

Referral of a Proposal by the Proponent to the Environmental Protection Authority under Section 38(1) of the *Environmental Protection Act 1986*.

EPA REFERRAL FORM PROPONENT

PURPOSE OF THIS FORM

Section 38(1) of the *Environmental Protection Act 1986* (EP Act) provides that where a development proposal is likely to have a significant effect on the environment, a proponent may refer the proposal to the Environmental Protection Authority (EPA) for a decision on whether or not it requires assessment under the EP Act. This form sets out the information requirements for the referral of a proposal by a proponent.

Proponents are encouraged to familiarise themselves with the EPA's *General Guide* on *Referral of Proposals* [see Environmental Impact Assessment/Referral of Proposals and Schemes] before completing this form.

A referral under section 38(1) of the EP Act by a proponent to the EPA must be made on this form. A request to the EPA for a declaration under section 39B (derived proposal) must be made on this form. This form will be treated as a referral provided all information required by Part A has been included and all information requested by Part B has been provided to the extent that it is pertinent to the proposal being referred. Referral documents are to be submitted in two formats – hard copy and electronic copy. The electronic copy of the referral will be provided for public comment for a period of 7 days, prior to the EPA making its decision on whether or not to assess the proposal.

CHECKLIST

Before you submit this form, please check that you have:

| | Yes | No |
|--|-----|----|
| Completed all the questions in Part A (essential). | | |
| Completed all applicable questions in Part B. | | |
| Included Attachment 1 – location maps. | | |
| Included Attachment 2 – additional document(s) the proponent wishes | | |
| to provide (if applicable). | | |
| Included Attachment 3 – confidential information (if applicable). | | |
| Enclosed an electronic copy of all referral information, including spatial | | |
| data and contextual mapping but excluding confidential information. | | |

following question (a response is optional). Do you consider the proposal requires formal environmental impact assessment? ⊠ No Not sure Yes If yes, what level of assessment? Assessment on Proponent Information Public Environmental Review **PROPONENT DECLARATION** (to be completed by the proponent) I, (full name) declare that I am authorised on behalf of...... (being the person responsible for the proposal) to submit this form and further declare that the information contained in this form is true and not misleading. Signature Name (print) Position Company Date

Following a review of the information presented in this form, please consider the

PART A - PROPONENT AND PROPOSAL INFORMATION

(All fields of Part A must be completed for this document to be treated as a referral)

1 PROPONENT AND PROPOSAL INFORMATION

1.1 Proponent

| Name Joint Venture parties (if applicable) | Water Corporation |
|--|--|
| Australian Company Number (if applicable) | ABN 28 003 434 917 |
| Postal Address (where the proponent is a corporation or an association of persons, whether incorporated or not, the postal address is that of the principal place of business or of the principal office in the State) Key proponent contact for the proposal: • name • address • phone • email | PO Box 100 Leederville WA 6902 Carole Armstrong 629 Newcastle Street Leederville 94203562 Carole.armstrong@watercorporation.com.a u |
| Consultant for the proposal (if applicable): | |

1.2 Proposal

| Title | Eaton Monash to Bunbury Pipe Alignment. |
|---|--|
| Description | Installation of a Pipeline between Eaton Monash Pump Station to Bunbury WWTP to divert flows from Kemerton WWTP. A small section of the pipe alignment passes through the corner of a Swan Coastal Plain Lakes EPP – listed lake Eeddles Gully. Dewatering water will possibly be discharged into the EPP lake, Eeddles Gully. A construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) has been developed for this project. The CEMP contains the management actions that are to be taken to ensure the project has minimal impact on the environment. A copy of the CEMP is attached in appendix 1. |
| Extent (area) of proposed ground disturbance. | Proposed ground disturbance for area within the Swan Coastal Plain Lakes EPP Lake is 0.0963ha. |

| Timeframe in which the activity or development is proposed to occur (including start and finish dates where applicable). | Exact timing for the pipe alignment installation along this section is not yet known. However, it is thought at this stage that the works will be undertaken about December 2014. |
|--|---|
| Details of any staging of the proposal. | N/A |
| Is the proposal a strategic proposal? | No |
| Is the proponent requesting a declaration that the proposal is a derived proposal? If so, provide the following information on the strategic assessment within which the referred proposal was identified: • title of the strategic assessment; and • Ministerial Statement number. | No |
| Please indicate whether, and in what way, the proposal is related to other proposals in the region. | N/A |
| Does the proponent own the land on which the proposal is to be established? If not, what other arrangements have been established to access the land? | Approval from the land owner has been gained to access the property |
| What is the current land use on the property, and the extent (area in hectares) of the property? | The current land use is the site of the Department of Agriculture and Foods. The area of land on which the pipe alignment is proposed to be installed is the car park and storage area. The land is zoned industrial. |

