# PHASE 2 MULGA EAST IRON ORE PROJECT

Ambient Air Quality Monitoring Program May 2019 - February 2021 Technical Report

Prepared for:

Hancock Prospecting Pty Ltd c/o Strategen-JBS&G Level 1, 50 Subiaco Square Rd Subiaco WA 6008

SLR

SLR Ref: 675.11414-R04 Version No: -v3.0 March 2021

# PREPARED BY

SLR Consulting Australia Pty Ltd ABN 29 001 584 612 Ground Floor, 503 Murray Street Perth WA 6000 Australia

T: +61 8 9422 5900 E: perth@slrconsulting.com www.slrconsulting.com

# **BASIS OF REPORT**

This report has been prepared by SLR Consulting Australia Pty Ltd (SLR) with all reasonable skill, care and diligence, and taking account of the timescale and resources allocated to it by agreement with Hancock Prospecting Pty Ltd (the Client). Information reported herein is based on the interpretation of data collected, which has been accepted in good faith as being accurate and valid.

This report is for the exclusive use of the Client. No warranties or guarantees are expressed or should be inferred by any third parties. This report may not be relied upon by other parties without written consent from SLR.

SLR disclaims any responsibility to the Client and others in respect of any matters outside the agreed scope of the work.

# DOCUMENT CONTROL

Reference	Date	Prepared	Checked	Authorised
675.11414-R04-v3.0	18 March 2021	Mark Skoroszewski / Jason Shepherd	Kirsten Lawrence	Jason Shepherd
675.11414-R04-v2.0	10 September 2020	Mark Skoroszewski / Jason Shepherd	Kirsten Lawrence	Jason Shepherd
675.11414-R04-v1.0	15 July 2020	Mark Skoroszewski / Jason Shepherd	Graeme Starke	DRAFT



# EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Mulga East Iron Ore Project (the Project) is located within the Mulga Downs pastoral station in the Pilbara region, approximately 260 kilometre (km) from Port Hedland and 250 km from Newman. The Project may involve open cut mining, on-site ore processing, waste storage, workshops; access and service roads, and an airport.

In relation to the preparation of an Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) for the development of the Project, a desktop data gap analysis undertaken for the Project by SLR (SLR document 675.11414-R02) proposed a 12month ambient air quality monitoring program to address a lack of publicly-available, representative air quality monitoring data in the region.

The Department of Water and Environmental Regulation (DWER) confirmed during a meeting on 2 April 2019 that a 12-month a monitoring program was required to establish a robust baseline and that the proposed methodology was appropriate for the project.

Initially, SLR was engaged to undertake 12 months of baseline ambient air quality monitoring around the Mulga East proposed tenement, including continuous monitoring of PM<sub>10</sub> concentrations at one location using a beta attenuation monitor and monthly monitoring of dust deposition rates at three locations using dust deposition gauges. SLR was later instructed to extend the monitoring program for another eight months until the end of December 2020. Subsequent impacts to scheduling and travel restrictions associated with the COVID-19 pandemic and severe weather events extended this program until late February 2021.

This report summarises the monitoring data recorded by the ambient air quality monitoring program over the 22-month period from May 2019 to February 2021.



# CONTENTS

1	INTRODUCTION	7
1.1	Background	7
1.2	Scope	7
2	MONITORING LOCATIONS	0
3	RELEVANT AMBIENT AIR QUALITY CRITERIA 1	1
3.1	PM <sub>10</sub> 1	1
3.2	Deposited Dust	2
4	MONITORING METHODOLOGY	3
4.1	Calibration and QA Procedures	3
4.2	Data Averaging1	3
4.3	Data Capture Objective 14	4
5	METEOROLOGY	5
5.1	Temperature1	5
5.2	Rainfall10	6
5.3	Relative Humidity	7
5.4	Wind	8
6	MONITORING RESULTS	1
6.1	PM <sub>10</sub>	1
6.2	Dust Deposition	3
7	DATA VALIDATION AND DATA CAPTURE	5
7.1	Data Validation	5
7.2	Data Capture	5
8	DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS	7



# CONTENTS

# **DOCUMENT REFERENCES**

## TABLES

Table 1	Monitoring Locations	10
Table 2	Air NEPM Ambient Air Quality Standards for PM <sub>10</sub>	11
Table 3	Sampling Methodologies and Equipment	13
Table 4	Comparison of Wet and Dry Season Rainfall	17
Table 5	Summary of PM <sub>10</sub> Monitoring Data (9 May 2019 to 21 February 2021)	21
Table 6	Summary of Dust Deposition Results (Insoluble Solids)	24
Table 7	PM <sub>10</sub> 24-Hour average Data Capture Rates (9 May 2019 to 9 May 2020)	25
Table 8	E-BAM PM <sub>10</sub> 24-hour Average Concentrations	. 2

## **FIGURES**

Figure 1	Project Location	9
Figure 2	Temperature Data - Wittenoom (Long-Term) and Karijini North (Monitoring	
	Period) AWS	16
Figure 3	Long Term Rainfall Data – Wittenoom (Long-Term) and Karijini North	
	(Monitoring Period) AWS	17
Figure 4	Long Term Humidity Data - Wittenoom (Long-Term) and Karijini North	
	(Monitoring Period) AWS	18
Figure 5	Karijini North Wind Rose June 2019 to February 2021	19
Figure 6	Karijini North Wind Speed Frequencies June 2019 to February 2021	20
Figure 7	PM <sub>10</sub> 24-hour Average 9 May 2019 to 21 February 2021	22
Figure 8	Mulga East PM <sub>10</sub> 1-Hour Pollution Roses - June 2019 to February 2021	23
Figure 9	Summary of Dust Deposition Results (Insoluble Solids)	24

## APPENDICES

Appendix A PM<sub>10</sub> Monitoring Results Tables

Appendix B EBAM Calibration and Maintenance Records

Appendix C Laboratory Test Certificates

# Glossary

Abbreviation	Definition
AC	Ash content
AS	Australian Standard
BAM; EBAM	Beta attenuation monitor
BoM	Bureau of Meteorology
CM	Combustible matter
DDG	Dust deposition gauge
DWER	Department of Water and Environmental Regulation
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment
EPAV	Environment Protection Authority Victoria
g/m²/month	Grams per square metre per month
HPPL	Hancock Prospecting Pty Ltd
mm	Millimetres
NEPM	National Environmental Protection Measure
µg/m³	Micrograms per cubic metre
PM <sub>10</sub>	Particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter less than 10 micron
SLR	SLR Consulting Australia Pty Ltd
USEPA	United States Environment Protection Agency



# 1 Introduction

The Mulga East Iron Ore Project (the Project) is located within the Mulga Downs pastoral station in the Pilbara region, approximately 260 km from Port Hedland and 250 km from Newman. The Project may include:

- A series of open cut mine pit voids, some of which will extend below the in-situ water table.
- An onsite ore processing plant, waste rock landforms, waste storage area or tailing storage facility.
- Mining infrastructure, including a rail load out facility, workshops, access and service roads.
- An accommodation camp and airport.
- A rail spur approximately 50 km in length from the existing Roy Hill Iron Ore rail line.

## 1.1 Background

In relation to the preparation of an Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) for the development of the Project, a desktop data gap analysis undertaken for the Project by SLR (SLR document 675.11414-R02) recommended that a 12-month ambient air quality monitoring program be undertaken to address a lack of publicly-available, representative air quality monitoring data in the region.

The Department of Water and Environmental Regulation (DWER) confirmed during a meeting on 2 April 2019 that a 12-month a monitoring program was required to establish a robust baseline and that the proposed methodology was appropriate for the project.

SLR was engaged by Strategen-JBS&G, on behalf of Hancock Prospecting Pty Ltd (HPPL), to undertake 12 months of continuous monitoring for fine particulates (as particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter less than 10 micron (PM<sub>10</sub>)) at one location and bi-monthly dust deposition at three locations around the Mulga East proposed tenement (the Project area, see Figure 1) before any earthworks / construction activities commence. SLR sited, installed and commissioned the monitoring equipment between 7 May 2019 and 9 May 2019 and performed monthly servicing, maintenance and reporting tasks since that time.

The monitoring period was extended until the end of 2020, which due to COVID travel restrictions and wet season conditions restricting site access, was again extended until a site visit could be made on 22 February 2021.

This report summarises the monitoring data recorded by the baseline air quality monitoring program over the 22-month period from May 2019 to February 2021.

# 1.2 Scope

The following scope of work was performed by SLR as part of the ambient air quality monitoring program:

- Assisted with the procurement of a solar powered beta attenuation mass monitor (EBAM) appropriate for continuous PM<sub>10</sub> concentration monitoring (owned by HPPL).
- Handover of monitoring equipment, including EBAM, solar system and dust deposition gauges (DDGs) to HPPL's freight provider.
- Sited, installed and commissioned the EBAM instrument at the agreed monitoring location.
- Sited and installed the three DDGs at the agreed monitoring locations.



- Performed calibration and maintenance checks on the EBAM at 60-day intervals, including flow checks, tape changes, sensor calibrations and data downloads.
- Performed DDG sample change overs at 60-day intervals and sent the collected samples to a NATAaccredited testing laboratory for analysis.
- Sourced and reviewed publicly-available meteorological data from nearby Bureau of Meteorology (BoM) weather stations for the monitoring period.
- Analysed, validated and presented the meteorological and air quality monitoring data.

Due to instrument issues experienced between January 2020 and February 2020, the EBAM was removed from site on 9 May 2020 and returned to the manufacturer for assessment and repair. The instrument was not recommissioned until June 2020, however ongoing instrumentation issues were experienced that were not resolved until a site visit on 20 August 2020. Valid PM<sub>10</sub> data collection did therefore not occur between 8 March 2020 and 20 August 2020.

Two additional solar panels and a replacement battery system (including a ventilated enclosure) were installed on 20 August 2020 to improve the continuity of the EBAM power supply.





H:\Projects-SLR\620-BNE\675-PER\675.11414\01 CADGIS\ArcGIS\SLR67511414\_F02\_Proposed\_Mon\_Locs\_02.mxd

# 2 Monitoring Locations

In consultation with Strategen-JBS&G, SLR deployed and commissioned an EBAM with solar power capability (due to the remoteness of the site) on the northern boundary of the Project area. SLR co-located one DDG with the EBAM and, cognisant of the prevailing wind directions (refer Section 5.4), one more DDG on each of the western and eastern boundaries of the Project area, as shown in Figure 1. All three monitoring sites met the siting requirements of AS/NZS 3580.1.1:2016 Methods for sampling and analysis of ambient air - Guide to siting air monitoring equipment. Further details are provided in Table 1.

