Form

Referral of a proposal under s. 38 of the EP Act

PART A: PROPONENT AND REFERRER INFORMATION AND PROPOSAL DESCRIPTION				
Referrer inform				
Who is referring this proposal?		✓ Proponent □ Decision-making authority □ Community member/third party		
Name (print) Sarah Carroll		Signature	Saral (and
Position	Director	Organisatio n	Rhodes Ridge Management So Ltd	ervices Pty
Email	sarah.j.carroll@riotinto.com	Phone	(08) 9327 2000	
Address	152 - 158 St Georges Terrace			
	Perth		WA	6000
Date	Date 25 January 2024			
Does the referrer request that the EPA treat any proposal information in the referral as confiden		• •	☐ Yes	✓ No
Provide confidential information in a separate attachment.				
	rer confirm that they consent to ce electronically?	receive	✓ Yes	□ No
Referral declaration for proponent and Authorised representative: I, Sarah Carroll declare that I am authorised to refer this proposal on behalf of Rhodes Ridge Management Services and further declare that the information contained in this form is true and not misleading. Date: 25 January 2024				
Proponent information				
Name of the proponent/s Include Trading Name if relevant		Rhodes Ridge Ma	anagement Servic	ces Pty Ltd
Australian Company Number(s)		33 662 895 927		
OR Australian Business Number(s) ✓				

Pre-referral discussions Have you had pre-referral discussions with the \square No ✓ Yes **EPA (including the EPA Services of DWER)?** Wednesday 19 July 2023 If so, provide name, date, and overview of Overview: Discussion with the EPA centred on discussions. the proposed approval approach for the Rhodes Ridge development, the consideration of cumulative impacts, and application of the revised Social Surrounds factor guideline. **Tuesday 17 October 2023** Overview: Discussion with EPA Services on the proposed scope of the project (including the addition of Giles Mini deposits), the biological survey work undertaken to date and the proposed plan for additional survey work throughout 2024. Discussion also included overall assessment timeframes. **Proposal information Proposal name** Rhodes Ridge Iron Ore Project The Proposal is for the development of the What is the proposal? (Include general Rhodes Ridge Iron Ore Project (the Proposal), description in the Instructions and template: How located approximately 40 km north-west of to identify the content of a proposal) Newman, in the Shire of East Pilbara. The Proposal requires a disturbance footprint of up to 14,850 ha within a 61,301 ha Development Envelope. The Proposal includes the development of new above and below water table deposits, and associated activities. The proposal includes but is not limited to: Development of new deposits including above and below water table mining at Rhodes Ridge Main and Arrowhead deposits and above water table mining only at Giles Mini deposits Ore processing (wet and dry), transport

and handling infrastructure

Ore, topsoil and subsoil stockpiles

Mineral waste management including but not limited to waste rock dumps, storage of waste fines, low grade ore dumps

Surface water management infrastructure

		 Dewatering and surplus water management Renewable energy infrastructure including solar Other associated infrastructure and support facilities including accommodation camps, workshops, hydrocarbon storage, laydown areas and offices
Have you provided electronic and figures in the appropriat	•	✓ Yes □ No
What type of proposal is ✓ significant prop		osal. Choose which type of significant proposal
being referred?	✓ new propos	sal
	☐ significant	amendment (proposal only)
For significant amendment	☐ significant	amendment (conditions only)
or derived proposal, provide	☐ significant	amendment (proposal and conditions)
the associated existing	☐ strategic propos	sal
Ministerial statement	☐ derived proposa	I
number/s	☐ proposals of a p	
		an assessed planning scheme
For a proposal under an	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	,
assessed planning scheme,		
provide the scheme number		
and name		
Proposal content: Complete t	he corresponding tem	plate (Proposal Content Document) from the
<u>Instructions and template: How to identify the content of a proposal</u> for the type of proposal		
identified above. The complet	nitted with the referral.	
Alternatives	See Section 1.3.3 of	the Supporting Document

