeline route will be rehabilitated to minimise the likelihood of habitat fragmentation and the route is located adjacent and parallel to other previous disturbance (firebreak track)		
	3	Refer to mitigation measures outlined in Section 6.7 of the ERD.		
7	<i>Impacts</i> – Assess the impacts of the proposal and review the residual impacts against the EPA objective.	Refer to Section 6.5 in the ERD for assessment of impacts and residual impacts against the EPA objective.		
8	Assumptions - Describe any assumptions critical to your assessment e.g. particular mitigation measures or regulatory conditions.	The proposed approaches to mitigation conditions are included in Section 6.7 of the ERD.		
1	EPA Factor	Inland Waters		

		ERA Objectives
2		EPA Objective: The EPA's overarching Statement of Environmental Principles Footows and Objectives (EPA 2020) lists
		Principles, Factors and Objectives (EPA 2020) lists the objectives for inland waters as follows:
		To maintain the hydrological regimes and quality of groundwater and surface water so that environmental values are protected.
		In considering this objective, the Proponent has sought to understand and describe the existing hydrological regime.
		Policy and guidance:
		The following guidance is relevant to this factor:
		Environmental Factor Guideline: Inland Waters (EPA 2018);
		Statement of Environmental Principles, Factors and Objectives (EPA 2020);
	EPA policy and guidance - What have you considered	Instructions on how to prepare an Environmental Review Document (EPA 2020);
	and how have you applied them in relation to this factor?	Statutory Guidelines for Mine Closure Plans (DMIRS 2020);
		 Instructions on how to prepare Environmental Protection Act 1986 Part IV Environmental Management Plans (EPA 2018); and
		Cumulative environmental impacts of development in the Pilbara region: Advice of the Environmental Protection Authority to the Minister for Environment under Section 16(e) of the Environmental Protection Act 1986 (EPA 2014).
		The Proponent has considered the above- mentioned policy and guidance in the following ways:
		 planning, design and implementation of the environmental surveys undertaken;
		preliminary assessment of potential impacts; and
	c= -	application of the mitigation hierarchy.
3	Consultation – Outline the outcomes of consultation in relation to the potential environmental impacts	Consultation with decision-making authorities Department of Water and Environmental Regulation (DWER); Department of Planning, Land and Heritage (DPLH); Department of Jobs, Tourism, Science and Innovation (DJTSI) and key stakeholders is ongoing.
		A referral under the EPBC Act has also been completed for the project (EPBC 2021/8907). A Stakeholder Consultation Table is available within the CEMP
		The Proponent will continue to consult with relevant stakeholders during the environmental assessment process, and after as required.

4 Receiving environment – Describe the current condition of the receiving environment in relation to this factor.

Hydrological

The receiving environment in the Development Envelope is generally well understood. Relevant hydrological investigations include:

 Hydrology and Hydrogeology Baseline Report (ELA 2020b)

The Development Envelope is devoid of any significant permanent surface water features however smaller minor drainage features dissect the surrounding area. The most significant surface water features in the vicinity of the Proposal are two regional drainage systems — the Arrowsmith River and the Irwin and Lockier Rivers, located approximately 15 km and 22km to the south and north of the Development Envelope respectively. The nearest watercourse is Sand Plain Creek, located approximately 6 km north of the Development Envelope.

Hydrogeological

The receiving environmental in the Development Envelope is generally well understood. Relevant hydrogeological investigations include:

- Hydrology and Hydrogeology Baseline Report (ELA 2020b)
- West Erregulla-2 Exploration Well Groundwater Monitoring Plan (ELA 2018)
- West Erregulla Groundwater Assessment (RPS 2011)

The Proposal is located within the Twin Hills Groundwater subarea. Two major regional groundwater resources exist within the Development Envelope; the Yarragadee Formation and underlying Lesueur Sandstone. Stratigraphically between the two groundwater resources lie the underlying Cadda Formation (at around 1700 mAHD) of generally low permeability and acts as a regional aquiclude, Cattamarra Coal Measures and Eneabba Formation that both host smaller, localised aquifers.

The Yarragadee Formation Aquifer has been identified as a significant groundwater resources to the Proposal. The upper water table in the Yarragadee Formation is more than 100 m below ground level.

The water quality in the Yarragadee Aquifer is fresh to brackish, with salinity in the aquifer ranging between 500 to 1,000 mg/L and increasing with depth (RPS, 2011).

Refer to the receiving environment outlined in Section 7 of the ERD.

Proposal activities – Describe the proposal activities that have the potential to impact the environment

Proposal activities that have the potential to impact inland waters include:

- alteration of surface water hydrology as a result of the installation of infrastructure; and
- Degradation of groundwater quality from hazardous materials.