## Table 1Monitoring Locations

SLR ID	JBS&G ID	Description	Location (UTM zone 50K)	Photograph
1	Unit C	Western Boundary - DDG	645,659 m E; 7,554,495 m S	View looking northeast
2	Unit D	Northern Boundary - EBAM (additional solar panels added August 2020) - DDG	656,687 m E; 7,556,191 m S	Wiew looking south towards Camp (1km away)
3	Unit E	Eastern Boundary - DDG	673,722 m E; 7,548,569 m S	Wiew looking south



# 3 Relevant Ambient Air Quality Criteria

## 3.1 PM<sub>10</sub>

The National Environment Protection Council (NEPC) was established under the National Environment Protection Council Act 1994 with the primary function of developing National Environment Protection Measures (NEPMs) assessing and reporting on the implementation and effectiveness of the NEPMs in each State and Territory.

The National Environment Protection (Ambient Air Quality) Measure<sup>1</sup> (Air NEPM) contains standards for key pollutants that are required to be achieved nationwide, with due regard to population exposure, that are designed to protect human health and wellbeing. It is the intent of the Air NEPM that the criteria are applicable to the air quality likely to be experienced by the general population as a result of regional anthropogenic activity in urban environments. That is, the criteria are not applicable to locations that are strongly influenced, due to their proximity to, sources such as, road traffic, mining, industry etc, nor to locations strongly influenced by naturally occurring emissions or emission events, e.g. desert dust, bush-fires etc.

In Western Australia, the Air NEPM criteria (Table 2) are applied to sensitive receptors, defined as residences, hospitals, school and other places where people may congregate, including sporting and recreational venues. The remoteness, low population and the potential for ambient dust levels to be significantly impacted by naturally-occurring sources, means that these criteria are not strictly applicable to the Project area.

## Table 2 Air NEPM Ambient Air Quality Standards for PM<sub>10</sub>

Pollutant	Averaging Period	Maximum Concentration (µg/m³)
PM <sub>10</sub>	24 hours	50
	Annual	25

In Western Australia, air quality is governed by the Environmental Protection Act 1986 (EP Act) administered by the Department of Water and Environmental Regulation (DWER). Although DWER do not have generic  $PM_{10}$  criteria applicable to remote mining operations, it has previously accepted that the Air NEPM  $PM_{10}$  standard cannot be met in the Pilbara region, recognising that its arid climate between June and November and areas of low vegetative cover can lead to periodic dust events caused by wind erosion of exposed surfaces.

For example, The Port Hedland Air Quality and Noise Management Plan<sup>2</sup> for managing air quality impacts from Port Hedland port operations on nearby residential and commercial areas recommended the adoption of an interim air management criterion of 70 µg/m<sup>3</sup> (24-hour average) with 10 exceedances per year, as referenced in Ministerial Statement 740<sup>3</sup>. This criterion was also adopted in the Rio Tinto West Angelas Dust Dispersion Modelling Report<sup>4</sup> prepared to inform the application for environmental approval under Part V of the EP Act)<sup>5</sup>.

Based on the above, this report adopts a criterion of 70  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> (24-hour average) to assess the baseline PM<sub>10</sub> data.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> National Environment Protection (Ambient Air Quality) Measure, Department of the Environment: National Environment Protection Council, Canberra. <sup>2</sup> Port Hedland Dust Management Taskforce Report - Port Hedland Air Quality and Noise Management Plan, Government of Western Australia, Department

of State Development, March 2010.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Statement to Amend Conditions Applying to a Proposal (Pursuant to the Provisions of Section 46 of the Environmental Protection Act 1986) - Upgrade Dust Management at Finucane Island and Nelson Point, Port Hedland, Minister for the Environment WA, 1996.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> West Angelas Dust Dispersion Modelling – Deposits A, B, E, F, Awest, C, D, and G, Environmental Alliances Pty Ltd for Rio Tinto, November 2016.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Environmental Protection Act (1986), Part V – Environmental regulation, Western Australia.

# 3.2 Deposited Dust

In the absence of a WA EPA guideline for deposited dust rates, guidelines from other state regulations have been sought. The EPA Victoria (EPAV) Protocol for Environmental Management - Mining and Extractive Industries<sup>6</sup> (Mining PEM) supports the interpretation of the State Environment Protection Policy (Air Quality Management) (SEPP(AQM)) and sets out the statutory requirements for the management of emissions to the air environment arising from activities undertaken at mining and extractives sites in Victoria. It is applicable to both expansions of existing developments and new developments.

The Mining PEM states that dust deposition rates, an indicator of the effectiveness of site management practices and the potential for off-site nuisance, should be monitored at the site boundary for most operations. The rate of dust deposition should not exceed 4  $g/m^2/month$  (including background) and no more than 2  $g/m^2/month$  above background). These criteria are also adopted in the NSW EPA "Approved Methods for the Modelling and Assessment of Air Pollutants in NSW"<sup>7</sup> and the Queensland Model Mining Conditions (although converted from  $g/m^2/month$  to  $mg/m^2/day)^8$ , and are widely used throughout the other states and territories in the absence of local guidelines.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Protocol for Environmental Management - Mining and Extractive Industries, EPA Victoria Publication 1191, December 2007.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Approved Methods for the Modelling and Assessment of Air Pollutants in NSW, NSW EPA, January 2017

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Model Mining Conditions, Queensland Department of Environment and Science, Effective March 2017

# 4 Monitoring Methodology

The methodologies and equipment used to undertake the baseline monitoring program are summarised in Table 2.

## Table 3 Sampling Methodologies and Equipment

Parameter	Dust Deposition Rate	PM <sub>10</sub> Concentration
Test method for sampling and analysis	AS/NZS 3580.10.1:2016 Methods for sampling and analysis of ambient air: Determination of particulate matter - Deposited matter - Gravimetric method	In general accordance <sup>a</sup> with AS/NZS 3580.9.11 Methods for sampling and analysis of ambient air: Determination of suspended particulate matter - PM <sub>10</sub> beta attenuation monitors, as amended by Amdt 1:2009)
Equipment	Dust deposition gauge	(Met One E-BAM) A portable, real-time beta gauge comparable to US EPA methods for PM <sub>2.5</sub> and PM <sub>10</sub> particulate measurements.
Sample media	Sample bottle	Filter paper roll
Sample period	AS/NZS 3580.10.1:2016 recommends 30 $\pm$ 2 days. However due to the remoteness of the site, a 60-day sampling period was adopted for the baseline monitoring program.	Continuous
SLR standard operating procedure	QMS 9470 Procedure Deposited Matter Gravimetric Method	QMS 9470 Form 45 BAM Calibration and Check Field Sheet

a The EBAM is not fully compliant with the Australian Standard (AS), however it has been shown to be comparable with AS compliant instruments and was preferred due to its capability to run on solar power. DWER endorsed the use of this instrument during a meeting on 2 April 2019. The distance (20 km) to the nearest sensitive receptor was also considered in relation to the practicability of the monitoring equipment (refer SLR document 675.11414-R02).

## 4.1 Calibration and QA Procedures

The calibration and operation of all monitoring equipment was done with reference to manufacturers' recommendations and in accordance with SLR standard operating procedures and relevant Australian Standards, as summarised in Table 3.

# 4.2 Data Averaging

Averaging of data has been calculated in accordance with the Air NEPM Technical Paper No. 5 – Data Collection and Handling<sup>9</sup> (hereafter, the Air NEPM TP No.5), which states:

An average concentration can be valid only if it is based on at least 75% of the expected samples in the averaging period. This rule applies to all averaging periods, from the hourly concentrations that make up basic air quality data to annual averages.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> National Environment Protection (Ambient Air Quality Measure) Technical Paper No. 5: Data Collection and Handling, Department of the Environment: National Environment Protection Council, Canberra, 2001.



# 4.3 Data Capture Objective

For State compliance monitoring in accordance with the Air NEPM, the Air NEPM TP No.5 states that to demonstrate compliance with criteria:

It is essential that data loss is kept to an absolute minimum. For representative monitoring data and for credible compliance assessment it is desirable to have data capture rates higher than 95%. 75% data availability is specified as an absolute minimum requirement for data completeness.

To make a valid assessment of compliance for annual reporting, annual compliance statistics must be based on hourly (daily for  $PM_{10}$ ) data that are at least 75% complete in each calendar quarter (in addition to an annual data availability of at least 75% based on valid hourly (daily for  $PM_{10}$ ) data).

While this baseline ambient air quality monitoring program is not subject to the requirements of the Air NEPM in the absence of further guidance these data capture criteria have been used to inform the baseline ambient air quality monitoring program data capture objectives for 24-hour average PM<sub>10</sub>, being:

• Annual (including each calendar quarter): >75% data capture.

# 5 Meteorology

This section presents a summary of the meteorological characteristics of the project area based on long-term data from an automatic weather station (AWS) operated by the Bureau of Meteorology (BoM) at Wittenoom (Station 05026, open 1949-2019), which was located approximately 40 km southwest of the Project area (see Figure 1). Long term meteorological data is available from this station for the following parameters:

- Temperature (°C)
- Rainfall (mm)
- Relative humidity (%)
- Wind speed (m/s) and wind direction (degrees).

Additional meteorological data was obtained from BoM's Karijini North AWS (Station 05098), which replaced the Wittenoom AWS when it was closed. This station is located approximately 20 km south of the Project area (also shown in Figure 1) and has data available from June 2019.

A meteorological station is also located at the on-site camp. SLR were engaged to install a 10 m tall meteorological mast in November 2019, to which the site's existing wind sensors were fitted. Analysis of the wind direction data however indicates issues with the equipment and therefor data from this station is not presented here.

A review of the long-term data available from the Wittenoom AWS is provided in the following sections. Data available from the Karijini North AWS is also presented.

## 5.1 Temperature

Long-term temperature statistics from the Wittenoom AWS are summarised in Figure 2, and compared to data recorded by the Karijini North AWS during the baseline air quality monitoring period.

