# Form

# Referral of a proposal under s. 38 of the EP Act

PART A: PROPONENT AND REFERRER INFORMATION AND PROPOSAL DESCRIPTION					
Referrer informa	ation				
Who is referring this proposal?		✓ Proponent  ☐ Decision-making authority ☐ Community member/third party			
Name (print) Micheal Denham		21			
Name of the per	son or organisation referring				
Position	Plant Manager – Kemerton Power Station	Organisation	Worley Power	Worley Power Services	
Email	Micheal.denham@worley.com	Phone	0428 999 042		
Address	Lot 505	Treasure Road	Treasure Road		
	Wellesley		WA 6233		
Date 13 March 2024					
Does the referrer request that the EPA treat any pa proposal information in the referral as confidential			□ Yes	√ No	
Provide confidential information in a separate attac		chment.			
Does the referrer confirm that they consent to rece correspondence electronically?		eive	✓ Yes	□ No	
Referral declaration for proponent and Authorised representative:  I, Micheal Denham declare that I am authorised to refer this proposal on behalf of RATCH-Australia Kemerton Pty Ltd and further declare that the information contained in this form is true and not misleading.  Date: 13 March 2024					
Proponent information					
Name of the proponent/s Include Trading Name if relevant		RATCH-Australia Kemerton Pty Ltd			
Australian Company Number(s)		106 619 112			
Australian Busin	ess Number(s)				
Pre-referral discussions					
Have you had pre-referral discussions with the EPA (including the EPA Services of DWER)?		✓ Yes	□ No		
		7 November 2023			

If so, provide name, date, and discussions.	overview of	Pre-referral meeting with EPA Services, discussion of Proposal and proposed approach. EPA Services members included Robert Hughes, Hannah Sumner and Nicole Zago.
		27 February 2024
		Meeting to discuss Proposal and studies completed to date
Proposal information		
Proposal name		Kemerton Power Station Increased Operating Capacity
What is the proposal? (Include general description in the <u>Instructions and template: How to identify the content of a proposal)</u>		The Proposal is to increase the Kemerton Power Station hours of operation from 2,000 hours/annum to 13,800 hours/annum.
		The construction and operation of the Kemerton Power Station was approved under Ministerial Statement 645 (published 9 February 2004) and the facility has been operating since 2015. The Proposal represents a significant amendment to the operating quantities/descriptions currently approved under Schedule 1 (Attachment 6) of Ministerial Statement 645.
		The Proposal is limited to increasing the hours of operation of the approved Kemerton Power Station facility and does not involve any clearing, construction, commissioning or development of new equipment or infrastructure.
Have you provided electronic spatial data, maps, and figures in the appropriate format?		✓ Yes □ No
What type of proposal is being referred?  For significant amendment or derived proposal, provide the associated existing Ministerial statement number/s  For a proposal under an assessed planning scheme, provide the scheme number and name	☐ new proposition ☐ new proposition ☐ significant ☐ significant ☐ strategic proposition ☐ derived proposition ☐ proposals of a proposal proposals of a proposals of a proposals of a proposals of a proposal proposal p	amendment (proposal only) t amendment (conditions only) t amendment (proposal and conditions) esal
Proposal content: Complete the corresponding template (Proposal Content Document) from the Instructions and template: How to identify the content of a proposal for the type of proposal identified above. The completed form must be submitted with the referral.		

