

Environmental Protection Authority

Form for the referral of a proposal to the Environmental Protection Authority under Section 38 of the *Environmental Protection Act 1986*

Referrer info	ormation					
Who is referring this proposal? ☐ De		roponent				
			Decision-making authority			
			/ XX 1//	ember/third party	11	
Name (print) M	atthew Shackleton	Signa	ture	rockk	K	
Position	Executive Chairman	Orgai	Organisation Australian Potash Limited		Limited	
Email	m.shackleton@australianpot	tash.co	.com.au			
Address	PO Box 1941	Stree	Street Name			
	WEST PERTH			WA	6872	
Date	20 December 2017					
Does the referrer request that the EPA treat any part proposal information in the referral as confidential? Provide confidential information in a separate attach.			□ Yes X No			
Referral declar	ation for organisations, propo	nents a	nd decision-	making authorities:		
	iam Shackleton, declare that I and further declare that the in			2		
Part A: Prop	onent and proposal desc	ription		2700		
Proponent info	rmation					
Name of the proponent/s		Australian Potash Limited				
(including Trad	ng Name if relevant)					
Australian Company Number(s) OR		58 149 390 394				
Australian Busi	ness Number(s)				ne beissen	
Contact for the proposal (if different from the referrer)		Jessica Li Senior Environmental Scientist MBS Environmental 4 Cook Street				
Please include: name; physical address; phone; and email.		WET PERTH WA 6006 Ph 08 9226 3166 Email: jli@mbsenvironmental.com.au				
Does the proponent have the legal access required for the implementation of all aspects of the proposal?		✓ Yes □ No				

If yes, provide details of legal access authorisations / agreements / tenure. If no, what authorisations / agreements / tenure is required and from whom?	Australian Potash hold a number of exploration tenements over the project area. Mining tenements M38/1274, M38/1275 and M38/1276 have been applied for and are pending. Miscellaneous Licence applications will be made for infrastructure as required. Full details of tenure are contained in Table 2 of Attachment 1.		
Proposal type			
What type of proposal is being referred? For a change to an approved proposal please state the Ministerial Statement number/s (MS No./s) of the approved proposal	✓ significant – new proposal □ significant – change to approved proposal (MS No./s:) □ proposal under an assessed planning scheme □ strategic		
For a derived proposal please state the Ministerial Statement number (MS No.) of the associated	derived (Strategic MS No.:)		
strategic proposal For a significant proposal:	Australian Potash does NOT consider that the		
 Why do you consider the proposal may have a significant effect on the environment and warrant referral to the EPA? 	proposed Lake Wells Potash project is a significant proposal requiring assessment under Part IV of the EP Act.		
	The regulation of the proposal can be adequately administered under other legislation (notably Part V of the EP Act and relevant sections of the <i>Mining Act</i> 1978 and the <i>Rights in Water & Irrigation Act</i> 1914).		
	The proposal is being referred to EPA for certainty.		
For a proposal under an assessed planning scheme, provide the following details:	Not applicable		
 Scheme name and number 			
For the Responsible Authority:			
 What new environmental issues are raised by the proposal that were not assessed during the assessment of the planning scheme? 			
 How does the proposal not comply with the assessed scheme and/or the environmental conditions in the assessed planning scheme? 			
Proposal description			
Title of the proposal	Lake Wells Potash Project		
Name of the Local Government Authority in which the proposal is located.	Shire of Laverton		
Location:	Approximately 160 km north northeast of Laverton.		
a) street address, lot number, suburb, and nearest road intersection; or	See Figure 2of Attachment 1.		
b) if remote the nearest town and distance and direction from that town to the proposal site.	75/17		
Proposal description – including the key characteristics of the proposal Provide as an attachment to the form	See Section 2.4 of Attachment 1.		

ATTENDA OF THE PROPERTY OF THE			
Have you provided electronic spatial data, maps and figure in the appropriate format? Refer to instructions at the front of the form	✓ Yes □ No		
What is the current land use on the property, and the extent (area in hectares) of the property?	The Lake Wells Potash Project is located on Vacant Crown Land (VCL) and the Lake Wells Pastoral Lease (PL NO50056), an operating cattle station in the Mount Margaret Mineral Field.		
Have you had pre-referral discussions with the OEPA? If so, quote the reference number and/or the OEPA contact.	Yes. Meetings with Peter Tapsell on 22 September 2016. Subsequent meeting with Chris Stanley on 5 July 2017. Final pre referral meeting with Peter Tapsell and Chris Stanley on 20 December 2017.		
Part B: Environmental impacts			
Environmental factors			
What are the likely significant environmental	☐ Benthic Communities and Habitat		
factors for this proposal?	☐ Coastal Processes		
	☐ Marine Environmental Quality		
	☐ Marine Fauna		
	☐ Flora and Vegetation		
	☐ Landforms		
	☐ Subterranean Fauna		
	☐ Terrestrial Environmental Quality		
	☐ Terrestrial Fauna		
	✓ Hydrological Processes		
	✓ Inland Waters Environmental Quality		
	☐ Air Quality		
	☐ Social Surroundings		
	☐ Human Health		
	complete the following table, or provide the information		
in a supplementary report. Please be sure to con	nplete a separate table per factor identified above.		

1	EPA Factor	
2	EPA policy and guidance - What have you considered and how have you applied them in relation to this factor?	
3	Consultation – Outline the outcomes of consultation in relation to the potential environmental impacts	
4	Receiving environment - Describe the current condition of the receiving environment in relation to this factor.	
5	Proposal activities – Describe the proposal activities that have the potential to impact the environment	
6	Mitigation - Describe the measures proposed to manage and mitigate the potential environmental impacts.	
7	Impacts - Assess the impacts of the proposal and review the residual impacts against the EPA objective.	
8	Assumptions - Describe any assumptions critical to your assessment e.g. particular mitigation measures or regulatory conditions.	

Part C: Other appro	ovals and regulation				
State and Local Gover	nment approvals				
Is rezoning of any land required before the proposal can be implemented? If yes, please provide details.			□ Yes ✓No		
If this proposal has bee approval(s) are require	n referred by a decision-m d from you?	naking authority, w	hat	NA	
e.g. clearing, dewatering, mining, processing, dredging,	e.g. Crown land – LA Act, Min Act, CALM Act specify type	Type of approval e.g. Native Vegetation Clearing Permit, licence, mining proposal,		Legislation regulating the activity e.g. EP Act 1986 – Part V, RiWI Act 1914, Mining Act 1979	
Land clearing	Crown land – Mining Act 1978 and Land Administration Act 1997	Native Vegetation Clearing Permit (if not assessed by EPA)		Environmental Protection Act 1986 Mining Act 1979	
Brine abstraction	Crown land – Mining Act 1978 and Land Administration Act 1997	Mining Proposal and Mine Closure Plan Construction of water production bores and Groundwater Abstraction Licence (26D and 5C)		Mining Act 1979 Rights in Water Irrigation Act 1914	
Production of potash by solar evaporation (non metallic mineral processing)	Crown land – Mining Act 1978 and Land Administration Act 1997	Mining Proposal and Mine Closure Plan Works Approval and Environmental Licence		Mining Act 1979 EP Act (Part V)	
Water abstraction (Fractured Rock Aquifer)	Crown land – Mining Act 1978 and Land Administration Act 1997	Mining Proposal and Mine Closure Plan Construction of water production bores and Groundwater Abstraction Licence (26D and 5C)		Mining Act 1979 Rights in Water Irrigation Act 1914	
Support activities (sewage treatment, landfill, power generation, accommodation village, airstrip)	Crown land – Mining Act 1978 and Land Administration Act 1997	Mining Proposal and Mine Closure Plan Works Approval and Environmental Licence		Mining Act 1979 EP Act (Part V)	
Commonwealth Gove	rnment approvals			L	
	olve an action that may be conment Protection and Bio (EPBC Act)?		_ Y	'es ✔ No	
1	on been referred? If yes, w ne reference number (EPBC		Date:	'es	

Part C: Other approvals and regulation				
State and Local Government approvals				
If referred, has a decision been made on whether the proposed action is a controlled action? If 'yes', check the appropriate box and provide the decision in an attachment.	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Decision — controlled action			
Do you request that this proposal be assessed under the bilateral agreement or as an accredited assessment?	 □ Decision – not a controlled action □ Yes - Bilateral ✓ No □ Yes - Accredited 			
Is approval required from other Commonwealth Government/s for any part of the proposal? If yes, describe.	☐ Yes ✓ No Approval:			