The long-term data shows that mean maximum temperatures range from approximately 24°C in the dry season (May to October) to 40°C in the wet season (November to April), while mean minimum temperatures range from approximately 11°C in the dry season to 26°C in the wet season. Maximum temperatures above 45°C and minimum temperatures less than 5°C have been recorded.

The plot shows that temperatures recorded in the area during the baseline ambient air quality monitoring program were within the range of the long-term averages.





## Figure 2 Temperature Data - Wittenoom (Long-Term) and Karijini North (Monitoring Period) AWS

# 5.2 Rainfall

Long-term rainfall statistics are summarised in Figure 3, and compared to data recorded by the Karijini North AWS during the baseline air quality monitoring period.

The long-term data recorded by the Wittenoom AWS show that rainfall varies significantly between the wet (November to April) and dry seasons (May to October). The highest rainfalls occur from December to March, with January recording the highest mean rainfall of 116 mm. The lowest rainfalls occur between April and November, with September recording the lowest mean rainfall of 2.9 mm. The highest monthly rainfall recorded over the time period examined was 470 mm, recorded in January 2012.

The average wet and dry season rainfalls recorded by the Karijini North AWS during the air quality monitoring period are compared to the long term data from Wittenoom in Table 4. The overall wet season rainfalls for the monitoring period were approximately the same as the long-term trends, however the dry season rainfalls for the monitoring period were significantly (57%) less than long-term averages.





## Figure 3 Long Term Rainfall Data – Wittenoom (Long-Term) and Karijini North (Monitoring Period) AWS

## Table 4Comparison of Wet and Dry Season Rainfall

Description	Wet Season (November - April)	Dry Season (May - October)
Long Term Average 1950 to 2019 (Wittenoom)	373 mm	84 mm
Average June 2019 to February 2021 (Karijini North)	356 mm	36 mm
Difference	-17 mm	-48 mm
Percentage Difference	-5%	-57%

## 5.3 Relative Humidity

Long-term humidity statistics (9:00 am and 3:00 pm monthly averages) are presented in Figure 4, and compared to data recorded by the Karijini North AWS during the baseline air quality monitoring period.

The long-term data shows that humidity levels are generally low throughout the year, as would be expected for the region. Morning humidity levels typically range from an average of around 23% late in the dry season to around 46% in the middle of the wet season. Afternoon humidity levels are lower, peaking at around 29% in the wet season and dropping to a low of 11% in the dry season.

During the monitoring period, both the 9:00 am and 3:00 pm relative humidity levels were generally lower than long term trends, reflective of the lower than average rainfalls recorded during this period (see Section 5.2).





## Figure 4 Long Term Humidity Data - Wittenoom (Long-Term) and Karijini North (Monitoring Period) AWS

# 5.4 Wind

Local wind speed and direction influence the dispersion of air pollutants. Wind speed determines both the distance of downwind transport and the rate of dilution as a result of 'plume' stretching. Wind direction, and the variability in wind direction, determines the general path pollutants will follow and the extent of crosswind spreading. Surface roughness (characterised by features such as the topography of the land and the presence of buildings, structures and trees) affects the degree of mechanical turbulence, which also influences the rate of dispersion of air pollutants.

A wind rose shows the frequency of occurrence of winds by direction and strength. The bars correspond to the 16 compass points (degrees from north). The bar at the top of each wind rose diagram represents winds blowing from the north (i.e. northerly winds), and so on. The length of the bar represents the frequency of occurrence of winds from that direction, and the widths of the bar sections correspond to wind speed categories, the narrowest representing the lightest winds. Thus, it is possible to visualise how often winds of a certain direction and strength occur over the monitoring period.

Wind roses compiled from data recorded by the Karijini North AWS during the baseline air quality monitoring program are presented in Figure 5. The highest frequency of winds are those from the eastern and the south-southwestern quadrants. There is minimal change in the wind distribution between the wet and dry seasons.







Figure 6 presents a windspeed distribution plot for the monitoring period and indicates that the wind speeds were above 5 m/s, the speed above which wind-erosion of exposed surfaces generally occurs, for approximately 35% of the time. The corresponding wet and dry season windspeed plots (not provided) indicate very similar distributions to this.





## Figure 6 Karijini North Wind Speed Frequencies June 2019 to February 2021

Note: wind erosion of exposed surfaces is generally accepted to occur at windspeeds greater than 5 m/s, indicated here by the darker bars.



# 6 Monitoring Results

## 6.1 PM<sub>10</sub>

Detailed PM<sub>10</sub> monitoring results are provided in Appendix A and summarised below.

Table 5 provides summary statistics of the continuous  $PM_{10}$  monitoring data recorded over the period 9 May 2019 to 22 February 2021. As discussed in Section 1.2, no data is available between 9 March 2020 and 10 June 2020 due to the EBAM unit being removed from site for servicing by the manufacturer to address reliability issues that occurred during January and February 2020, which also resulted in minor data losses for those months as a result of removing the affected data (refer to data capture rates presented in Section 7.2).

The 24-hour average  $PM_{10}$  concentrations are presented as a time series plot in Figure 7. This plot illustrates the higher  $PM_{10}$  concentrations recorded during the wet season (November to April), particularly over November to January (inclusive), noting that there was significantly lower than average rainfall recorded during January 2020 and January 2021 (see Section 5.2). All concentrations recorded were below the adopted Project criterion of 70 µg/m<sup>3</sup> (see Section 3.1).

Monitoring Poriod		24-hour Average PM <sub>10</sub> (μg/m <sup>3</sup> )			Days above	
informed in the r	enou	Maximum	Average	70 <sup>th</sup> Percentile	70 µg/m <sup>3 a</sup>	
	May	37.2	7.4	3.4	0	
	June	17.6	4.6	5.1	0	
	July	12.8	4.2	4.3	0	
2010	August	13.5	6.7	7.5	0	
2019	September	17.0	7.4	8.6	0	
	October	18.3	9.6	10.5	0	
	November	38.8	17.3	20.6	0	
	December	62.0	20.4	22.3	0	
	January	31.8	12.4	13.6	0	
	February	23.8	12.9	14.2	0	
	March	12.0 <sup>b</sup>	8.4 <sup>b</sup>	9.6 <sup>b</sup>	0 <sup>b</sup>	
	April	No data <sup>b</sup>				
2020	May	No data <sup>b</sup>				
2020	June	No data <sup>b</sup>				
	July			No data <sup>b</sup>		
	August	8.5 <sup>b</sup>	5.1 <sup>b</sup>	5.9 <sup>b</sup>	0 <sup>b</sup>	
	September	15.8	7.4	8.7	0	
	October	27.0	10.4	12.6	0	

## Table 5Summary of PM10Monitoring Data (9 May 2019 to 21 February 2021)



Monitoring Period		24-ho	Days above		
		Maximum	Average	70 <sup>th</sup> Percentile	70 µg/m³ ª
	November	16.8	9.2	10.3	0
	December	42.0	13.5	15.3	0
2021	January	58.9	13.5	14.2	0
	February <sup>c</sup>	27.3	9.6	11.1	0
All data <sup>d</sup>		62.0	9.4	11.6	0

a Adopted Project criterion of 70 µg/m<sup>3</sup> (24-hour average), as outlined in Section 3.1 of this report.

b Instrument flow faults occurring from January 2020 onwards, no data between 9 March 2020 and 10 June 2020 when unit was removed from site.

c Up to 21 February 2021.

d May 2019 to March 2020.

## Figure 7 PM<sub>10</sub> 24-hour Average 9 May 2019 to 21 February 2021



Figure 8 presents the 1-hour average PM<sub>10</sub> data as pollution roses using wind speed and wind direction data from the Karijini North AWS, noting that meteorological data from Karijini North was not available prior to 7 June 2019, which limits the data presented in the pollution roses from 7 June 2019 to 21 February 2021. The pollution roses indicate that higher concentrations do not occur during particular wind directions (i.e. there is a similar concentration distribution within each petal). In particular the higher windspeeds from the eastern and southern quadrants (see Figure 5) do not result in a greater frequency of higher concentrations from these directions.



In addition to the lower than average rainfall recorded for the November 2019 to January 2020 period, the higher concentrations during this period may also be related to an increase in site activity noted to be occurring during the latter half of monitoring period.





a Based on available PM<sub>10</sub> monitoring and meteorological data available between 1 November 2019 and 21 February 2021.

 $b\ Based\ on\ available\ PM_{10}\ monitoring\ and\ meteorological\ data\ available\ between\ 7\ June\ 2019\ and\ 31\ October\ 2020.$ 

# 6.2 Dust Deposition

The dust deposition monitoring results (insoluble solids) are provided in Table 6 and Figure 9. The deposition rates recorded over each 60-day sampling period have been normalised to a monthly deposition rate (30 days) for direct comparison against the adopted Project criteria of 4 g/m<sup>2</sup>/month (including background) and no more than 2 g/m<sup>2</sup>/month above background). Laboratory test certificates are provided in Appendix C.



Similar to the  $PM_{10}$  monitoring data, the measured dust deposition rates were highest during the start of the wet season, November 2019 to January 2020. The highest average baseline dust deposition rate recorded over the three gauges of 2.1 g/m<sup>2</sup>/month, which occurred during this period, results in the adopted Project criteria of 4 g/m<sup>2</sup>/month including background and 2 g/m<sup>2</sup>/month excluding background being approximately equivalent.

Sample Date	Laboratory Reference	Unit C DDG (g/m²/month)	Unit D DDG (g/m²/month)	Unit E DDG (g/m²/month)	Average (g/m²/month)	Season
09-05-19 to 25-07-19	EN1905298	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.3	Dry
25-07-19 to 17-09-19	EN1906891	0.4	0.7	0.3	0.5	Dry
17-09-19 to 12-11-19	EN1908348	1.0	0.9	0.4	0.8	Dry
12-11-19 to 15-01-20	EN2000600	2.5	2.4	1.3	2.1	Wet
15-01-20 to 12-03-20	EN2001884	1.3	0.8	1.2	1.1	Wet
12-03-20 to 11-06-20	EN2004553	1.4	0.6	0.7	0.9	Both
10-06-20 to 21-08-20	EM2015396	0.9	0.8	0.7	0.8	Dry
21-08-20 to 19-10-20	EM2019961	0.1	0.7	0.1	0.3	Dry
19-10-20 to 23-02-21	EN2101745	NAa	1.1	0.8	1.0	Wet
Guideline <sup>b</sup>			2	1		

## Table 6Summary of Dust Deposition Results (Insoluble Solids)

a Sample location inaccessible due to rain impacted road conditions.

b Dust deposition rate is assessed as insoluble solids as defined by AS/NZS 3580.10.1:2016.