2 | June 2023

PART B: ASSESSMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS				
Environmental factors				
facto	t are the likely significant environmental rs for this proposal?	<ul> <li>□ Benthic Communities and Habitat</li> <li>□ Coastal Processes</li> <li>□ Marine Environmental Quality</li> <li>□ Marine Fauna</li> <li>□ Flora and Vegetation</li> <li>□ Landforms</li> <li>□ Subterranean Fauna</li> <li>□ Terrestrial Environmental Quality</li> <li>□ Terrestrial Fauna</li> <li>□ Inland Waters</li> <li>□ Air Quality</li> <li>✓ Greenhouse Gas Emissions</li> <li>□ Social Surroundings</li> <li>□ Human Health</li> </ul>		
	<b>ach</b> of the environmental factors identified aboum mation in a supplementary report	ve, complete the following table, or provide the		
Pote	ntial environmental impacts – for each environ	mental factor		
1	EPA policy and guidance	Refer to Referral Supporting Information		
2	Receiving environment	Document – Section 7		
3	Likely environmental impacts			
4	Application of the mitigation hierarchy, including other statutory decision-making processes			
5	Assessment and significance of residual impacts			
6	Likely environmental outcomes			
Holis	tic impact assessment			
Refer to Section 9 of Referral Supporting Information Document				
Cumulative environmental impact assessment				
Refer to Section 7 and Section 9 of Referral Supporting Information Document				
Consultation				
Refer to Section 4 of Referral Supporting Information Document				
Supporting documents				
Kemerton Power Station Increased Operating Capacity Referral Supporting Information Document, associated appendices.				
	Has the referrer provided survey information according to the <u>Instructions and Form:</u> <u>IBSA Data Packages</u> and/or the <u>Instructions and form: IMSA Data Packages</u> ✓ No			

#### Conclusion

Scope 1 GHG emissions from the Proposal exceed the EPA's assessment threshold of 100,000 tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent per year, as stated in the EPA Factor Guideline for GHG Emissions.

The South West Interconnected System (SWIS) is undergoing significant changes, including forecast increases in demand on the network, the increase of intermittent renewable electricity generation capacity, and the imminent closure of coal power plants in Western Australia.

The Proposal will provide required additional electricity generation capacity, security and stability on the SWIS. While the Proposal will result in increased GHG emissions from KPS, it will provide required electricity to the SWIS at a lower emissions intensity than the coal fired power plants that are scheduled to come offline by 2030, as required to support the WA State Governments ambition of achieving net zero emissions by 2050. The Proposal will facilitate the transition of the SWIS to a predominantly renewables network, with operating hours and associated GHG emissions reducing over time in line with new renewable capacity being added to the network.

No significant residual impacts have been identified and it is considered that the EPA's objective for GHG emissions will be met.

PART B: ASSESSMENT OF ENAMENDMENTS ONLY	IVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS FOR SIGNIFICANT	
Type of significant amendment	✓ significant amendment to the approved proposal  ☐ significant amendment to the implementation conditions	
	$\hfill\Box$ significant amendment to both the proposal and the implementation conditions	
Information of the approved proposal	Ministerial Statement 645 – Kemerton Power Station, Kemerton	
	The construction, operation and maintenance of a nominal 260 megawatt open cycle peaking power plant at Kemerton.	
Combined effects of the approved	Currently approved (MS 645, Schedule 1, Attachment 6)	
proposal and significant amendment	Approximately 320 kilotonnes (kt) of CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent (ktCO <sub>2</sub> -e) of emissions per year	
	Proposed and combined effect	
	Scope 1 GHG emissions of up to 1,345 kt CO <sub>2</sub> -e per year.	
Analysis of existing implementation conditions	No change to existing implementation conditions proposed.	
Previous changes to the Proposal and or implementation conditions	Refer to Referral Supporting Information Document – Section 2.4.1	

## Compliance

5-year history of compliance (compliance reports provided as supporting documents):

- Environmental Ministerial Compliance Report 2018\_Statement 645 - nil matters of noncompliance
- Environmental Ministerial Compliance Report 2019\_Statement 645 - nil matters of noncompliance
- Environmental Ministerial Compliance Report 2020\_Statement 645 - nil matters of noncompliance
- Environmental Ministerial Compliance Report 2021\_Statement 645 - nil matters of noncompliance
- Environmental Ministerial Compliance Report 2022\_Statement 645 - nil matters of noncompliance

Preparation of the report for the 2023 period (28th of February 2023 – 29th of February 2024) is underway and no and there was nil matters of non-compliance for the reporting period.