1.3 Location

| Name of the Shire in which the proposal is located. | City of Bunbury |
|--|--------------------------------|
| For urban areas: | Veschuer Place |
| suburb; andnearest road intersection. | Davenport Southwest Highway |
| For remote localities: nearest town; and distance and direction from that town to the proposal site. | |
| Electronic copy of spatial data - GIS or CAD, geo-referenced and conforming to the following parameters: • GIS: polygons representing all activities and named; • CAD: simple closed polygons representing all activities and named; • datum: GDA94; • projection: Geographic (latitude/longitude) or Map Grid of Australia (MGA); • format: Arcview shapefile, Arcinfo | Enclosed?: Yes |

| coverages, Microstation or AutoCAD. | |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| coverages, Microstation of AutoCAD. | |

1.4 Confidential Information

| Does the proponent wish to request the EPA to allow any part of the referral information to be treated as confidential? | No |
|---|-----|
| If yes, is confidential information attached as a | |
| separate document in hard copy? | N/A |

1.5 Government Approvals

| Is rezoning of any land proposal can be implem If yes, please provide do | ented? | No | |
|--|--|---|---|
| | | No | |
| Agency/Authority Approval required | | Application lodged Yes / No | Agency/Local Authority contact(s) for proposal |
| Department of Agriculture and Food. | Access to car park and storage area behind buildings | Approval has been granted | Linda Wilson 9780 6233 |
| City of Bunbury Discharge of dewatering water | | Approval has been granted | Ian Browning 9792 7072 |
| DER | Minor clearing of riparian vegetation | Will be managed under clearing purpose permit CPS185-6 Still to do. | |

| 2.2.3 | Are you aware of any disturbed by this pro | | na surveys carried out over the area to be |
|-------|--|-----------------------|--|
| | ✓ Yes | ☐ No | If yes , please <u>attach</u> a copy of any related survey reports and <u>provide</u> the date and name of persons / companies involved in the survey(s). |
| | | | If no , please do not arrange to have any biological surveys conducted prior to consulting with the DEC. |
| | | | ted by Coffey Environments in the spring of 2012. A ed in the appendices. |
| | | | |
| 2.2.4 | Has a search of DEC (threatened) fauna be | | known occurrences of Specially Protected ted for the site? |
| | ✓ Yes | ☐ No | (please tick) |
| 2.2.5 | Are there any known site? | occurrence | s of Specially Protected (threatened) fauna on the |
| | ☐ Yes | ✓ No | If yes, please indicate which species or communities are involved and provide copies of any correspondence with DEC regarding these matters. |
| | | | |
| 2.3 F | Rivers, Creeks, Wetla | inds and E | stuaries |
| 2.3.1 | Will the development | occur within | n 200 metres of a river, creek, wetland or estuary? |
| | (please tick) | Yes | If yes, complete the rest of this section. |
| | | ☐ No | If no, go to the next section. |
| | an artificial lake a | Ithough it is | within 200m of Eeddles Gully which is classified as also a lake listed under the Swan Coastal Plain under wetlands is 'not assessed'. |
| 2.3.2 | Will the development | result in the | e clearing of vegetation within the 200 metre zone? |
| | ✓ Yes | ☐ No | If yes, please describe the extent of the expected impact |