## Figure 9 Summary of Dust Deposition Results (Insoluble Solids)





# 7 Data Validation and Data Capture

# 7.1 Data Validation

Data contained in this report has been validated against performance and calibration requirements for each monitoring method. Data has been removed from the validated dataset for periods where the instrument has not performed within specified performance limits (e.g. sample flow rate tolerance) and during periods where maintenance and calibration has been conducted. Details of calibrations records are provided in Appendix B.

# 7.2 Data Capture

The monthly  $PM_{10}$  data capture rates for the monitoring period are summarised in Table 7. For those periods where the  $PM_{10}$  monitor has been on site (ie prior to 9 March 2020 and after 20 August 2020), data capture has met the quarterly and annual objective of >75%.

Monitoring Period	Month	Data Capture	Quarter	Data Capture	
	May	96%	2010	0.0%	
	June	100%	2019	9070	
	July	100%			
2010	August	100%	3Q19	100%	
2019	September	100%			
	October	100%			
	November	100%	4Q19	100%	
	December	100%			
	January	90% <sup>a</sup>		69%	
	February	97% <sup>a</sup>	1Q20		
	March	23% <sup>a,b</sup>			
	April	0% <sup>b</sup>		0%	
	May	0% <sup>b</sup>	2Q20		
2020	June	0% <sup>b</sup>			
2020	July	0% <sup>b</sup>			
	August	32% <sup>b</sup>	3Q20	43%	
	September	100%			
	October	94%			
	November	100%	4Q20	98%	
	December	100%			

## Table 7PM<sub>10</sub> 24-Hour average Data Capture Rates (9 May 2019 to 9 May 2020)



Monitoring Period	Month	Data Capture	Quarter	Data Capture
2021	January 100%	100%		
2021	February	100% <sup>c</sup>	1021	100%
2019	9 May to 31 December	99.6%		
2020	1 January to 31 December	52.7%		
2021	1 January to 20 February	99.9%		
All		73.5%		

a Instrument flow faults occurring during January, February and March 2020.

b Instrument removed for maintenance 9 March 2020.

c Up to 20 February 2021.



# 8 Discussion and Conclusions

The following conclusions can be made from the data presented in this report.

- No PM<sub>10</sub> concentrations above the adopted 24-hour Project criterion of 70 μg/m<sup>3</sup> were recorded during the monitoring period, with a maximum concentration of 62 μg/m<sup>3</sup> measured on 1st December 2019.
- The measured dust deposition rates were highest during the start of the wet season, with an average baseline dust deposition rate recorded over the three gauges of 2.1 g/m<sup>2</sup>/month recorded during November 2019 to January 2020. This background level would result in the adopted Project criteria of 4 g/m<sup>2</sup>/month including background and 2 g/m<sup>2</sup>/month excluding background being approximately equivalent.
- The pollution wind rose indicates that that high PM<sub>10</sub> concentration levels under all wind directions. There was no indication of a correlation between PM<sub>10</sub> concentration and windspeed or wind direction.
- Both PM<sub>10</sub> concentrations and dust deposition rates were higher during the wet seasons than during the dry seasons.
- No significant change in PM<sub>10</sub> concentrations or dust deposition rates are evident from year one (baseline) to the next (Project development) indicating that the increase in site activities did not result in increased PM<sub>10</sub> or dust impacts at the monitoring locations.
- Issues with the EBAM, coupled with COVID related travel restrictions, meant that PM<sub>10</sub> data capture over the monitoring period was less than 75%, however the collected data covers both wet and dry seasons, and all calendar months are represented with greater than 75% data capture, with the exception of March and April..
- It is recommended that PM<sub>10</sub> monitoring continue through March and April 2021 as a minimum so that every month is represented with greater than 75% data capture.



# **APPENDIX A**

Monitoring Results Tables

Table 8	E-BAM PM <sub>10</sub>	24-hour	Average	Concentrations
		21110001	ritorago	oonoonn anono

Date	Concentration (ug/m³)	Date	Concentration (ug/m³)	Date	Concentration (ug/m³)
09-May-2019	37.2	18-June-2019	1.8	28-July-2019	12.8
10-May-2019	24.0	19-June-2019	3.5	29-July-2019	3.3
11-May-2019	7.8	20-June-2019	4.5	30-July-2019	6.1
12-May-2019	5.6	21-June-2019	4.2	31-July-2019	3.3
13-May-2019	6.8	22-June-2019	4.2	01-August-2019	4.0
14-May-2019	3.9	23-June-2019	2.2	02-August-2019	11.9
15-May-2019	9.7	24-June-2019	2.0	03-August-2019	7.8
16-May-2019	3.2	25-June-2019	1.3	04-August-2019	4.5
17-May-2019	7.3	26-June-2019	2.0	05-August-2019	5.2
18-May-2019	5.3	27-June-2019	1.8	06-August-2019	7.5
19-May-2019	2.4	28-June-2019	2.7	07-August-2019	5.8
20-May-2019	3.0	29-June-2019	3.1	08-August-2019	3.1
21-May-2019	12.3	30-June-2019	3.3	09-August-2019	3.2
22-May-2019	2.5	01-July-2019	3.0	10-August-2019	6.4
23-May-2019	2.8	02-July-2019	2.0	11-August-2019	3.3
24-May-2019	4.4	03-July-2019	2.7	12-August-2019	6.0
25-May-2019	3.4	04-July-2019	2.7	13-August-2019	4.3
26-May-2019	7.5	05-July-2019	5.2	14-August-2019	8.3
27-May-2019	3.4	06-July-2019	2.9	15-August-2019	6.1
28-May-2019	3.2	07-July-2019	1.8	16-August-2019	13.4
29-May-2019	4.5	08-July-2019	2.9	17-August-2019	4.7
30-May-2019	3.0	09-July-2019	2.7	18-August-2019	8.3
31-May-2019	2.9	10-July-2019	3.5	19-August-2019	13.5
01-June-2019	7.8	11-July-2019	5.6	20-August-2019	10.5
02-June-2019	6.3	12-July-2019	3.0	21-August-2019	5.8
03-June-2019	8.1	13-July-2019	3.8	22-August-2019	6.0
04-June-2019	10.3	14-July-2019	5.1	23-August-2019	5.2
05-June-2019	6.3	15-July-2019	5.2	24-August-2019	7.5
06-June-2019	5.4	16-July-2019	3.5	25-August-2019	6.8
07-June-2019	17.6	17-July-2019	2.0	26-August-2019	5.1
08-June-2019	8.5	18-July-2019	2.5	27-August-2019	7.9
09-June-2019	3.4	19-July-2019	2.4	28-August-2019	7.5
10-June-2019	4.2	20-July-2019	4.3	29-August-2019	9.7
11-June-2019	5.3	21-July-2019	4.3	30-August-2019	6.6
12-June-2019	4.1	22-July-2019	2.4	31-August-2019	4.5
13-June-2019	2.4	23-July-2019	3.3	01-September-2019	5.0
14-June-2019	2.2	24-July-2019	7.5	02-September-2019	2.5
15-June-2019	5.0	25-July-2019	11.5	03-September-2019	4.1
16-June-2019	1.8	26-July-2019	3.3	04-September-2019	5.3
17-June-2019	2.0	27-July-2019	5.8	05-September-2019	9.3



## Table 8 E-BAM PM<sub>10</sub> 24-hour Average Concentrations continued...

Date	Concentration (ug/m³)	Date	Concentration (ug/m <sup>3</sup> )	Date	Concentration (ug/m³)
06-September-2019	8.0	16-October-2019	9.3	30-October-2019	8.7
07-September-2019	9.6	17-October-2019	14.4	30-October-2019	8.7
08-September-2019	9.2	18-October-2019	13.6	30-October-2019	8.7
09-September-2019	7.8	19-October-2019	10.5	30-October-2019	8.7
10-September-2019	16.8	20-October-2019	15.3	30-October-2019	8.7
11-September-2019	8.4	21-October-2019	9.3	30-October-2019	8.7
12-September-2019	5.9	22-October-2019	11.5	30-October-2019	8.7
13-September-2019	17.0	23-October-2019	12.3	30-October-2019	8.7
14-September-2019	9.5	24-October-2019	8.3	30-October-2019	8.7
15-September-2019	6.1	25-October-2019	6.4	30-October-2019	8.7
16-September-2019	8.0	26-October-2019	10.5	30-October-2019	8.7
17-September-2019	9.3	27-October-2019	8.9	30-October-2019	8.7
18-September-2019	7.6	28-October-2019	10.4	30-October-2019	8.7
19-September-2019	7.5	29-October-2019	8.8	30-October-2019	8.7
20-September-2019	9.2	30-October-2019	8.7	09-December-2019	13.6
21-September-2019	3.7	30-October-2019	8.7	10-December-2019	19.5
22-September-2019	2.9	30-October-2019	8.7	11-December-2019	11.2
23-September-2019	5.5	30-October-2019	8.7	12-December-2019	31.9
24-September-2019	4.0	30-October-2019	8.7	13-December-2019	25.2
25-September-2019	4.0	30-October-2019	8.7	14-December-2019	30.2
26-September-2019	16.3	30-October-2019	8.7	15-December-2019	9.1
27-September-2019	6.3	30-October-2019	8.7	16-December-2019	10.2
28-September-2019	4.9	30-October-2019	8.7	17-December-2019	11.9
29-September-2019	4.9	30-October-2019	8.7	18-December-2019	10.1
30-September-2019	6.5	30-October-2019	8.7	19-December-2019	15.0
01-October-2019	6.9	30-October-2019	8.7	20-December-2019	13.4
02-October-2019	9.3	30-October-2019	8.7	21-December-2019	12.9
03-October-2019	9.9	30-October-2019	8.7	22-December-2019	19.4
04-October-2019	11.7	30-October-2019	8.7	23-December-2019	16.9
05-October-2019	8.0	30-October-2019	8.7	24-December-2019	13.5
06-October-2019	6.5	30-October-2019	8.7	25-December-2019	20.3
07-October-2019	8.1	30-October-2019	8.7	26-December-2019	22.1
08-October-2019	7.9	30-October-2019	8.7	27-December-2019	34.4
09-October-2019	18.3	30-October-2019	8.7	28-December-2019	22.3
10-October-2019	8.9	30-October-2019	8.7	29-December-2019	29.0
11-October-2019	10.8	30-October-2019	8.7	30-December-2019	54.0
12-October-2019	7.9	30-October-2019	8.7	31-December-2019	12.8
13-October-2019	5.5	30-October-2019	8.7	01-January-2020	27.0
14-October-2019	6.0	30-October-2019	8.7	02-January-2020	13.8
15-October-2019	8.4	30-October-2019	8.7	03-January-2020	8.4



Table 8	E-BAM PM <sub>10</sub>	24-hour	Average	Concentrations	continued
		<b>L</b> 1 110 011	, norago	oonoonn anono	oonnaoann

Date	Concentration (ug/m <sup>3</sup> )	Date	Concentration (ug/m <sup>3</sup> )	Date	Concentration (ug/m <sup>3</sup> )
04-January-2020	7.8	13-February-2020	14.8	24-March-2020	-
05-January-2020	7.5	14-February-2020	10.8	25-March-2020	-
06-January-2020	6.8	15-February-2020	14.2	26-March-2020	-
07-January-2020	12.0	16-February-2020	7.8	27-March-2020	-
08-January-2020	7.5	17-February-2020	10.3	28-March-2020	-
09-January-2020	-	18-February-2020	8.5	29-March-2020	-
10-January-2020	-	19-February-2020	17.9	30-March-2020	-
11-January-2020	20.4	20-February-2020	14.5	31-March-2020	-
12-January-2020	31.8	21-February-2020	9.3	01-April-2020	-
13-January-2020	8.0	22-February-2020	13.4	02-April-2020	-
14-January-2020	-	23-February-2020	18.8	03-April-2020	-
15-January-2020	11.8	24-February-2020	23.8	04-April-2020	-
16-January-2020	3.7	25-February-2020	13.0	05-April-2020	-
17-January-2020	8.5	26-February-2020	12.8	06-April-2020	-
18-January-2020	5.7	27-February-2020	14.4	07-April-2020	-
19-January-2020	13.7	28-February-2020	10.9	08-April-2020	-
20-January-2020	6.8	29-February-2020	12.0	09-April-2020	-
21-January-2020	15.8	01-March-2020	10.6	10-April-2020	-
22-January-2020	12.0	02-March-2020	-	11-April-2020	-
23-January-2020	15.3	03-March-2020	6.7	12-April-2020	-
24-January-2020	10.8	04-March-2020	5.0	13-April-2020	-
25-January-2020	10.4	05-March-2020	7.3	14-April-2020	-
26-January-2020	6.9	06-March-2020	8.1	15-April-2020	-
27-January-2020	8.2	07-March-2020	9.3	16-April-2020	-
28-January-2020	24.7	08-March-2020	-	17-April-2020	-
29-January-2020	17.1	09-March-2020	-	18-April-2020	-
30-January-2020	11.8	10-March-2020	-	19-April-2020	-
31-January-2020	6.7	11-March-2020	-	20-April-2020	-
01-February-2020	10.0	12-March-2020	-	21-April-2020	-
02-February-2020	13.8	13-March-2020	-	22-April-2020	-
03-February-2020	8.1	14-March-2020	-	23-April-2020	-
04-February-2020	8.6	15-March-2020	-	24-April-2020	-
05-February-2020	10.1	16-March-2020	-	25-April-2020	-
06-February-2020	13.4	17-March-2020	-	26-April-2020	-
07-February-2020	7.3	18-March-2020	-	27-April-2020	-
08-February-2020	8.5	19-March-2020	-	28-April-2020	-
09-February-2020	22.9	20-March-2020	-	29-April-2020	-
10-February-2020	-	21-March-2020	-	30-April-2020	-
11-February-2020	21.3	22-March-2020	-	01-May-2020	-
12-February-2020	14.0	23-March-2020	-	02-May-2020	-



## Table 8 E-BAM PM<sub>10</sub> 24-hour Average Concentrations continued...

Date	Concentration (ug/m³)	Date	Concentration (ug/m <sup>3</sup> )	Date	Concentration (ug/m <sup>3</sup> )
03-May-2020	-	12-June-2020	-	22-July-2020	-
04-May-2020	-	13-June-2020	-	23-July-2020	-
05-May-2020	-	14-June-2020	-	24-July-2020	-
06-May-2020	-	15-June-2020	-	25-July-2020	-
07-May-2020	-	16-June-2020	-	26-July-2020	-
08-May-2020	-	17-June-2020	-	27-July-2020	-
09-May-2020	-	18-June-2020	-	28-July-2020	-
10-May-2020	-	19-June-2020	-	29-July-2020	-
11-May-2020	-	20-June-2020	-	30-July-2020	-
12-May-2020	-	21-June-2020	-	31-July-2020	-
13-May-2020	-	22-June-2020	-	01-August-2020	-
14-May-2020	-	23-June-2020	-	02-August-2020	-
15-May-2020	-	24-June-2020	-	03-August-2020	-
16-May-2020	-	25-June-2020	-	04-August-2020	-
17-May-2020	-	26-June-2020	-	05-August-2020	-
18-May-2020	-	27-June-2020	-	06-August-2020	-
19-May-2020	-	28-June-2020	-	07-August-2020	-
20-May-2020	-	29-June-2020	-	08-August-2020	-
21-May-2020	-	30-June-2020	-	09-August-2020	-
22-May-2020	-	01-July-2020	-	10-August-2020	-
23-May-2020	-	02-July-2020	-	11-August-2020	-
24-May-2020	-	03-July-2020	-	12-August-2020	-
25-May-2020	-	04-July-2020	-	13-August-2020	-
26-May-2020	-	05-July-2020	-	14-August-2020	-
27-May-2020	-	06-July-2020	-	15-August-2020	-
28-May-2020	-	07-July-2020	-	16-August-2020	-
29-May-2020	-	08-July-2020	-	17-August-2020	-
30-May-2020	-	09-July-2020	-	18-August-2020	-
31-May-2020	-	10-July-2020	-	19-August-2020	-
01-June-2020	-	11-July-2020	-	20-August-2020	-
02-June-2020	-	12-July-2020	-	21-August-2020	7.7
03-June-2020	-	13-July-2020	-	22-August-2020	4.4
04-June-2020	-	14-July-2020	-	23-August-2020	6.2
05-June-2020	-	15-July-2020	-	24-August-2020	4.2
06-June-2020	-	16-July-2020	-	25-August-2020	3.3
07-June-2020	-	17-July-2020	-	26-August-2020	2.2
08-June-2020	-	18-July-2020	-	27-August-2020	3.6
09-June-2020	-	19-July-2020	-	28-August-2020	3.2
10-June-2020	-	20-July-2020	-	29-August-2020	7.8
11-June-2020	-	21-July-2020	-	30-August-2020	5.2



## Table 8 E-BAM PM<sub>10</sub> 24-hour Average Concentrations continued...

Date	Concentration (ug/m³)	Date	Concentration (ug/m <sup>3</sup> )	Date	Concentration (ug/m <sup>3</sup> )
31-August-2020	3.6	10-October-2020	4.7	19-November-2020	8.7
01-September-2020	6.8	11-October-2020	4.2	20-November-2020	6.7
02-September-2020	5.5	12-October-2020	6.0	21-November-2020	7.6
03-September-2020	4.8	13-October-2020	5.4	22-November-2020	7.5
04-September-2020	4.6	14-October-2020	5.9	23-November-2020	4.6
05-September-2020	5.8	15-October-2020	7.8	24-November-2020	15.2
06-September-2020	8.7	16-October-2020	5.7	25-November-2020	11.4
07-September-2020	6.7	17-October-2020	10.2	26-November-2020	15.4
08-September-2020	9.0	18-October-2020	18.3	27-November-2020	10.3
09-September-2020	9.0	19-October-2020	16.7	28-November-2020	9.7
10-September-2020	10.2	20-October-2020	-	29-November-2020	12.5
11-September-2020	5.0	21-October-2020	12.8	30-November-2020	12.8
12-September-2020	6.2	22-October-2020	10.2	01-December-2020	12.9
13-September-2020	11.9	23-October-2020	9.0	02-December-2020	12.7
14-September-2020	7.9	24-October-2020	11.0	03-December-2020	9.1
15-September-2020	6.8	25-October-2020	14.5	04-December-2020	2.8
16-September-2020	8.2	26-October-2020	13.9	05-December-2020	7.5
17-September-2020	10.5	27-October-2020	14.6	06-December-2020	29.6
18-September-2020	3.4	28-October-2020	12.3	07-December-2020	8.4
19-September-2020	14.6	29-October-2020	7.7	08-December-2020	4.6
20-September-2020	8.3	30-October-2020	11.4	09-December-2020	3.8
21-September-2020	7.6	31-October-2020	5.6	10-December-2020	4.0
22-September-2020	4.5	01-November-2020	12.6	11-December-2020	2.4
23-September-2020	6.5	02-November-2020	9.3	12-December-2020	16.7
24-September-2020	4.3	03-November-2020	5.6	13-December-2020	14.2
25-September-2020	4.5	04-November-2020	6.1	14-December-2020	8.6
26-September-2020	6.1	05-November-2020	10.6	15-December-2020	9.1
27-September-2020	4.3	06-November-2020	6.8	16-December-2020	9.7
28-September-2020	5.6	07-November-2020	8.4	17-December-2020	16.1
29-September-2020	5.6	08-November-2020	7.3	18-December-2020	17.7
30-September-2020	4.8	09-November-2020	12.7	19-December-2020	15.2
01-October-2020	3.5	10-November-2020	6.8	20-December-2020	38.0
02-October-2020	10.0	11-November-2020	7.3	21-December-2020	31.2
03-October-2020	10.4	12-November-2020	5.3	22-December-2020	14.4
04-October-2020	25.2	13-November-2020	5.8	23-December-2020	7.3
05-October-2020	19.2	14-November-2020	5.8	24-December-2020	8.2
06-October-2020	3.4	15-November-2020	6.6	25-December-2020	9.3
07-October-2020	1.2	16-November-2020	5.7	26-December-2020	6.2
08-October-2020	2.3	17-November-2020	6.8	27-December-2020	13.9
09-October-2020	5.1	18-November-2020	6.6	28-December-2020	17.7



