## Form

### Referral of a proposal under s. 38 of the EP Act

## PART A: PROPONENT AND REFERRER INFORMATION AND PROPOSAL DESCRIPTION

Referrer information			
Who is referring this proposal?		✓ Proponent	
		<ul> <li>Decision-making authority</li> <li>Community member/third party</li> </ul>	
Name (print) Chris Serginson		Signature	(-)
Name of the p	erson or organisation referring		
Position	Manager Environment	Organisation	BHP Iron Ore Pty Ltd
Email	chris.serginson@bhp.com	Phone	9609 3333
Address	125 St Georges Terrace		
	Perth WA 6000		
Date	26 January 2023		
	rer request that the EPA treat any part the referral as confidential?	t of the proposal	√Yes
		ment	🗆 No
Provide confidential information in a separate attached Does the referrer confirm that they consent to receiv			✓ Yes
correspondence electronically?			
	ation for proponent and Authorised re on declare that I am authorised to ref	•	behalf of BHP Iron Ore Pty
Ltd and furthe	Ltd and further declare that the information contained in this form is true and not misleading.		
Date: 26 Janua	ry 2023		
Proponent information			
Name of the proponent/s		BHP Iron Ore Pty L	.td
Include Trading Name if relevant			
Australian Company Number(s)		ABN: 46 008 700 9	981
OR			
Australian Business Number(s)			
Pre-referral discussions			
Have you had pre-referral discussions with the EPA (including the EPA Services of DWER)?		✓ Yes	
		🗆 No	

If so, provide name, date, and discussions.	overview of	10 June 2021 Pre-referral meeting with Dehlia Goundrey and Rachel Vukmirovic, to
		introduce the Proposal, studies and modelling, significant environmental values, potential impacts and proposed management measures.
		2 August 2022 Site visit - EPA Chair Professor Matthew Tonts, Kathryn Schell and Cassie Bell visited the Western Ridge proposal area. BHP representatives provided an overview of the proposal, predicted impacts and proposed management.
		26 October 2022 further pre-referral meeting with Cassie Bell to provide an update on the Proposal including modifications to design, Traditional Owner engagement and proposed timing.
		21 November 2022 Meeting with EPA Chair, Professor Matthew Tonts, Shaun Meredith, Michael Christensen, Danielle Griffiths and Cassie Bell to discuss GHG emissions and management.
		15 December 2022 EPA Board meeting – BHP presented on implementation of the Strategic Proposal and the GHG emissions baseline, reduction targets and decarbonisation for the Western Ridge Proposal.
Proposal information		
Proposal name		Newman Hub (Western Ridge) Derived Proposal
What is the proposal? (Include general description in the <u>Instructions and template: How to identify</u> <u>the content of a proposal</u> )		The Proposal includes above and below water table mining of four iron ore deposits, namely Eastern Syncline, Bill's Hill, Silver Knight and Mount Helen at Western Ridge, including, overburden storage areas, ore and topsoil stockpiles, haul and access roads, overland conveyor, 30 Mtpa crusher, creek diversions and non-process infrastructure.
Have you provided electronic	spatial data, maps,	✓ Yes
and figures in the appropriate format?		□ No
What type of proposal is being referred?	<ul><li>significant propo</li><li>new propos</li></ul>	sal. <i>Choose which type of significant proposal</i> al
	-	imendment (proposal only)
For significant amendment or derived proposal, provide	<ul> <li>significant amendment (conditions only)</li> <li>significant amendment (proposal and conditions)</li> </ul>	

the associated existing	strategic proposal	
Ministerial statement	✓ derived proposal	
number/s	proposals of a prescribed class	
	proposal under an assessed planning scheme	
For a proposal under an		
assessed planning scheme,		
provide the scheme number		
and name		
Proposal content: Complete th	e corresponding template (Proposal Content Document) from the	
Instructions and template: How	<u>v to identify the content of a proposal</u> for the type of proposal	
identified above. The complete	d form <b>must be</b> submitted with the referral.	
Alternatives	The Proposal is required to sustain supply of ore as existing	
	resources become depleted and therefore there are no	
	alternatives for access to ore.	
	Alternatives have been considered in the mine design including, in	
relation to the transportation of ore, with use of trucking and		
overland conveying selected in preference over trucking alone, in		
	order to reduce both dust and greenhouse gas emissions. Refer to	
	the supporting Environmental Review document for more	
	information.	