P	ART B: ASSESSMENT OF ENVIRONMEN	TAL IMPACTS	
En	vironmental factors		
What are the likely significant environmental factors for this proposal?		☐ Benthic Communities and Habitat ☐ Coastal Processes ☐ Marine Environmental Quality ☐ Marine Fauna ✓ Flora and Vegetation ☐ Landforms ✓ Subterranean Fauna ☐ Terrestrial Environmental Quality ✓ Terrestrial Fauna ✓ Inland Waters ☐ Air Quality ✓ Greenhouse Gas Emissions ✓ Social Surroundings ☐ Human Health	
inf	r each of the environmental factors identified abo		
Ро	tential environmental impacts – Flora and Veget		
1	EPA policy and guidance	See Section 6.2 of the Supporting Document	
2	Receiving environment	See Section 6.3 of the Supporting Document	
3	Likely environmental impacts	See Section 6.4 of the Supporting Document	
4	Application of the mitigation hierarchy, including other statutory decision-making processes	See Section 6.5 of the Supporting Document	
5	Assessment and significance of residual impacts	See Section 6.6 of the Supporting Document	
6	Likely environmental outcomes	See Section 6.7 of the Supporting Document	
Ро	tential environmental impacts – Subterranean Fa	auna	
1	EPA policy and guidance	See Section 9.2 of the Supporting Document	
2	Receiving environment	See Section 9.3 of the Supporting Document	
3	Likely environmental impacts	See Section 9.4 of the Supporting Document	
4	Application of the mitigation hierarchy, including other statutory decision-making processes	See Section 9.5 of the Supporting Document	
5	Assessment and significance of residual impacts	See Section 9.6 of the Supporting Document	
6	Likely environmental outcomes	See Section 9.7 of the Supporting Document	
Potential environmental impacts – Terrestrial Fauna			
1	EPA policy and guidance	See Section 7.2 of the Supporting Document	

1		T
2	Receiving environment	See Section 7.3 of the Supporting Document
3	Likely environmental impacts	See Section 7.4 of the Supporting Document
4	Application of the mitigation hierarchy, including other statutory decision-making processes	See Section 7.5 of the Supporting Document
5	Assessment and significance of residual impacts	See Section 7.6 of the Supporting Document
6	Likely environmental outcomes	See Section 7.7 of the Supporting Document
Po	tential environmental impacts – Inland Waters	
1	EPA policy and guidance	See Section 8.2 of the Supporting Document
2	Receiving environment	See Section 8.3 of the Supporting Document
3	Likely environmental impacts	See Section 8.4 of the Supporting Document
4	Application of the mitigation hierarchy, including other statutory decision-making processes	See Section 8.5 of the Supporting Document
5	Assessment and significance of residual impacts	See Section 8.6 of the Supporting Document
6	Likely environmental outcomes	See Section 8.7 of the Supporting Document
Ро	tential environmental impacts – Greenhouse Gas	s Emissions
1	EPA policy and guidance	See Section 11.2 of the Supporting Document
2	Receiving environment	See Section 11.3 of the Supporting Document
3	Likely environmental impacts	See Section 11.4 of the Supporting Document
4	Application of the mitigation hierarchy, including other statutory decision-making processes	See Section 11.5 of the Supporting Document
5	Assessment and significance of residual impacts	See Section 11.6 of the Supporting Document
6	Likely environmental outcomes	See Section 11.7 of the Supporting Document
Po	tential environmental impacts – Social Surround	ings
1	EPA policy and guidance	See Section 10.2 of the Supporting Document
2	Receiving environment	See Section 10.3 of the Supporting Document
3	Likely environmental impacts	See Section 10.4 of the Supporting Document