### **Environmental Performance**

Current environmental performance is presented in the Annual Environmental Report (AER) prepared based on the DWER licence L8026/2004/6 requirements. Presented in the AER, air emission figures demonstrate that the emissions from KPS stack comply with EPA guideline. EPA Guidance Statement No. 15 "Emissions of Oxides of Nitrogen from Gas Turbines" states that for new open cycle turbines using natural gas, dry low NOx burner technology constitutes best practice and is capable of achieving NOx emissions of less than 25ppm. The emissions from KPS gas turbines comply with EPA Guidance Statement No. 15. This requires stack emission of less than 34ppmv (dry at 15% oxygen level) when operating on natural gas, and less than 73ppmv (dry at 15% oxygen level) operating on liquid fuel. Within the Kemerton gas turbines dry low NOx burners are installed that limit NOx emissions to less than 25ppm, therefore minimising NOx emissions without the requirement for water or steam injection. The combustion temperature is reduced when operating on liquid fuel to limit NOx emissions to less than 73ppm. To date the air emissions test program has demonstrated the installed dry low NOx burners are capable of maintaining NOx emissions well below the EPA standards. Both gas turbine units were lasted tested / validated for air emissions performance during licence period of Nov-2022 to Oct-2023 (October 2020) for both natural gas.

Control of implementation of	Annual reporting in line with existing MS 645 conditions.
significant amendment	Annual NGER reporting.

PART B: ASSESSMENT OF ENUNDER AN ASSESSED SCHEME	VIRONMENTAL IMPACTS FOR A PROPOSAL ONLY
What new environmental issues are raised by the proposal that were not assessed during the assessment of the planning scheme?	N/A
How does the proposal not comply with the assessed scheme and/or the environmental conditions in the assessed planning scheme?	N/A

PART B: ASSESSMENT OF PROPOSALS ONLY	ENVIRONMENTAL	IMPACTS	FOR	DERIVED
Demonstrate how the proposal will meet the environmental outcomes defined through the assessment of the strategic proposal	N/A			
Provide an analysis of the existing implementation conditions of the related strategic proposal in relation to the derived proposal	N/A			

PART C: OTHER APPROVALS AND REGULATION		
Decision-making authorities and their approvals		
Provide a table list of the decision-making authorities, associated legislation or agreement regulating the activity and the specific approval required. (Example table at the end of form)	Refer to Table 3-2 of attached Referral Supporting Information Document	
Provide a summary of the statutory decision-making processes you consider can mitigate the potential impacts of the proposal on the environment. (Note: this should be a summary of the information provided in Part B section 2.4). (Example table at the end of form)	Potential impacts associated with the Proposal are limited to GHG Emissions. As outlined in Section 2.5 of the Referral Supporting Information Document and the Greenhouse Gas Management Plan that has been prepared for the Proposal, the key mitigation for GHG emissions associated with the Proposal is the addition of renewable electricity generation capacity on the SWIS.	
Tenure and Local Government approvals		