6	Mitigation – Describe the measures proposed to manage and mitigate the potential environmental impacts.	The Proponent will apply the mitigation hierarchy to the Proposal in relation to inland waters. Refer to mitigation measures outlined in Section 7.7 of the ERD.	
7	<i>Impacts</i> – Assess the impacts of the proposal and review the residual impacts against the EPA objective.	Refer to Sections 7.5 in the ERD for discussion regarding assessment of impacts and residual impacts against the EPA objective.	
8	Assumptions - Describe any assumptions critical to your assessment e.g. particular mitigation measures or regulatory conditions.	The proposed approaches to mitigation conditions are included in Section 7.7 of the ERD.	
1	EPA Factor	Greenhouse Gas Emissions	
2		EPA Objective:	
	es and the second secon	The EPA's overarching Statement of Environmental Principles, Factors and Objectives (EPA 2020) lists the objective for this factor as:	
		To reduce net greenhouse gas emission in order to minimise the risk of environmental harm associated with climate change.	
		Policy and guidance:	
	EPA policy and guidance - What have you considered and how have you applied them in relation to this factor?	The following policy and guidance are relevant to this factor:	
		 Statement of Environmental Principles, Factors and Objectives (EPA 2020); 	
		Environmental Factor Guideline: Greenhouse Gas Emissions (EPA 2020); and	
		National Greenhouse and Energy Reporting Act 2007 (Cth).	
		In considering this objective, the Proponent will provide estimates for the construction and operation of the Proposal. The assessment will focus specifically on greenhouse gas emissions.	
3	Consultation – Outline the outcomes of consultation in relation to the potential environmental impacts	Consultation with decision-making authorities (Department of Water and Environmental Regulation (DWER); Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions (DBCA); Department of Mining, Industry Regulation and Safety (DMIRS)) and key stakeholders is ongoing.	
		The Proponent will continue to consult with relevant stakeholders during the environmental assessment process, and after as required.	
4	Receiving environment – Describe the current condition of the receiving environment in relation to this factor.	Existing gas operations in the Development Envelope generate greenhouse gas emissions predominantly from fuel combustion and electricity generation.	
5	Proposal activities – Describe the proposal activities that have the potential to impact the environment	Proposal activities (typical of gas extraction) that have the potential to impact air quality include: • production of greenhouse gases from electricity generation; and	
		• diesel combustion by fixed and mobile equipment.	

6	Mitigation – Describe the measures proposed to manage and mitigate the potential environmental impacts.	The Proponent has applied the mitigation hierarchy to the Proposal in relation to greenhouse gases, by decreasing through design the emissions by 22,600 tCO _{2e} . Additionally the Proponent proposes to offset the full volume of Reservoir Gas emissions predicted for the project from the commencement of the project. Refer to mitigation measures outlined in Section 8 and Appendix I of the ERD.
7	Impacts – Assess the impacts of the proposal and review the residual impacts against the EPA objective.	Refer to the Section 8.6 in the ERD for discussion regarding assessment of impacts and residual impacts against the EPA objective.
8	Assumptions - Describe any assumptions critical to your assessment e.g. particular mitigation measures or regulatory conditions.	The proposed approaches to mitigation conditions are included in Section 8.7 of the ERD and Appendix I – Greenhouse Gas Management Plan.

Part C: Other approvals and regulation					
State and Local Govern	nment approvals		136		
Is rezoning of any land required before the proposal can be implemented? If yes, please provide details.			☐ Yes	√ No	
If this proposal has bee authority, what approv	· ·	_	Not appl	icable	
Please identify other ap	oprovals required for the	e proposal:			
Proposal activities e.g. clearing, dewatering, mining, processing, dredging	e.g. Crown land, Mining lease, specify legislation for access if relevant	Type of approval e.g. Native Vegetatio Clearing Permit, licen mining proposal,		Legislation regulating the activity e.g. EP Act 1986 — Part V, RiWI Act 1914, Mining Act 1979	
Gas processing		Works approval licence	and	Environmental Protection Regulations 1987	
Waste treatment and disposal		Works approval licence	and	Environmental Protection Regulations 1987	
Petroleum Pipelines	Pipeline Licence	Pipeline Licence (includes Safety Case and Environmental Plan approval)		Petroleum Pipelines Act 1969	
Gas Processing	Major Hazard Facility			Dangerous Goods Safety (Major Hazard Facilities) Regulations 2007	
Commonwealth Gover	nment approvals				
Does the proposal involve an action that may be or is a controlled action under the <i>Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999</i> (EPBC Act)?				s ' 🗆 No	
Has the proposed action been referred? If yes, when was it			√ Ye	s 🗆 No	
referred and what is th	e reference number (EP	PBC No.)?	Date	Date: 28 th February 2021	
			EPBO	EPBC No.: _2021-8907_	
If referred, has a decision been made on whether the proposed			□ Y	☐ Yes ✓ No	
action is a controlled action? If 'yes', check the appropriate box and provide the decision in an attachment.				☐ Decision – controlled action	
			□D	☐ Decision – not a controlled action	
If the proposal is determined to be a controlled action, do you request that this proposal be assessed under the bilateral agreement or as an accredited assessment?				es - Bilateral No es - Accredited	
Is approval required from other Commonwealth Government/s for any part of the proposal?			□ Y	es ✓ No	
If yes, describe.			Аррі	roval:	

.