## Table 8 E-BAM PM<sub>10</sub> 24-hour Average Concentrations continued...

Date	Concentration (ug/m³)	Date	Concentration (ug/m <sup>3</sup> )	Date	Concentration (ug/m <sup>3</sup> )
29-December-2020	10.3	07-February-2021	10.7		
30-December-2020	8.9	08-February-2021	6.5		
31-December-2020	8.6	09-February-2021	5.4		
01-January-2021	7.8	10-February-2021	5.9		
02-January-2021	7.7	11-February-2021	13.0		
03-January-2021	14.2	12-February-2021	12.5		
04-January-2021	25.3	13-February-2021	9.5		
05-January-2021	55.4	14-February-2021	12.8		
06-January-2021	3.2	15-February-2021	10.0		
07-January-2021	4.3	16-February-2021	4.3		
08-January-2021	4.4	17-February-2021	2.4		
09-January-2021	6.3	18-February-2021	6.1		
10-January-2021	6.2	19-February-2021	5.6		
11-January-2021	9.8	20-February-2021	6.4		
12-January-2021	20.4	21-February-2021	6.2		
13-January-2021	12.0	22-February-2021	6.6		
14-January-2021	13.8				
15-January-2021	23.6				
16-January-2021	24.2				
17-January-2021	18.9				
18-January-2021	10.0				
19-January-2021	11.2				
20-January-2021	10.3				
21-January-2021	13.0				
22-January-2021	5.8				
23-January-2021	7.0				
24-January-2021	7.8				
25-January-2021	7.5				
26-January-2021	7.5				
27-January-2021	6.0				
28-January-2021	8.8				
29-January-2021	14.3				
30-January-2021	6.1				
31-January-2021	7.3				
01-February-2021	3.1				
02-February-2021	4.1				
03-February-2021	25.1				
04-February-2021	18.2				
05-February-2021	9.2				
06-February-2021	13.2				





EBAM Calibration and Maintenance Records

## EBAM Calibration Records: 8 May 2019 – Michael Brecko & Danny Echeverri

Calibration/Checks Performed	Frequency	Criteria	Units	Result	Pass	Adjusted
Leak Check	Quarterly	< 0.60	L/min	0.2	Yes	NA
Temperature Sensor Check	Annual	±1 between EBAM and reference	°C	0.2	Yes	NA
Pressure Sensor Check	Annual	±2 between EBAM and reference	kPa	0.4	Yes	NA
Volumetric Air Flow	Quarterly	16.7 L/min: 6% between EBAM and reference	%	0	Yes	NA
	Annual	High Set Point (16.5≤17.5≤18.5)	L/min	17.7	Yes	NA
		Low Set Point (13.2≤14.0≤14.8)	L/min	13.9	Yes	NA
		Current Set Point (15.7≤16.7≤17.7)	L/min	16.6	Yes	NA
Operating Precision Checks (Span Membrane Test)	Quarterly	-5% KO≤SF≤+5% KO (SF=0.965)	mg	0.943	Yes	NA
Operating Precision Checks (Zero Membrane Test)	Annual	-5% KO≤ZF≤+5% KO (ZF=0.350)	mg	0.358	Yes	NA
Zero Check	Annual	Sampling period >16	Hours	18.5	Yes	NA
		Average concentration over period (once stabilized) <2	µg/m³	-0.9	Yes	NA
Vacuum Pump Check	Annual	Flow during test: 14.0 - 15.0	L/min	14.7	Yes	NA
		Vacuum during pump test @14.7 L/min Good: $\leq$ 417.1 Marginal: 417.1 – 441.3 Poor: $\geq$ 441.3	mmHg	370.6	Yes (good)	NA



## EBAM Calibration Records: 25 July 2019 - Danny Echeverri

Calibration/Checks Performed	Frequency	Criteria	Units	Result	Pass	Adjusted
Leak Check	Quarterly	< 0.60	L/min	0.0	Yes	NA
Temperature Sensor Check	Annual	±1 between EBAM & Reference	°C	1	Yes	NA
Pressure Sensor Check	Annual	±2 between EBAM & Reference	kPa	0.1	Yes	NA
Volumetric Air Flow	Quarterly	16.7 L/min: 6% between EBAM and reference	%	7.6	No	16.7
	Annual	High Set Point (16.5≤17.5≤18.5)	L/min	18.6	No	17.5
		Low Set Point (13.2≤14.0≤14.8)	L/min	15.1	No	14.0
		Current Set Point (15.7≤16.7≤17.7)	L/min	16.7	No	16.7
Operating Precision Checks (Span Membrane Test)	Quarterly	-5% KO≤SF≤+5% KO (SF=0.965)	mg	NA	NA	NA
Operating Precision Checks (Zero Membrane Test)	Annual	-5% KO≤ZF≤+5% KO (ZF=0.350)	mg	NA	NA	NA
Zero Check	Annual	Sampling period >16	Hours	NA	NA	NA
		Average concentration over period (once stabilized) <2	µg/m³	NA	NA	NA
Vacuum Pump Check	Annual	Flow during test: 14.0 - 15.0	L/min	NA	NA	NA
		Vacuum during pump test Good: Marginal: Poor:	mmHg	NA	NA	NA

## EBAM Calibration Records: 18 September 2019 - Danny Echeverri

Calibration/Checks Performed	Frequency	Criteria U		Result	Pass	Adjusted
Leak Check	Quarterly	< 0.60	L/min	0.5	Yes	NA
Temperature Sensor Check	Annual	±1 between EBAM & Reference	°C	0.4	Yes	NA
Pressure Sensor Check	Annual	±2 between EBAM & Reference	kPa	0.3	Yes	NA
Volumetric Air Flow	Quarterly	16.7 L/min: 6% between EBAM and reference	%	3	Yes	NA
	Annual	High Set Point (16.5≤17.5≤18.5)	L/min	17.5	Yes	NA
		Low Set Point (13.2≤14.0≤14.8)	L/min	15.0	No	14.0
		Current Set Point (15.7≤16.7≤17.7)	L/min	16.5	Yes	NA
Operating Precision Checks (Span Membrane Test)	Quarterly	-5% KO≤SF≤+5% KO (SF=0.880)	mg	0.911	Passed	NA
Operating Precision Checks (Zero Membrane Test)	Annual	-5% KO≤ZF≤+5% KO (ZF=0.350)	mg	0.382	No	Adjusted
Zero Check	Annual	Sampling period >16	Hours	NA	NA	NA
		Average concentration over period (once stabilized) <2	µg/m³	NA	NA	NA
Vacuum Pump Check	Annual	Flow during test: 14.0 - 15.0	L/min	14.5	Yes	NA
		Vacuum during pump test @14.5 L/min Good: $\leq$ 414.5 Marginal: 414.5 – 438.4 Poor: $\geq$ 438.4	mmHg	398.5	Yes (good)	NA

## EBAM Calibration Records: 12 November 2019 - Danny Echeverri

Calibration/Checks Performed	Frequency	Criteria U		Result	Pass	Adjusted
Leak Check	Quarterly	< 0.60	L/min	0.2	Yes	NA
Temperature Sensor Check	Annual	±1 between EBAM & Reference	°C	0.2	Yes	NA
Pressure Sensor Check	Annual	±2 between EBAM & Reference	kPa	0.5	Yes	NA
Volumetric Air Flow	Quarterly	16.7 L/min: 6% between EBAM and reference	%	0	Yes	NA
	Annual	High Set Point (16.5≤17.5≤18.5)	L/min	17.0	Yes	NA
		Low Set Point (13.2≤14.0≤14.8)	L/min	14.0	Yes	NA
		Current Set Point (15.7≤16.7≤17.7)	L/min	16.7	Yes	NA
Operating Precision Checks (Span Membrane Test)	Quarterly	-5% KO≤SF≤+5% KO (SF=0.880)	mg	0.893	Yes	NA
Operating Precision Checks (Zero Membrane Test)	Annual	-5% KO≤ZF≤+5% KO (ZF=0.350)	mg	0.338	Yes	NA
Zero Check	Annual	Sampling period >16	Hours	NA	NA	NA
		Average concentration over period (once stabilized) <2	µg/m³	NA	NA	NA
Vacuum Pump Check	Annual	Flow during test: 14.0 - 15.0	L/min	14.4	Yes	NA
		Vacuum during pump test @14.4 L/min Good: $\leq$ 412.3 Marginal: 412.3 – 436.1 Poor: $\geq$ 436.1	mmHg	412.8	Yes (good)	NA



## EBAM Calibration Records: 15 January 2020 - Danny Echeverri

Calibration/Checks Performed	Frequency	Criteria L		Result	Pass	Adjusted
Leak Check	Quarterly	< 0.60	L/min	0.4	Yes	NA
Temperature Sensor Check	Annual	±1 between EBAM & Reference	°C	0.2	Yes	NA
Pressure Sensor Check	Annual	±2 between EBAM & Reference	kPa	0	Yes	NA
Volumetric Air Flow	Quarterly	16.7 L/min: 6% between EBAM and reference	%	0	Yes	NA
	Annual	High Set Point (16.5≤17.5≤18.5)	L/min	17.4	Yes	NA
		Low Set Point (13.2≤14.0≤14.8)	L/min	14.1	Yes	NA
		Current Set Point (15.7≤16.7≤17.7)	L/min	16.7	Yes	NA
Operating Precision Checks (Span Membrane Test)	Quarterly	-5% KO≤SF≤+5% KO (SF=0.880)	mg	0.901	Yes	NA
Operating Precision Checks (Zero Membrane Test)	Annual	-5% KO≤ZF≤+5% KO (ZF=0.350)	mg	0.321	No	Adjusted
Zero Check	Annual	Sampling period >16	Hours	NA	NA	NA
		Average concentration over period (once stabilized) <2	µg/m³	NA	NA	NA
Vacuum Pump Check	Annual	Flow during test: 14.0 - 15.0	L/min	14.8	Yes	NA
		Vacuum during pump test @14.8 L/min Good: $\leq$ 419.3 Marginal: 419.3 – 443.5 Poor: $\geq$ 443.5	mmHg	417.8	Yes (good)	NA

