



GPO Box C102, Perth WA 6839 T 9326 3666 info@metronet.wa.gov.au

25 January 2018

EPA Services
Department of Water and Environmental Regulation
Locked Bag 33, Cloisters Square
PERTH WA 6850

Dear Sir/Madam

METRONET - YANCHEP RAIL EXTENSION: PART 1 - BUTLER TO EGLINTON

The Yanchep Rail Extension is a key component of the State Government's METRONET program, with services planned to commence in 2021. The Project will extend the Joondalup railway line from Butler to Yanchep. The extension will also include new stations at Alkimos, Eglinton and Yanchep to serve the area's current and future growth and to address road congestion.

Please find enclosed documentation referring Part 1 of the Yanchep Rail Extension to your department under Section 38 of the *Environmental Protection Act 1986*. Note that although this is a METRONET project the Public Transport Authority (PTA) will be the proponent as it will be responsible for the construction and operation of the railway.

Should you have any queries, please contact Miranda Ludlow, PTA Environmental Manager on 9326 3972 or Miranda.Ludlow@pta.wa.gov.au.

Yours sincerely

Owen Thomas

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

Infrastructure Planning and Land Services Public Transport Authority



Environmental Protection Authority

Form for the referral of a proposal to the Environmental Protection Authority under Section 38 of the *Environmental Protection Act 1986*

Referrer information						
Who is referring this proposal?		X Proponent ☐ Decision-making authority				
satojuna se siigo kiisidas lissulfautos e		☐ Community member/third party				
Name (print)		Signature	Executive Director Infrastructure Planning And Land Services			
Owen Thomas						
Position	Executive Director, Infrastructure Planning and Land Services	Organisation	Public Transport A	and the second second		
Email owen.thomas@pta.wa.gov.au						
Address	Public Transport Centre	West Parade				
	Perth	erth		6000		
Date	12/2/18					
Does the referrer request that the EPA treat any particle proposal information in the referral as confidential provide confidential information in a separate attachment.		tial?	al?			
Referral declaration for organisations, proponents and decision-making authorities:						
I, Owen Thomas, declare that I am authorised to refer this proposal on behalf of Public Transport Authority and further declare that the information contained in this form is true and not misleading.						
Part A: Proponent and proposal description						
Proponent information						
Name of the proponent/s (including Trading Name if relevant)		Pu	Public Transport Authority			
Australian Company Number(s)			61 850 109 576			
Australian Business Number(s) X						
	proposal (if different from the re	eferrer) En	Miranda Ludlow Environmental Manager Public Transport Authority Public Transport Centre, West Parade			
Please include: name; physical address; phone; and em			PERTH WA 6000			

	Direct: 9326 3972 Email: miranda.ludlow@pta.wa.gov.au
Does the proponent have the legal access required for the implementation of all aspects of the proposal? If yes, provide details of legal access authorisations / agreements / tenure. If no, what authorisations / agreements / tenure is required and from whom?	X Yes No The Yanchep Rail Extension has been declared a Public Work, under section 11 of the Public Works Act 1902 (PWA). Following the declaration, the Minister for Transport provided authorisation to enter land under section 82 of the PWA for the purpose of investigating the feasibility of constructing the Yanchep Rail Extension railway, in particular works as described in section 82(1) of the PWA. In accordance with the PWA, the PTA Land Tenure team will be responsible for arranging entry onto land for the purposes of feasibility studies, including survey and geotechnical investigation works. Rail Enabling Legislation is required to authorise, in accordance with Part VI of the PWA, the construction of the Yanchep Rail Extension and grant powers, under Parts 9 and 10 of the Land Administration Act 1997, to acquire interests in land for the construction of the railway. The Rail Enabling Legislation will be introduced into Parliament in early 2018.
Proposal type	na speti Aris and tank semper rational discount
What type of proposal is being referred? For a change to an approved proposal please state the Ministerial Statement number/s (MS No./s) of the approved proposal For a derived proposal please state the Ministerial Statement number (MS No.) of the associated strategic proposal	X significant – new proposal □ significant – change to approved proposal (MS No./s:) □ proposal under an assessed planning scheme □ strategic □ derived (Strategic MS No.:)
 For a significant proposal: Why do you consider the proposal may have a significant effect on the environment and warrant referral to the EPA? 	Part 1 of the Yanchep Rail Extension project includes the clearing of a State listed Threatened Ecological Community. The Yanchep Rail Extension project is likely to have a high level of public interest.
For a proposal under an assessed planning scheme, provide the following details: • Scheme name and number For the Responsible Authority: • What new environmental issues are raised by the proposal that were not assessed during the assessment	