Location of proposal:	505 Treasure Road, Wellesley, WA, 6233
a) street address, lot number, suburb, and	Lot 505 on Deposited Plan P 39528
nearest road intersection; or  b) if remote, the nearest town and distance and direction from that town to the proposal site.	
Name of the Local Government Authority in which the proposal is located.	Shire of Harvey
Is rezoning of any land required before the proposal can be implemented?	☐ Yes ✓ No
If yes, please provide details.	
What is the current land use on the property, and the extent (area in hectares) of the property?	Industrial - current land use is the Kemerton Power Station. KPS covers approximately 2 ha of the approximately 28 ha RATCH-Australia Kemerton Pty Ltd owned site (Lot 505 on Deposited Plan P 39528).
Does the proponent have the legal access required	✓ Yes □ No
for the implementation of all aspects of the proposal?	The Proponent owns the property on which
If yes, provide details of legal access authorisations / agreements / tenure.	KPS is located.
If no, what authorisations / agreements / tenure is required and from whom?	
Commonwealth Government approvals	
Does the proposal involve an action that may be or is a controlled action under the <i>Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999</i> (EPBC Act)?	☐ Yes ✓ No
Does the proposal involve an action that may be or is a controlled action under the <i>Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999</i>	☐ Yes ✓ No
Does the proposal involve an action that may be or is a controlled action under the <i>Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999</i> (EPBC Act)?  Has the proposed action been referred? If yes, when was it referred and what is the reference	☐ Yes ✓ No
Does the proposal involve an action that may be or is a controlled action under the <i>Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999</i> (EPBC Act)?  Has the proposed action been referred? If yes,	☐ Yes ✓ No Date:
Does the proposal involve an action that may be or is a controlled action under the <i>Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999</i> (EPBC Act)?  Has the proposed action been referred? If yes, when was it referred and what is the reference number (EPBC No.)?	☐ Yes ✓ No Date: EPBC No.:
Does the proposal involve an action that may be or is a controlled action under the <i>Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999</i> (EPBC Act)?  Has the proposed action been referred? If yes, when was it referred and what is the reference number (EPBC No.)?	□ Yes       ✓ No         Date:          EPBC No.:          □ Yes       □ No
Does the proposal involve an action that may be or is a controlled action under the <i>Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999</i> (EPBC Act)?  Has the proposed action been referred? If yes, when was it referred and what is the reference number (EPBC No.)?  If referred, has a decision been made on whether the proposed action is a controlled action? If 'yes',	☐ Yes       ✓ No         Date:          EPBC No.:          ☐ Yes       ☐ No         ☐ Decision – controlled action
Does the proposal involve an action that may be or is a controlled action under the <i>Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999</i> (EPBC Act)?  Has the proposed action been referred? If yes, when was it referred and what is the reference number (EPBC No.)?	□ Yes       ✓ No         Date:          EPBC No.:          □ Yes       □ No
Does the proposal involve an action that may be or is a controlled action under the <i>Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999</i> (EPBC Act)?  Has the proposed action been referred? If yes, when was it referred and what is the reference number (EPBC No.)?  If referred, has a decision been made on whether the proposed action is a controlled action? If 'yes', check the appropriate box and provide the decision in an attachment.	☐ Yes       ✓ No         Date:          EPBC No.:          ☐ Yes       ☐ No         ☐ Decision – controlled action
Does the proposal involve an action that may be or is a controlled action under the <i>Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999</i> (EPBC Act)?  Has the proposed action been referred? If yes, when was it referred and what is the reference number (EPBC No.)?  If referred, has a decision been made on whether the proposed action is a controlled action? If 'yes', check the appropriate box and provide the decision	<ul> <li>Yes ✓ No</li> <li>Date:</li> <li>EPBC No.:</li> <li>Yes □ No</li> <li>□ Decision – controlled action</li> <li>□ Decision – not a controlled action</li> </ul>
Does the proposal involve an action that may be or is a controlled action under the <i>Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999</i> (EPBC Act)?  Has the proposed action been referred? If yes, when was it referred and what is the reference number (EPBC No.)?  If referred, has a decision been made on whether the proposed action is a controlled action? If 'yes', check the appropriate box and provide the decision in an attachment.  If the proposal is determined to be a controlled	☐ Yes ✓ No   Date:
Does the proposal involve an action that may be or is a controlled action under the <i>Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999</i> (EPBC Act)?  Has the proposed action been referred? If yes, when was it referred and what is the reference number (EPBC No.)?  If referred, has a decision been made on whether the proposed action is a controlled action? If 'yes', check the appropriate box and provide the decision in an attachment.  If the proposal is determined to be a controlled action, do you request that this proposal be assessed under a Bilateral Agreement or as an accredited assessment?	☐ Yes ✓ No   Date:
Does the proposal involve an action that may be or is a controlled action under the <i>Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999</i> (EPBC Act)?  Has the proposed action been referred? If yes, when was it referred and what is the reference number (EPBC No.)?  If referred, has a decision been made on whether the proposed action is a controlled action? If 'yes', check the appropriate box and provide the decision in an attachment.  If the proposal is determined to be a controlled action, do you request that this proposal be assessed under a Bilateral Agreement or as an	☐ Yes ✓ No   Date: EPBC No.:   ☐ Yes ☐ No   ☐ Decision – controlled action   ☐ Decision – not a controlled action   ☐ Yes - Bilateral ☐ No   ☐ Yes - Accredited
Does the proposal involve an action that may be or is a controlled action under the <i>Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999</i> (EPBC Act)?  Has the proposed action been referred? If yes, when was it referred and what is the reference number (EPBC No.)?  If referred, has a decision been made on whether the proposed action is a controlled action? If 'yes', check the appropriate box and provide the decision in an attachment.  If the proposal is determined to be a controlled action, do you request that this proposal be assessed under a Bilateral Agreement or as an accredited assessment?  Is approval required from other Commonwealth	☐ Yes ✓ No   Date:

What approval/s, under your authority, are	N/A
required for this proposal? Please provide details.	