4	Application of the mitigation hierarchy, including other statutory decision-making processes	See Section 10.5 of the Supporting Document
5	Assessment and significance of residual impacts	See Section 10.6 of the Supporting Document
6	Likely environmental outcomes	See Section 10.7 of the Supporting Document
Нс	listic impact assessment	
Se	e Section 14 of the Supporting Document	
Cu	mulative environmental impact assessment	
Se	e Section 15 of the Supporting Document	
Co	nsultation	
Sta	akeholder Consultation Table is provided as Table	4-1 in the Supporting Document.
Su	pporting documents	
A number of reports are currently in preparation (final consolidated reports to be provided with the draft ERD). These include: Astron 2021. Rhodes Ridge Targeted Northern Quoll Fauna Assessment. Rev A (Draft). Astron 2022a. Species Distribution Models Rhodes Ridge Priority Flora and Ecological Communities. Rev A (Draft). Aston 2022b. Rhodes Ridge Remote Sensing Vegetation Classification. Rev A (Draft). Astron 2022c. Species Distribution Models Rhode Ridge Priority and Threatened Fauna. Rev A (Draft). Astron 2022d. Rhodes Ridge Project. Matters of National Environmental Significance Fauna and Habitat Assessment. Astron 2023a. Rhodes Ridge Vegetation and Flora Assessment. Interim Report. Rev A (Draft). Astron 2023b. Rhodes Ridge Project Detailed Fauna Survey. Rev A (Draft) Biologic 2022a. Rhodes Ridge: Level 2 Subterranean Fauna Assessment – Preliminary Results. Rev 1 (Draft) Biologic 2022b. Rhodes Ridge: Integrated Aquatic Memo. eDNA frontiers for Astron 2022. Detection of the Pilbara Olive Python in a series of persistent pools and gorge/gullies in the Pilbara using eDNA metabarcoding. GHD 2022. Rhodes Ridge Targeted Flora Survey. Draft Stantec 2020. Rhodes Ridge Baseline Subterranean Fauna 2019 Sampling Program for EPA Level 2 Stygofauna and Troglofauna Assemblage Survey. Rev v0.4 (Draft).		
ac <u>Pa</u>	s the referrer provided survey information cording to the <u>Instructions and Form: IBSA Data ckages</u> and/or the <u>Instructions and form: IMSA ta Packages</u>	✓ Yes □ No

Conclusion

A detailed environmental impact assessment will be presented within the ERD. This assessment, in consideration of the proposed avoidance and management measures, will demonstrate that the Proposal can be implemented to meet the EPA's objectives

PART C: OTHER APPROVALS AND REGULA	ATION	
Decision-making authorities and their approvals		
Provide a table list of the decision-making authorities, associated legislation or agreement regulating the activity and the specific approval required. (Example table at the end of form)	Refer to Table 3-2 in the Supporting Document.	
Provide a summary of the statutory decision-making processes you consider can mitigate the potential impacts of the proposal on the environment. (Note: this should be a summary of the information provided in Part B section 2.4). (Example table at the end of form)	Refer to Table 3-2 in the Supporting Document.	
Tenure and Local Government approvals		
Location of proposal: a) street address, lot number, suburb, and nearest road intersection; or b) if remote, the nearest town and distance and direction from that town to the proposal site.	The Proposal is located approximately 40 km north-west of Newman.	
Name of the Local Government Authority in which the proposal is located.	Shire of East Pilbara	
Is rezoning of any land required before the proposal can be implemented? If yes, please provide details.	☐ Yes ✓ No	
What is the current land use on the property, and the extent (area in hectares) of the property?	N/A	
Does the proponent have the legal access required for the implementation of all aspects of the proposal? If yes, provide details of legal access authorisations	✓ Yes □ No	
/ agreements / tenure. If no, what authorisations / agreements / tenure is		
required and from whom? Commonwealth Government approvals		
Does the proposal involve an action that may be or is a controlled action under the <i>Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999</i> (EPBC Act)?	✓ Yes □ No	
Has the proposed action been referred? If yes, when was it referred and what is the reference number (EPBC No.)?	☐ Yes ✓ No Date: EPBC No.:	

If referred, has a decision been made on whether the proposed action is a controlled action? If 'yes', check the appropriate box and provide the decision in an attachment.	 ☐ Yes ✓ No ☐ Decision – controlled action ☐ Decision – not a controlled action
If the proposal is determined to be a controlled action, do you request that this proposal be assessed under a Bilateral Agreement or as an accredited assessment?	☐ Yes - Bilateral ✓ No ☐ Yes - Accredited
Is approval required from other Commonwealth Government/s for any part of the proposal? If yes, describe.	☐ Yes ✓ No Approval: