Holiday Accommodation Lot 660 La Perouse Court, Goode Beach

DEFENDED INFORMATION AND DOODOG

Referral of a proposal under s. 38 of the EP Act

DESCRIPTION					
Referrer infor	Referrer information				
Who is referring this proposal?		Decision-	 ✓ Proponent □ Decision-making authority □ Community member/third party 		
Name		Signature			
Position	Senior Environmental Scientist	Organisation	Aurora Enviro	nmental	
Email		Phone			
Address	Unit 7, 57-59	Lockyer Avenu	ue		
	Albany		WA	6330	
Date	10/7/2023				
	rrer request that the EPA treat any p rmation in the referral as confidentia		X Yes	No	
	lential information in a separate atto				
	rrer confirm that they consent to rec nce electronically?	eive	X Yes	🗆 No	
Referral declaration for proponent and Authorised representative: I, declare that I am authorised to refer this proposal on behalf of THE TRUSTEE FOR C MARTIN ACCUMULATION FUND and further declare that the information contained in this form is true and not misleading.					
Date: 7/2/24					
Proponent in					
Name of the I Include Tradin	ng Name if relevant	THE TRUSTEE F	THE TRUSTEE FOR C MARTIN ACCUMULATION FUND		
Australian Company Number(s)		81562423057	81562423057		
OR Australian Bus	siness Number(s) 🗸 🗸				
Pre-referral discussions					
-	pre-referral discussions with the EP EPA Services of DWER)?	O'Brien and	 ✓ Yes. A meeting was held with Gerard O'Brien and Teresa Bryant, EIA Planning, EPA Services on 14 January 2021. □ No 		

If so, provide name, date, and discussions.	overview of			
Proposal information				
•				
Proposal name		Holiday Accommodation Lot 660 La Perouse Court, Goode Beach		
What is the proposal? (Include general description in the Instructions and template: How to identify the content of a proposal)		The proposal is to develop Lot 660 (No. 40) La Perouse Court, Goode Beach in the City of Albany, Western Australia into eco-friendly holiday accommodation. The proposal consists of a tourist development including holiday accommodation units and associated facilities (restaurant/function centre, manager's residence).		
		The proposal has a project footprint of 2.68 ha utilising existing tracks and includes a notional reticulation area. Implementing the proposal will involve the clearing of 2.23 ha of native vegetation in the northeast of Lot 660. The balance of Lot 660 (5.02 ha) will be secured for conservation, using a mechanism such as a conservation covenant.		
		The development will include eco-friendly features such as rainwater capture and reuse and on-site wastewater treatment and reuse.		
Have you provided electronic and figures in the appropriate		✓ Yes □ No		
What type of proposal is being referred?	 ✓ significant proposal. Choose which type of significant proposal ✓ new proposal □ significant amendment (proposal only) 			
For significant amendment	•	amendment (conditions only)		
or derived proposal, provide	□ significant amendment (proposal and conditions)			
the associated existing Ministerial statement	 strategic proposal derived proposal 			
number/s	proposals of a pr			
		an assessed planning scheme		
For a proposal under an				
assessed planning scheme,				
provide the scheme number				
and name Proposal content: Refer to Proposal Content Document				
Alternatives Refer to supporting document Section 2				

PART B: ASSESSMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS

Environmental factors

	t are the likely significant environmental rs for this proposal?	 □ Benthic Communities and Habitat ✓ Coastal Processes □ Marine Environmental Quality □ Marine Fauna ✓ Flora and Vegetation □ Landforms □ Subterranean Fauna □ Terrestrial Environmental Quality ✓ Terrestrial Fauna ✓ Inland Waters □ Air Quality 		
		 □ Greenhouse Gas Emissions ✓ Social Surroundings □ Human Health 		
infori	mation in a supplementary report	ve, complete the following table, or provide the		
Pote	ntial environmental impacts – for each environ	imental factor		
1	EPA policy and guidance	Refer to supporting documentation		
2	Receiving environment	Refer to supporting documentation		
3	Likely environmental impacts	Refer to supporting documentation		
4	Application of the mitigation hierarchy, including other statutory decision-making processes	Refer to supporting documentation		
5	Assessment and significance of residual impacts	Refer to supporting documentation		
6	Likely environmental outcomes	Refer to supporting documentation		
Holis	tic impact assessment			
Refer	to supporting documentation section 7.1			
Cum	ulative environmental impact assessment			
	to supporting documentation section 7.2			
Cons	ultation			
Structure plan concept was approved by the City of Albany but consideration by Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage indicated that environmental assessment by the EPA was needed to determine management of environmental impacts. Further information is provided in supporting documentation section 3				
Supporting documents				
Att 1: proposal and management treatments Blue Tinsel Lilly target survey				
Environmental Assessment				
Fauna survey				
Fire Evac Plan				
Fire Management Plan				
<u> </u>				

Flora Survey		
Ground and Surface Water Quality		
Hydrological Monitoring parts 1 – 3		
Supplementary Documentation parts 1-2		
Wetland Assessment Parts 1-2		
Has the referrer provided survey information according to the <i>Instructions and Form:</i>	✓ Yes	
IBSA Data Packages and/or the Instructions and form: IMSA Data Packages	🗆 No	
Conclusion		
Do you consider the proposal may have a significant effect on the environment?		
The Proponent has referred the proposal for Holiday Accommodation at Lot 660 (40) La Perouse		
Court at Goode Beach in the City of Albany to the EPA under Section 38 of the EP Act. The	e Proponent	
has considered the potential impacts for what they consider to be the key environment	al factors:	

•Coastal Processes

•Flora and vegetation.

•Terrestrial fauna.

•Inland waters.

•Social surroundings.

The Proponent has applied the mitigation hierarchy and considers that the impacts of this Proposal on the EPA factors are able to be mitigated or managed to enable the EPA's objectives for each factor to be met.

PART B: ASSESSMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS FOR SIGNIFICANT AMENDMENTS ONLY

Type of significant amendment	 significant amendment to the approved proposal significant amendment to the implementation conditions significant amendment to both the proposal and the implementation conditions
Information of the approved proposal	
Combined effects of the approved proposal and significant amendment	
Analysis of existing implementation conditions	
Previous changes to the Proposal and or implementation conditions	
Compliance	
Environmental Performance	

Control of implementation of	
significant amendment	

PART B: ASSESSMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS FOR A PROPOSAL UNDER AN ASSESSED SCHEME ONLY What new environmental issues are

raised by the proposal that were not assessed during the assessment of the planning scheme?

How does the proposal not comply	
with the assessed scheme and/or the	
environmental conditions in the	
assessed planning scheme?	

PART B: ASSESSMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS FOR DERIVED PROPOSALS ONLY

Demonstrate how the proposal will meet the environmental outcomes defined through the assessment of the strategic proposal	
Provide an analysis of the existing implementation conditions of the related strategic proposal in relation to the derived proposal	

PART C: OTHER APPROVALS AND REGULATION

Decision-making authorities and their approvals			
Provide a table list of the decision-making authorities, associated legislation or agreement regulating the activity and the specific approval required. (Example table at the end of form)	Refer to supporting documentation section 1.5		
Provide a summary of the statutory decision- making processes you consider can mitigate the potential impacts of the proposal on the environment. (Note: this should be a summary of the information provided in Part B section 2.4). (Example table at the end of form)	Refer to supporting documentation section 1.5 and Table F-J		
Tenure and Local Government approvals			
Location of proposal:	Lot 660 La Perouse Court, Goode Beach		
 a) street address, lot number, suburb, and nearest road intersection; or 			

b) if remote, the nearest town and distance and direction from that town to the proposal site.	
Name of the Local Government Authority in which the proposal is located.	City of Albany
Is rezoning of any land required before the proposal can be implemented? If yes, please provide details.	□ Yes ✓ No
What is the current land use on the property, and the extent (area in hectares) of the property?	Native vegetation – 'zoned as Special Use Zone 1' for holiday accommodation (City of Albany Local Planning Scheme No. 1). 7.7107 ha (entire lot area)
Does the proponent have the legal access required for the implementation of all aspects of the proposal?	✓ Yes □ No
<i>If yes,</i> provide details of legal access authorisations / agreements / tenure.	
<i>If no,</i> what authorisations / agreements / tenure is required and from whom?	
Commonwealth Government approvals	
Does the proposal involve an action that may be or is a controlled action under the <i>Environment</i> <i>Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999</i> (EPBC Act)?	✓ Yes □ No
Has the proposed action been referred? If yes, when was it referred and what is the reference number (EPBC No.)?	✓ Yes □ No Date: 22/02/24 EPBC No.: _ <i>02232_</i>
If referred, has a decision been made on whether the proposed action is a controlled action? If 'yes', check the appropriate box and provide the decision in an attachment.	 □ Yes ✓ No □ Decision – controlled action □ Decision – not a controlled action
If the proposal is determined to be a controlled action, do you request that this proposal be assessed under a Bilateral Agreement or as an accredited assessment?	 ✓ Yes - Bilateral ✓ Yes - Accredited
Is approval required from other Commonwealth Government/s for any part of the proposal? If yes, describe.	□ Yes ✓ No Approval:
Decision-making authority referrals ONLY	
What approval/s, under your authority, are required for this proposal? <i>Please provide details.</i>	

Example Table: Other approvals

Decision-making authority	Legislation or Agreement regulating the activity	Approval required (and specify which proposal element the approval is related to)

Example table: Other statutory decision-making process which can mitigate potential impacts on the environment

Environmental impact	How is the impact regulated by other decision- making process(es)?	Limit(s) of the decision-making process(es) to regulate the impact eg time limits, excluded operations	Likely environmental outcome of decision-making process(es), and consistency with EPA objective	Conditions, enforcement, and review process required by decision- making process(es)	Stakeholder engagement in decision-making process(es)