of the planning scheme?	
 How does the proposal not comply with the assessed scheme and/or the environmental conditions in the assessed planning scheme? 	
Proposal description	
Title of the proposal	Yanchep Rail Extension: Part 1 – Butler Station to Eglinton Station
Name of the Local Government Authority in which the proposal is located.	City of Wanneroo
 Location: a) street address, lot number, suburb, and nearest road intersection; or b) if remote the nearest town and distance and direction from that town to the proposal site. 	The Part 1 development envelope generally lies between the suburbs of Butler and Eglinton.
Proposal description — including the key characteristics of the proposal Provide as an attachment to the form	The proposal is to extend the existing Joondalup railway line from Butler Station to Eglinton Station, with a contingency turnback facility provided to the north of the Eglinton Station. The proposal includes the construction and operation of two new stations at Alkimos and Eglinton with intermodal rail, bus, 'park and ride', 'kiss and ride' and active mode (walking and cycling) facilities at each station. The 70.22 ha Part 1 development envelope is comprised of a 45.42 ha development footprint and 24.8 ha of construction and access area.
Have you provided electronic spatial data, maps and figure in the appropriate format? Refer to instructions at the front of the form	X Yes
What is the current land use on the property, and the extent (area in hectares) of the property?	The majority of the 45.42 ha Part 1 development footprint is comprised of land reserved "Railways" under the MRS. The remainder of the land within the 70.22 ha Part 1 development envelope is reserved for "Other Regional Roads" and "Parks and Recreation" or zoned "Urban" and "Central City Area".
Have you had pre-referral discussions with the OEPA? If so, quote the reference number and/or the OEPA contact.	A proposal briefing was conducted for the Chairman of the EPA, Dr Tom Hatton, and officers from the Department of Water and Environment Regulation (DWER) with the PTA represented by Environment Manager, Miranda Ludlow, on 04 September 2017. Further meetings were held with DWER officers on 02 November 2017 and 01 December 2017 to progress the drafting of the referral.

Environmental factors						
What are the likely significant environmental factors for this proposal?		☐ Benthic Communities and Habitat				
		☐ Coastal Processes				
		☐ Marine Environmental Quality				
		☐ Marine Fa	☐ Marine Fauna			
		X Flora and Vegetation				
		☐ Landforms				
		☐ Subterranean Fauna				
		☐ Terrestrial Environmental Quality				
		X Terrestrial Fauna				
		☐ Hydrologi	cal Processes			
		☐ Inland Waters Environmental Quality				
		☐ Air Quality				
		X Social Surroundings				
		☐ Human He	ealth			
	e environmental factors identified above, co upplementary report. Please be sure to comp					
Potentia	al environmental impacts	rh .	lefer to instructions at the front of the for			
1	EPA Factor		The potential environmental impacts for the EPA factors relevant to the Part 1 of the Yanchep Rail Extension project are supplied in the supplementary Environmental Impact Assessment report.			
2	EPA policy and guidance - What have you considered and how have you applied them in relation to this factor?					
3	Consultation – Outline the outcomes of consultation in relation to the potential environmental impacts					
4	Receiving environment - Describe the current condition of the receiving environment in relation to this factor.					
5	Proposal activities – Describe the proposal activities that have the potential to impact the environment					

6	Mitigation - Describe the measures proposed to manage and mitigate the potential environmental impacts.	
7	Impacts - Assess the impacts of the proposal and review the residual impacts against the EPA objective.	
8	Assumptions - Describe any assumptions critical to your assessment e.g. particular mitigation measures or regulatory conditions.	

Part C: Other approvals and regulation							
State and Local Government approvals					.etosqmi		
Is rezoning of any land required before the proposal can be implemented? If yes, please provide details.			The majority of the alignment of the Yanchep Rail Extension railway is reserved 'Railway' under the Metropolitan Region Scheme (MRS). A minor amendment to the MRS will be processed after construction and survey of the railway to include those portions located outside the boundaries of the current MRS 'Railway' reservation. The land currently reserved under the MRS for railways is exempt from planning approvals with those portions of railway outside the reserve boundaries, not including the Station Precincts, to be exempt from planning approval under the Rail Enabling Legislation. Planning approval applications will be lodged for development of the Station Precincts only.				
	n referred by a decision al(s) are required from	_					
Proposal activities e.g. clearing, dewatering, mining, processing, dredging,	Land tenure/access e.g. Crown land – LA Act, Min Act, CALM Act specify type	Type of approval e.g. Native Vegetat Clearing Permit, lice mining proposal,					6 – Part V <i>, RiWI</i>
Commonwealth Government approvals Does the proposal involve an action that may be or is a controlled action under the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 (EPBC Act)?				No			
Has the proposed action been referred? If yes, when was it referred and what is the reference number (EPBC No.)?			X Yes No The Part 1 development envelope has been included within six EPBC referrals. See Section 1.4.4 in the supplementary EIA. Date:				

Part C: Other approvals and regulation					
State and Local Government approvals					
	EPBC No.:				
If referred, has a decision been made on whether the proposed action is a controlled action? If 'yes', check the appropriate box and provide the decision in an attachment.	X Yes No The six EPBC referrals have all been assessed as Controlled Actions. See Section 1.4.4 in the supplementary EIA. X Decision − controlled action □ Decision − not a controlled action				
Do you request that this proposal be assessed under the bilateral agreement or as an accredited assessment?	□ Yes - Bilateral X No				
Is approval required from other Commonwealth Government/s for any part of the proposal? If yes, describe.	□ Yes X No				