## EBAM Calibration Records: 3 March 2020 - Danny Echeverri

Calibration/Checks Performed	Frequency	Criteria	Units	Result	Pass	Adjusted
Leak Check	Quarterly	< 0.60	L/min	NA	NA	NA
Temperature Sensor Check	Annual	±1 between EBAM & Reference	°C	NA	NA	NA
Pressure Sensor Check	Annual	±2 between EBAM & Reference	kPa	NA	NA	NA
Volumetric Air Flow	Quarterly	16.7 L/min: 6% between EBAM and reference	%	NA	NA	NA
	Annual	High Set Point (16.5≤17.5≤18.5)	L/min	NA	NA	NA
		Low Set Point (13.2≤14.0≤14.8)	L/min	NA	NA	NA
		Current Set Point (15.7≤16.7≤17.7)	L/min	NA	NA	NA
Operating Precision Checks (Span Membrane Test)	Quarterly	-5% KO≤SF≤+5% KO (SF=0.880)	mg	NA	NA	NA
Operating Precision Checks (Zero Membrane Test)	Annual	-5% KO≤ZF≤+5% KO (ZF=0.350)	mg	NA	NA	NA
Zero Check	Annual	Sampling period >16	Hours	NA	NA	NA
		Average concentration over period (once stabilized) <2	µg/m³	NA	NA	NA
Vacuum Pump Check	Annual	Flow during test: 14.0 - 15.0	L/min	NA	NA	NA
		Vacuum during pump test @14.7 L/min Good: $\leq$ 417.1 Marginal: 417.1 – 441.3 Poor: $\geq$ 441.3	mmHg	NA	NA	NA

Note: Monitor in alarm with flow fault. Calibrations could not be conducted. Monitor removed from site for maintenance.



Calibration/Checks Performed	Frequency	Criteria L		Result	Pass	Adjusted
Leak Check	Quarterly	< 0.60	L/min	0.3	Yes	NA
Temperature Sensor Check	Annual	±1 between EBAM & Reference	°C	0.1	Yes	NA
Pressure Sensor Check	Annual	±2 between EBAM & Reference	kPa	1	Yes	NA
Volumetric Air Flow	Quarterly	16.7 L/min: 6% between EBAM and reference	%	16.8	Yes	NA
	Annual	High Set Point (16.5≤17.5≤18.5)	L/min	15.0	No	Remove for
		Low Set Point (13.2≤14.0≤14.8)	L/min	12.1	No	repair
		Current Set Point (15.7≤16.7≤17.7)	L/min	15.8	Yes	
Operating Precision Checks (Span Membrane Test)	Quarterly	-5% KO≤SF≤+5% KO (SF=0.880)	mg	1.003	No	Remove for repair
Operating Precision Checks (Zero Membrane Test)	Annual	-5% KO≤ZF≤+5% KO (ZF=0.350)	mg	0.315	No	
Zero Check	Annual	Sampling period >16	Hours	NA	NA	NA
		Average concentration over period (once stabilized) <2	µg/m³	NA	NA	NA
Vacuum Pump Check	Annual	Flow during test: 14.0 - 15.0	L/min	-	-	-
		Vacuum during pump test @14.7 L/min Good: $\leq$ 417.1 Marginal: 417.1 – 441.3 Poor: $\geq$ 441.3	mmHg	532.5 536.2 540.0 544.5	No	Remove for repair

Note: Monitor again removed from site for maintenance.



## EBAM Calibration Records: 20 August 2020 – Hadi Attari – Instrument Commissioning

Calibration/Checks Performed	Frequency	Criteria	Units	Result	Pass	Adjusted
Leak Check	Quarterly	< 0.60	L/min	0.4	Yes	NA
Temperature Sensor Check	Annual	±1 between EBAM & Reference	°C	-2.0	No	0.2
Pressure Sensor Check	Annual	±2 between EBAM & Reference	kPa	2.2	Yes	0.1
Volumetric Air Flow	Quarterly	16.7 L/min: 6% between EBAM and reference	%	4.6	Yes	0.0
	Annual	High Set Point (16.5≤17.5≤18.5)	L/min	18.2	Yes	17.5
		Low Set Point (13.2≤14.0≤14.8)	L/min	14.5	Yes	14.0
		Current Set Point (15.7≤16.7≤17.7)	L/min	17.5	Yes	16.7
Operating Precision Checks (Span Membrane Test)	Quarterly	-5% KO≤SF≤+5% KO (SF=0.880)	mg	-	No	-
Operating Precision Checks (Zero Membrane Test)	Annual	-5% KO≤ZF≤+5% KO (ZF=0.350)	mg	-	Yes	-
Zero Check	Annual	Sampling period >16	Hours	NA	NA	NA
		Average concentration over period (once stabilized) <2	µg/m³	NA	NA	NA
Vacuum Pump Check	Annual	Flow during test: 14.0 - 15.0	L/min	NA	NA	NA
		Vacuum during pump test @14.7 L/min Good: $\leq$ 417.1 Marginal: 417.1 – 441.3 Poor: $\geq$ 441.3	mmHg	NA	NA	NA

## EBAM Calibration Records: 19 October 2020 – Hadi Attari

Calibration/Checks Performed	Frequency	Criteria U		Result	Pass	Adjusted
Leak Check	Quarterly	< 0.60	L/min	0.4	Yes	NA
Temperature Sensor Check	Annual	±1 between EBAM & Reference	°C	0.7	Yes	-0.1
Pressure Sensor Check	Annual	±2 between EBAM & Reference	kPa	0.1	Yes	-0.2
Volumetric Air Flow	Quarterly	16.7 L/min: 6% between EBAM and reference	%	-0.6	Yes	0.0
	Annual	High Set Point (16.5≤17.5≤18.5)	L/min	17.4	Yes	17.5
		Low Set Point (13.2≤14.0≤14.8)	L/min	14.0	Yes	14.0
		Current Set Point (15.7≤16.7≤17.7)	L/min	16.7	Yes	16.7
Operating Precision Checks (Span Membrane Test)	Quarterly	-5% KO≤SF≤+5% KO (SF=0.880)	mg	NA	NA	NA
Operating Precision Checks (Zero Membrane Test)	Annual	-5% KO≤ZF≤+5% KO (ZF=0.350)	mg	NA	NA	NA
Zero Check	Annual	Sampling period >16	Hours	NA	NA	NA
		Average concentration over period (once stabilized) <2	µg/m³	NA	NA	NA
Vacuum Pump Check	Annual	Flow during test: 14.0 - 15.0	L/min	NA	NA	NA
		Vacuum during pump test @14.7 L/min Good: $\leq$ 417.1 Marginal: 417.1 – 441.3 Poor: $\geq$ 441.3	mmHg	NA	NA	NA

## EBAM Calibration Records: 22 February 2021 – Julia Curran

Calibration/Checks Performed	Frequency	Criteria U		Result	Pass	Adjusted
Leak Check	Quarterly	< 0.60	L/min	0.3	Yes	NA
Temperature Sensor Check	Annual	±1 between EBAM & Reference	°C	0.6	Yes	NA
Pressure Sensor Check	Annual	±2 between EBAM & Reference	kPa	2.7	No	0
Volumetric Air Flow	Quarterly	16.7 L/min: 6% between EBAM and reference	%	0	Yes	NA
	Annual	High Set Point (16.5≤17.5≤18.5)	L/min	17.9	Yes	NA
		Low Set Point (13.2≤14.0≤14.8)	L/min	14.5	Yes	NA
		Current Set Point (15.7≤16.7≤17.7)	L/min	17.1	Yes	NA
Operating Precision Checks (Span Membrane Test)	Quarterly	-5% KO≤SF≤+5% KO (SF=0.880)	mg	NA	NA	NA
Operating Precision Checks (Zero Membrane Test)	Annual	-5% KO≤ZF≤+5% KO (ZF=0.350)	mg	NA	NA	NA
Zero Check	Annual	Sampling period >16	Hours	NA	NA	NA
		Average concentration over period (once stabilized) <2	µg/m³	NA	NA	NA
Vacuum Pump Check	Annual	Flow during test: 14.0 - 15.0	L/min	14	NA	NA
		Vacuum during pump test @14.7 L/min Good: $\leq$ 417.1 Marginal: 417.1 – 441.3 Poor: $\geq$ 441.3	mmHg	387.5	Yes	NA

# **APPENDIX C**

Certificates of Analysis

- EN1905298
- EN1906891
- EN1908348
- EN2000600
- EN2001884
- EN2004553
- EM2015396
- EM2019961
- EN2101745





## **CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS**

Work Order	EN1905298	Page	: 1 of 2
Client	: SLR Consulting Australia Pty Ltd	Laboratory	Environmental Division Newcastle
Contact	: Danny Echeverri	Contact	: Tyler Cachia
Address	: PO BOX 176 2/2 LINCOLN ST	Address	: 5/585 Maitland Road Mayfield West NSW Australia 2304
	LANECOVE NSW, AUSTRALIA 1595		
Telephone	:	Telephone	: +61 2 8784 8555
Project	: 675.11414.00000	Date Samples Received	: 31-Jul-2019 17:00
Order number	: 26675	Date Analysis Commenced	: 01-Aug-2019
C-O-C number	:	Issue Date	: 06-Aug-2019 18:10
Sampler	: Danny Echeverri		Hac-MRA NAI
Site	:		
Quote number	: EN/032/18 Primary work only BQ		Accreditation No.
No. of samples received	: 3		Accredited for compliance w
No. of samples analysed	: 3		ISO/IEC 17025 - Test

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with this reference. Results apply to the sample(s) as submitted. This document shall not be reproduced, except in full.

This Certificate of Analysis contains the following information:

- General Comments
- Analytical Results

Additional information pertinent to this report will be found in the following separate attachments: Quality Control Report, QA/QC Compliance Assessment to assist with Quality Review and Sample Receipt Notification.

### Signatories

This document has been electronically signed by the authorized signatories below. Electronic signing is carried out in compliance with procedures specified in 21 CFR Part 11.

Signatories	Position	Accreditation Category
Dianne Blane	Laboratory Coordinator (2IC)	Newcastle - Inorganics, Mayfield West, NSW



### **General Comments**

The analytical procedures used by the Environmental Division have been developed from established internationally recognized procedures such as those published by the USEPA, APHA, AS and NEPM. In house developed procedures are employed in the absence of documented standards or by client request.