2.2.2 Describe the nature and extent of the expected impact.

| | Coastal Lakes EPP. | | | | |
|-------|--|--|--------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------|
| 2.3.3 | Will the development result estuary? | in the filling or exc | cavation of a rive | r, creel | k, wetland or |
| | ☐ Yes ✓ N | o If yes , pleas impact. | e describe the e | xtent o | f the expected |
| 2.3.4 | Will the development result estuary? | in the impoundme | ent of a river, cre | ek, wet | land or |
| | ☐ Yes ✓ N | o If yes , pleas impact. | e describe the e | xtent o | f the expected |
| 2.3.5 | Will the development result | in draining to a riv | er, creek, wetlar | d or es | stuary? |
| | ✓ Yes | o If yes , pleas impact. | e describe the e | xtent o | f the expected |
| | Dewatering will be requi back over the previously Bunbury has granted ap into its drains. These dra swan coastal plain lakes | excavated trench proval to enable t ains lead to Eeddl | nes, if this is not phe dewatering w | oossibl ater to | e the City of be discharged |
| 2.3.6 | Are you aware if the proposi buffer) within one of the follo | • | | land or | estuary (or its |
| | Conservation Category Wetl | land | ☐ Yes | No | Unsure |
| | Environmental Protection (Season Agricultural Zone Wetlands) | | ☐ Yes • | , No | Unsure |
| Ē | Perth's Bush Forever site | | ☐ Yes • | No | Unsure |
| | Environmental Protection (Strategy Policy 1998 | wan & Canning | ☐ Yes • | , No | Unsure |
| | The management area as de Swan River Trust Act 1988 | efined in s4(1) of t | he Yes | No No | ☐ Unsure |
| | Which is subject to an intern because of the importance of waterbirds and waterbird half JAMBA, CAMBA) | of the wetland for | □ Ves | , No | Unsure |
| 2.4 | Significant Areas and/ or La | and Features | - | | |
| 2.4.1 | Is the proposed developmer National Park or Nature Res | | r adjacent to an o | existing | or proposed |
| | ☐ Yes ✓ N | o If yes , pleas | e provide details | • | |

One native shrub may need to be removed within the area classified as a Swan

| 2.4.2 | | | entally Sensitive Areas (as declared by the Minister t) that will be impacted by the proposed |
|-------|--|--------------|--|
| | ✓ Yes | ☐ No | If yes, please provide details. |
| | Part of the pipe a Coastal Plain Lak | • | ill pass through the corner of a mapped Swan |
| 2.4.3 | Are you aware of any will be impacted by the | • | natural land features (e.g. caves, ranges etc) that development? |
| | Yes | ✓ No | If yes, please provide details. |
| 2.5 | Coastal Zone Areas (| Coastal Du | unes and Beaches) |
| 2.5.1 | Will the development | occur withi | in 300metres of a coastal area? |
| | (please tick) | ☐ Yes | If yes, complete the rest of this section. |
| | | ✓ No | If no, go to the next section. |
| 2.5.2 | What is the expected the primary dune? | setback of | the development from the high tide level and from |
| 2.5.3 | | | coastal areas with significant landforms including land, coastal dunes or karst? |
| | ☐ Yes | ☐ No | If yes, please describe the extent of the expected impact. |
| 2.5.4 | Is the development lik | cely to impa | act on mangroves? |
| | ☐ Yes | ☐ No | If yes, please describe the extent of the expected impact. |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| 2.6 | Marine Areas and Bio | ta | |
| 2.6.1 | Is the development like such as seagrasses, or | | act on an area of sensitive benthic communities, or mangroves? |
| | ☐ Yes | ✓ No | If yes, please describe the extent of the expected impact. |
| 2.6.2 | | ervation (a | act on marine conservation reserves or areas s described in <i>A Representative Marine Reserve</i> ALM, 1994)? |
| | ☐ Yes | ✓ No | If yes , please describe the extent of the expected impact. |

| | or for commercial f | ishing activit | ies? |
|-------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| | Yes | ✓ No | If yes, please describe the extent of the expected impact, and provide any written advice from relevant agencies (e.g. Fisheries WA). |
| 2.7 | Water Supply and I | Orainage Ca | atchments |
| 2.7.1 | Are you in a procla | imed or prop | osed groundwater or surface water protection area? |
| | | or your locati | Department of Water (DoW) for more information on on, including the requirement for licences for water oW website) |
| | Yes | ✓ No | If yes, please describe what category of area. |
| 2.7.2 | Are you in an exist Control area? | ng or propos | sed Underground Water Supply and Pollution |
| | | ding the requ | NoW for more information on the requirements for uirement for licences for water abstraction. Also, |
| | ☐ Yes | ✓ No | If yes , please describe what category of area. |
| 2.7.3 | Are you in a Public | Drinking Wa | ater Supply Area (PDWSA)? |
| | • | | ooW for more information or refer to the DoW getation within a PDWSA requires approval from |
| | ☐ Yes | ✓ No | If yes, please describe what category of area. |
| 2.7.4 | Is there sufficient w | <i>ı</i> ater availab | le for the proposal? |
| | | | s to whether approvals are required to source water ary, please provide a letter of intent from the DoW) |
| | ✓ Yes | ☐ No | (please tick) |
| 2.7.5 | Will the proposal re | equire draina | ge of the land? |
| | ✓ Yes | ☐ No | If yes, how is the site to be drained and will the drainage be connected to an existing Local Authority or Water Corporation drainage system? Please provide details. |
| | back over the p | oreviously ex ven approva | for the works. It is proposed to reinfiltrate water cavated trenches. If this is not possible, the City of I to discharge the water into its drain that discharges |

2.6.3 Is the development likely to impact on marine areas used extensively for recreation

| | proposal? | | | | | |
|-------|---|----------------|--|--|--|--|
| | (please tick) | Yes | If yes, complete the rest of this section. | | | |
| | | ✓ No | If no, go to the next section. | | | |
| | will be used for compa | action/dust s | se of any potable water. Treated ground water uppression if required. The pipeline is to be used n existing treatment plant. | | | |
| 2.7.6 | What is the water req kilolitres per year? | uirement for | the construction and operation of this proposal, in | | | |
| 2.7.7 | What is the proposed water etc.) | source of wa | ater for the proposal? (e.g. dam, bore, surface | | | |
| | | | ny potable water. Treated groundwater will be required. | | | |
| 2.8 | Pollution | | | | | |
| 2.8.1 | Is there likely to be any discharge of pollutants from this development, such as noise, vibration, gaseous emissions, dust, liquid effluent, solid waste or other pollutants? | | | | | |
| | (please tick) | Yes | If yes, complete the rest of this section. | | | |
| | | ✓ No | If no, go to the next section. | | | |
| 2.8.2 | Is the proposal a prescribed premise, under the Environmental Protection Regulations 1987? | | | | | |
| | (Refer to the EPA's General Guide for Referral of Proposals to the EPA under section 38(1) of the EP Act 1986 for more information) | | | | | |
| | ☐ Yes | | If yes , please describe what category of prescribed premise. | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 2.8.3 | Will the proposal resu | ılt in gaseous | s emissions to air? | | | |
| | ☐ Yes | ∨ No | If yes, please briefly describe. | | | |
| 2.8.4 | | | nalysis to demonstrate that air quality standards of cumulative impacts from other emission | | | |
| | ☐ Yes | ✓ No | If yes, please briefly describe. | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Is there a water requirement for the construction and/ or operation of this

| 2.8.5 Will the proposal result in liquid effluent discharge? | | | | | |
|---|---------|--|--|--|--|
| Yes • No If yes, please briefly describe the nature, concentrations and receiving environment. | | | | | |
| The pipeline will convey wastewater from a sewage pump station to the example. Monash wastewater treatment plant | xisting | | | | |
| 2.8.6 If there is likely to be discharges to a watercourse or marine environment, has analysis been done to demonstrate that the State Water Quality Management Strategy or other appropriate standards will be able to be met? | | | | | |
| ☐ Yes ✓ No If yes, please describe. | | | | | |
| Baseline data on existing bores, groundwater surface water and from downstream of the swan Coastal Plan EPP, also known as Edddles Gully be conducted prior to works. | will | | | | |
| 2.8.7 Will the proposal produce or result in solid wastes? | | | | | |
| Yes No If yes, please briefly describe the nature, concentrations and disposal location/ methods. | od. | | | | |
| The CEMF outlines the types of solid wastes that will be produced during construction and how they will be disposed of. These wastes include: | | | | | |
| Site office rubbish, paper, packaging and domestic wastes. Spent welding rods, grinding wheels, visors and shot blast from wilding operations. Spoil and surplus rock (including neutralised excess excavated material and treated Acid Sulfate Soil). Sewage from temporary toilets; and Used lubricating oils from machinery maintenance. | | | | | |
| Waste will be reused and recycled where possible. General wastes will be taken to the nearest landfill and hydrocarbons will be disposed on-site to plastic lined or bunded bins and then disposed offsite to a licensed Controlled Waste Contractor or a hydrocarbon recycler. All these construction issues will be managed through a project specific CEMP. | | | | | |
| 2.8.8 Will the proposal result in significant off-site noise emissions? | | | | | |
| ☐ Yes ✓ No If yes, please briefly describe. | | | | | |
| 2.8.9 Will the development be subject to the Environmental Protection (Noise) Regulations 1997? | | | | | |
| | vith | | | | |

| 2.8.10 | Does the proposal have the potential to generate off-site, air quality impacts, dust, odour or another pollutant that may affect the amenity of residents and other "sensitive premises" such as schools and hospitals (proposals in this category may include intensive agriculture, aquaculture, marinas, mines and quarries etc.)? | | | | | | |
|--|---|-------------|--|---|--|--|--|
| | ☐ Yes | ✓ No | | cribe and provide the distance other "sensitive premises". | | | |
| | The pipeline route passes through an industrial area. Construction works are expected to produce dust, especially on windy days in summer months. The dust control objectives to be met during construction are outlined in Section 11 of the CEMF. Water will be used to dampen areas that have the potential to generate dust such as soil stockpiles | | | | | | |
| 2.8.11 | · | | component or invol y discharge a pollut | ves "sensitive premises", is it ant? | | | |
| | ☐ Yes | ✓ No | ☐ Not Applicable | ; | | | |
| | | | If yes , please dese to the potential po | cribe and provide the distance llution source | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 2.9 G | reenhouse Gas Em | viccions | | | | | |
| | | | substantial greenhou | use gas emissions (greater | | | |
| | | | | uivalent emissions)? | | | |
| | ☐ Yes | ∨ No | | ide an estimate of the annual absolute and in carbon figures. | | | |
| 2.9.2 Further, if yes, please describe proposed measures to minimise emissions, and any sink enhancement actions proposed to offset emissions. | | | | | | | |
| 2.10 C | ontamination | | | | | | |
| 2.10.1 | 10.1 Has the property on which the proposal is to be located been used in the past for activities which may have caused soil or groundwater contamination? | | | | | | |
| | ☐ Yes | ✓ No | Unsure | If yes, please describe. | | | |
| 2.10.2 | 10.2 Has any assessment been done for soil or groundwater contamination on the site? | | | | | | |
| | ☐ Yes | ✓ No | If yes , please of | describe. | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 2.10.3 | | - | | under the <i>Contaminated Sites</i> d proclamation of the CS Act) | | | |

| | | ☐ Yes | ✓ No | I f yes , please | e describe. |
|--|--------|------------------|------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | | | | | |
| | 2.11 S | ocial Surroundin | gs | | |
| 2.11.1 Is the proposal on a property which contains or is near a site of Aboriginal ethnographic or archaeological significance that may be disturbed? | | | | | |
| | | ☐ Yes | ✓ No | Unsure | If yes, please describe. |
| 2.11.2 Is the proposal on a property which contains or is near a site of high publi (e.g. a major recreation area or natural scenic feature)? | | | | | |
| | | Yes | ✓ No | If yes, please des | scribe. |
| | | | | | |
| 2.11.3 Will the proposal result in or require substantial transport of goods, which ma | | | | | insport of goods, which may |
| | | Yes | ✓ No | If yes, please des | scribe. |

3. PROPOSED MANAGEMENT

| 3.1 | Principles | of I | Environmental | Protection |
|-----|------------|------|---------------|------------|
| | | | | |

| 3.1.1 | Have you considered how your project gives attention to the following Principles, as set out in section 4A of the EP Act? (For information on the Principles of Environmental Protection, please see EPA Position Statement No. 7, available on the EPA website) | | | | | |
|--|--|---|-----|------|--|--|
| | 1. The precautionary principle. | V | Yes | ☐ No | | |
| | 2. The principle of intergenerational equity. | ~ | Yes | ☐ No | | |
| | The principle of the conservation of biological diversity and ecological integrity. | ~ | Yes | ☐ No | | |
| | 4. Principles relating to improved valuation, pricing and incentive mechanisms. | ~ | Yes | ☐ No | | |
| | 5. The principle of waste minimisation. | ~ | Yes | ☐ No | | |
| 3.1.2 | 3.1.2 Is the proposal consistent with the EPA's Environmental Protection Bulletins/Position Statements and Environmental Assessment Guidelines/Guidance Statements (available on the EPA website)? ✓ Yes □ No | | | | | |
| 3.2 | Consultation | | | | | |
| 3.2.1 Has public consultation taken place (such as with other government ager community groups or neighbours), or is it intended that consultation shall place? | | | | | | |
| | ✓ Yes No If yes, please list those consulted and attach comments or summarise response on a separate sheet. | | | | | |
| | City of Bunbury Department of Agriculture and Food | | | | | |
| The City of Bunbury has agreed to allow the Water Corporation to discharge the ground water into the stormwater system. This was on the condition that the water is tested for contaminates prior to discharging and a copy of the tests sent to me as they are conducted. | | | | | | |

The Department of Agriculture and Food has given its approval to allow access to the Area that runs behind the offices of the Department of Agriculture.