PART B: ASSESSMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS		
Environmental factors		
What are the likely significant environmental factors for this proposal?	<ul> <li>□ Benthic Communities and Habitat</li> <li>□ Coastal Processes</li> <li>□ Marine Environmental Quality</li> <li>□ Marine Fauna</li> <li>✓ Flora and Vegetation</li> <li>□ Landforms</li> <li>✓ Subterranean Fauna</li> <li>□ Terrestrial Environmental Quality</li> <li>✓ Terrestrial Fauna</li> <li>✓ Inland Waters</li> <li>✓ Air Quality</li> <li>✓ Greenhouse Gas Emissions</li> <li>✓ Social Surroundings</li> <li>□ Human Health</li> </ul>	
For <b>each</b> of the environmental factors identified above, complete the following table, or provide the information in a supplementary report		
Potential environmental impacts – Flora and Vegetation		
EPA policy and guidance	Refer to Section 5.2 of the Environmental Review Document	
Receiving environment	Refer to Section 5.3 of the Environmental Review Document:	
Likely environmental impacts	Refer to Section 5.4 of the Environmental Review	

Document

	Refer to Section 5.5 of the Environmental Review	
Application of the mitigation hierarchy	Document	
Assessment and significance of residual impacts	Refer to Section 5.6 of the Environmental Review document.	
Likely environmental outcomes	Refer to Section 5.7 of the Environmental Review document.	
Potential environmental impacts – Terrestri	al Fauna	
EPA policy and guidance	Refer to Section 6.2 of the Environmental Review Document	
Receiving environment	Refer to Section 6.3 of the Environmental Review Document	
Likely environmental impacts	Refer to Section 6.4 of the Environmental Review Document	
Application of the mitigation hierarchy	Refer to Section 6.5 of the Environmental Review Document	
Assessment and significance of residual impacts	Refer to Section 6.6 of the Environmental Review Document.	
Likely environmental outcomes	Refer to Section 6.7 of the Environmental Review Document.	
Potential environmental impacts – Subterra	nean Fauna	
EPA policy and guidance	Refer to Section 7.2 of the Environmental Review Document.	
Receiving environment	Refer to Section 7.3 of the Environmental Review Document.	
Likely environmental impacts	Refer to Section 7.4 of the Environmental Review Document.	
Application of the mitigation hierarchy	Refer to Section 7.5 of the Environmental Review Document.	
Assessment and significance of residual impacts	Refer to Section 7.6 of the Environmental Review Document.	
Likely environmental outcomes	Refer to Section 7.7 of the Environmental Review Document.	
Potential environmental impacts – Inland Waters		

EPA policy and guidance	Refer to Section 8.2 of the Environmental Review Document.
Receiving environment	Refer to Section 8.3 of the Environmental Review Document.
Likely environmental impacts	Refer to Section 8.4 of the Environmental Review Document.
Application of the mitigation hierarchy	Refer to Section 8.5 of the Environmental Review Document.
Assessment and significance of residual impacts	Refer to Section 8.6 of the Environmental Review Document.
Likely environmental outcomes	Refer to Section 8.7 of the Environmental Review Document.
Potential environmental impacts – Air Quali	ity
EPA policy and guidance	Refer to Section 9.2 of the Environmental Review Document.
Receiving environment	Refer to Section 9.3 of the Environmental Review Document.
Likely environmental impacts	Refer to Section 9.4 of the Environmental Review Document.
Application of the mitigation hierarchy	Refer to Section 9.5 of the Environmental Review Document.
Assessment and significance of residual impacts	Refer to Section 9.6 of the Environmental Review Document.
Likely environmental outcomes	Refer to Section 9.7 of the Environmental Review Document.
Potential environmental impacts – Greenho	use Gas Emissions
EPA policy and guidance	Refer to Section 10.2 of the Environmental Review Document.
Receiving environment	Refer to Section 10.3 of the Environmental Review Document.
Likely environmental impacts	Refer to Section 10.4 of the Environmental Review Document.
Application of the mitigation hierarchy	Refer to Section 10.5 of the Environmental Review Document.
Assessment and significance of residual impacts	Refer to Section 10.6 of the Environmental Review Document.
Likely environmental outcomes	Refer to Section 10.7 of the Environmental Review Document.
Potential environmental impacts – Social Su	rroundings

EPA policy and guidance	Refer to Section 11.2 of the Environmental Review Document.
Receiving environment	Refer to Section 11.3 of the Environmental Review Document.
Likely environmental impacts	Refer to Section 11.4 of the Environmental Review Document.
Application of the mitigation hierarchy	Refer to Section 11.5 of the Environmental Review Document.
Assessment and significance of residual impacts	Refer to Section 11.6 of the Environmental Review Document.
Likely environmental outcomes	Refer to Section 11.7 of the Environmental Review Document.

#### Holistic impact assessment

BHP has undertaken a holistic impact assessment for the Proposal. Please refer to Section 14 of the Environmental Review Document.

Cumulative environmental impact assessment

BHP has undertaken a cumulative impact assessment for its Pilbara iron ore operations as part of the Strategic Proposal. Refer to Section 15 of the Environmental Review Document.

#### Consultation

BHP has undertaken stakeholder consultation in relation to the Proposal. Please refer to Section3 and Section 3.2 and Section 11.3.2 of the Environmental Review Document.

#### Supporting documents

Provide a list of the supporting documents

- Western Ridge Proposal Content Document
- Western Ridge Derived Proposal Request and supporting appendices.

Has the referrer provided survey information according to the <i>Instructions and Form:</i>	✓ Yes
<u>IBSA Data Packages</u> and/or the <u>Instructions and form: IMSA Data Packages</u>	🗆 No

#### Conclusion

Do you consider the proposal may have a significant effect on the environment?

BHP has undertaken an assessment of the potential significance of impacts in relation to the key environmental factors. Please refer to the attached Derived Proposal Request and supporting appendices.

#### PART B: ASSESSMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS FOR SIGNIFICANT AMENDMENTS ONLY

Type of significant amendment	<ul> <li>significant amendment to the approved proposal</li> <li>significant amendment to the implementation</li> <li>conditions</li> </ul>
	significant amendment to both the proposal and the implementation conditions
Information of the approved proposal	N/A

Combined effects of the approved proposal and significant amendment	N/A
Analysis of existing implementation conditions	N/A
Previous changes to the Proposal and or implementation conditions	N/A
Compliance	N/A
Environmental Performance	N/A
Control of implementation of significant amendment	N/A

#### PART B: ASSESSMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS <u>FOR A PROPOSAL</u> UNDER AN ASSESSED SCHEME ONLY

What new environmental issues are raised by the proposal that were not assessed during the assessment of the planning scheme?	N/A
How does the proposal not comply with the assessed scheme and/or the environmental conditions in the assessed planning scheme?	N/A

#### PART B: ASSESSMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS FOR DERIVED **PROPOSALS ONLY** BHP has undertaken a validation of the potential impacts Demonstrate how the proposal will meet the environmental outcomes of the Proposal against the predicted impacts of the defined through the assessment of the Strategic Proposal. The predicted impacts of the Proposal are within the limits of what has been assessed and strategic proposal approved under the Strategic Proposal MS 1105. Refer to Sections 5 to 11 of the Environmental Review Document. Provide an analysis of the existing BHP has assessed the conditions of the Strategic Proposal implementation conditions of the MS1105 and identified conditions relevant to this

# Implementation conditions of the<br/>related strategic proposal in relation<br/>to the derived proposalMS1105 and identified conditions relevant to this<br/>Proposal. Refer to Sections 5 to 11 of the Environmental<br/>Review Document.

#### PART C: OTHER APPROVALS AND REGULATION

Decision-making authorities and their approvals

Provide a table list of the decision-making authorities, associated legislation or agreement regulating the activity and the specific approval required. (Example table at the end of form) Provide a summary of the statutory decision- making processes you consider can mitigate the	<ul> <li>BHP has considered other statutory decision making authorities and processes. Refer to Sections 5 to 11 of the Environmental Review Document.</li> <li>Refer to Sections 5 to 11 of the Environmental Review Document.</li> </ul>
potential impacts of the proposal on the environment. (Note: this should be a summary of the information provided in Part B section 2.4).	
Tenure and Local Government approvals	
<ul> <li>Location of proposal:</li> <li>a) street address, lot number, suburb, and nearest road intersection; or</li> <li>b) if remote, the nearest town and distance and direction from that town to the proposal site.</li> </ul>	The Proposal is located approximately 2 km southwest of Newman in the Pilbara region of Western Australia.
Name of the Local Government Authority in which the proposal is located.	Shire of East Pilbara
Is rezoning of any land required before the proposal can be implemented? If yes, please provide details.	□ Yes ✓ No
What is the current land use on the property, and the extent (area in hectares) of the property?	The current land use in the Development Envelope is mining exploration by BHP. The total extent of the Development Envelope is 7234 ha.
Does the proponent have the legal access required for the implementation of all aspects of the proposal? <i>If yes, provide details of legal access authorisations</i> <i>/ agreements / tenure.</i> <i>If no, what authorisations / agreements / tenure is</i> <i>required and from whom</i> ?	<ul> <li>✓ Yes</li> <li>□ No</li> <li>The majority of the Proposal is situated on existing tenure, being Mining Lease 266SA (M266SA) held pursuant to the McCamey's State Agreement. The ore mined as part of this Proposal will be processed at the existing approved Newman Hub located on Mineral Lease 244SA (ML244SA) held pursuant to the Newman State Agreement. In addition, conversions into McCamey's State Agreement</li> </ul>
	tenure and the grant of new infrastructure tenure (Miscellaneous Licence and General Purpose Lease) will be required. Refer to Section 1.3.3 of the Environmental Review Document.
Commonwealth Government approvals	
Does the proposal involve an action that may be or is a controlled action under the <i>Environment</i> <i>Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999</i> (EPBC Act)?	<ul> <li>✓ Yes</li> <li>BHP is preparing a Validation Notice for the Proposal under its Strategic Assessment</li> <li>Program, which was approved on 19 June</li> </ul>

	2017. Refer to section 1.2.4 of the Environmental Review Document.		
Has the proposed action been referred? If yes, when was it referred and what is the reference number (EPBC No.)?	□ Yes ✓ No Date: EPBC No.:		
If referred, has a decision been made on whether the proposed action is a controlled action? If 'yes', check the appropriate box and provide the decision in an attachment.	<ul> <li>☐ Yes ✓ No</li> <li>☐ Decision – controlled action</li> <li>☐ Decision – not a controlled action</li> </ul>		
If the proposal is determined to be a controlled action, do you request that this proposal be assessed under a Bilateral Agreement or as an accredited assessment?	<ul> <li>□ Yes - Bilateral ✓ No</li> <li>□ Yes - Accredited</li> </ul>		
Is approval required from other Commonwealth Government/s for any part of the proposal? If yes, describe.	□ Yes ✓ No Approval:		
Decision-making authority referrals ONLY			
What approval/s, under your authority, are required for this proposal? <i>Please provide details</i> .	N/A		

#### Example Table: Other approvals

Decision-making authority	Legislation or Agreement regulating the activity	Approval required (and specify which proposal element the approval is related to)	Whether and how statutory decision-making process can mitigate impacts on the environment? (Yes/No and summary of reasons. Include a separate line item for each relevant impact, and discuss how the EPA's factor objective will be met)