Where moisture determination has been performed, results are reported on a dry weight basis.

Where a reported less than (<) result is higher than the LOR, this may be due to primary sample extract/digestate dilution and/or insufficient sample for analysis.

Where the LOR of a reported result differs from standard LOR, this may be due to high moisture content, insufficient sample (reduced weight employed) or matrix interference.

When sampling time information is not provided by the client, sampling dates are shown without a time component. In these instances, the time component has been assumed by the laboratory for processing purposes.

Where a result is required to meet compliance limits the associated uncertainty must be considered. Refer to the ALS Contact for details.

Key: CAS Number = CAS registry number from database maintained by Chemical Abstracts Services. The Chemical Abstracts Service is a division of the American Chemical Society.

LOR = Limit of reporting

^ = This result is computed from individual analyte detections at or above the level of reporting

ø = ALS is not NATA accredited for these tests.

~ = Indicates an estimated value.

• Analysis as per AS3580.10.1-2016. Samples passed through a 1mm sieve prior to analysis. NATA accreditation does not apply for results reported in g/m<sup>2</sup>.mth as sampling data was provided by the client.

• Sample exposure period is 77 days which is outside the typical exposure period of 30 +/- 2 days as per AS3580.10.1.

### **Analytical Results**

Sub-Matrix: DEPOSITIONAL DUST (Matrix: AIR)	Client sample ID			Unit C DDG - 8762 09/05/19 - 25/07/19	Unit D DDG - 8763 09/05/19 - 25/07/19	Unit E DDG - 8764 09/05/19 - 25/07/19	 
	Cl	ient sampli	ng date / time	25-Jul-2019 00:00	25-Jul-2019 00:00	25-Jul-2019 00:00	 
Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	EN1905298-001	EN1905298-002	EN1905298-003	 
				Result	Result	Result	 
EA120: Ash Content							
Ash Content		0.1	g/m².month	0.2	0.3	0.2	 
Ash Content (mg)		1	mg	11	13	9	 
EA125: Combustible Matter							
Combustible Matter		0.1	g/m².month	0.2	<0.1	<0.1	 
Combustible Matter (mg)		1	mg	5	1	<1	 
EA139: Total Soluble Matter							
Total Soluble Matter		0.1	g/m <sup>2</sup> .month	0.1	0.2	0.1	 
Total Soluble Matter (mg)		1	mg	6	10	4	 
EA141: Total Insoluble Matter							
Total Insoluble Matter		0.1	g/m².month	0.4	0.3	0.2	 
Total Insoluble Matter (mg)		1	mg	16	14	9	 
EA142: Total Solids							
Total Solids		0.1	g/m².month	0.5	0.5	0.3	 
Total Solids (mg)		1	mg	22	24	13	 



## **CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS**

Work Order	EN1906891	Page	: 1 of 2
Client	: SLR Consulting Australia Pty Ltd	Laboratory	Environmental Division Newcastle
Contact	: Danny Echeverri	Contact	: Tyler Cachia
Address	: PO BOX 176 2/2 LINCOLN ST	Address	: 5/585 Maitland Road Mayfield West NSW Australia 2304
	LANECOVE NSW, AUSTRALIA 1595		
Telephone	:	Telephone	: +61 2 8784 8555
Project	: Confidential 675.11414.00000	Date Samples Received	: 01-Oct-2019 17:00
Order number	: 27018	Date Analysis Commenced	: 03-Oct-2019
C-O-C number	:	Issue Date	: 09-Oct-2019 19:09
Sampler	:		HALA NALA
Site	:		
Quote number	: EN/032/18 Primary work only BQ		Apprediction No. 935
No. of samples received	: 3		Accredited for compliance with
No. of samples analysed	: 3		ISO/IEC 17025 - Testing

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with this reference. Results apply to the sample(s) as submitted. This document shall not be reproduced, except in full.

This Certificate of Analysis contains the following information:

- General Comments
- Analytical Results

Additional information pertinent to this report will be found in the following separate attachments: Quality Control Report, QA/QC Compliance Assessment to assist with Quality Review and Sample Receipt Notification.

### Signatories

This document has been electronically signed by the authorized signatories below. Electronic signing is carried out in compliance with procedures specified in 21 CFR Part 11.

Signatories	Position	Accreditation Category
Dianne Blane	Laboratory Coordinator (2IC)	Newcastle - Inorganics, Mayfield West, NSW



### **General Comments**

The analytical procedures used by the Environmental Division have been developed from established internationally recognized procedures such as those published by the USEPA, APHA, AS and NEPM. In house developed procedures are employed in the absence of documented standards or by client request.

Where moisture determination has been performed, results are reported on a dry weight basis.

Where a reported less than (<) result is higher than the LOR, this may be due to primary sample extract/digestate dilution and/or insufficient sample for analysis.

Where the LOR of a reported result differs from standard LOR, this may be due to high moisture content, insufficient sample (reduced weight employed) or matrix interference.

When sampling time information is not provided by the client, sampling dates are shown without a time component. In these instances, the time component has been assumed by the laboratory for processing purposes.

Where a result is required to meet compliance limits the associated uncertainty must be considered. Refer to the ALS Contact for details.

Key: CAS Number = CAS registry number from database maintained by Chemical Abstracts Services. The Chemical Abstracts Service is a division of the American Chemical Society.

LOR = Limit of reporting

^ = This result is computed from individual analyte detections at or above the level of reporting

ø = ALS is not NATA accredited for these tests.

~ = Indicates an estimated value.

• Analysis as per AS3580.10.1-2016. Samples passed through a 1mm sieve prior to analysis. NATA accreditation does not apply for results reported in g/m<sup>2</sup>.mth as sampling data was provided by the client.

• Sample exposure period is 54 days which is outside the typical exposure period of 30 +/- 2 days as per AS3580.10.1.

### **Analytical Results**

Sub-Matrix: DEPOSITIONAL DUST (Matrix: AIR)		Clie	ent sample ID	Unit C DDG - 9029 25/07/19 - 17/09/19	Unit D DDG - 9030 25/07/19 - 17/09/19	Unit E DDG - 9031 25/07/19 - 17/09/19	 
	Cl	ient sampli	ng date / time	17-Sep-2019 14:30	17-Sep-2019 13:55	17-Sep-2019 15:15	 
Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	EN1906891-001	EN1906891-002	EN1906891-003	 
				Result	Result	Result	 
EA120: Ash Content							
Ash Content		0.1	g/m².month	0.3	0.4	0.2	 
Ash Content (mg)		1	mg	10	13	6	 
EA125: Combustible Matter							
Combustible Matter		0.1	g/m².month	0.1	0.3	0.1	 
Combustible Matter (mg)		1	mg	2	10	2	 
EA139: Total Soluble Matter							
Total Soluble Matter		0.1	g/m².month	0.2	0.5	0.1	 
Total Soluble Matter (mg)		1	mg	5	16	3	 
EA141: Total Insoluble Matter							
Total Insoluble Matter		0.1	g/m².month	0.4	0.7	0.3	 
Total Insoluble Matter (mg)		1	mg	12	23	8	 
EA142: Total Solids							
Total Solids		0.1	g/m².month	0.6	1.2	0.4	 
Total Solids (mg)		1	mg	17	39	11	 



## **CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS**

Work Order	EN1908348	Page	: 1 of 2	
Client	SLR Consulting Australia Pty Ltd	Laboratory	: Environmental Division New	castle
Contact	: Danny Echeverri	Contact	: Tyler Cachia	
Address	: PO BOX 176 2/2 LINCOLN ST	Address	: 5/585 Maitland Road Mayfie	ld West NSW Australia 2304
	LANECOVE NSW, AUSTRALIA 1595			
Telephone	:	Telephone	: +61 2 8784 8555	
Project	: Confidential 675.11414.00000	Date Samples Received	: 25-Nov-2019 17:00	ANUTUR.
Order number	: 27271	Date Analysis Commenced	: 26-Nov-2019	
C-O-C number	: QMS 9470	Issue Date	: 04-Dec-2019 10:46	NATA
Sampler	:			Hac-MRA NAIA
Site	:			
Quote number	: EN/032/18 Primary work only BQ			Approdiction No. 825
No. of samples received	: 3			Accredited for compliance with
No. of samples analysed	: 3			ISO/IEC 17025 - Testing

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with this reference. Results apply to the sample(s) as submitted. This document shall not be reproduced, except in full.

This Certificate of Analysis contains the following information:

- General Comments
- Analytical Results

Additional information pertinent to this report will be found in the following separate attachments: Quality Control Report, QA/QC Compliance Assessment to assist with Quality Review and Sample Receipt Notification.

### Signatories

This document has been electronically signed by the authorized signatories below. Electronic signing is carried out in compliance with procedures specified in 21 CFR Part 11.

Signatories	Position	Accreditation Category
Jennifer Targett	Laboratory Technician	Newcastle - Inorganics, Mayfield West, NSW



### **General Comments**

The analytical procedures used by the Environmental Division have been developed from established internationally recognized procedures such as those published by the USEPA, APHA, AS and NEPM. In house developed procedures are employed in the absence of documented standards or by client request.

Where moisture determination has been performed, results are reported on a dry weight basis.

Where a reported less than (<) result is higher than the LOR, this may be due to primary sample extract/digestate dilution and/or insufficient sample for analysis.

Where the LOR of a reported result differs from standard LOR, this may be due to high moisture content, insufficient sample (reduced weight employed) or matrix interference.

When sampling time information is not provided by the client, sampling dates are shown without a time component. In these instances, the time component has been assumed by the laboratory for processing purposes.

Where a result is required to meet compliance limits the associated uncertainty must be considered. Refer to the ALS Contact for details.

Key: CAS Number = CAS registry number from database maintained by Chemical Abstracts Services. The Chemical Abstracts Service is a division of the American Chemical Society.

LOR = Limit of reporting

^ = This result is computed from individual analyte detections at or above the level of reporting

ø = ALS is not NATA accredited for these tests.

~ = Indicates an estimated value.

• Analysis as per AS3580.10.1-2016. Samples passed through a 1mm sieve prior to analysis. NATA accreditation does not apply for results reported in g/m<sup>2</sup>.mth as sampling data was provided by the client.

• Sample exposure period is 56 days which is outside the typical exposure period of 30 +/- 2 days as per AS3580.10.1.

• No copper sulfate correction was applied to sample #1.

### Analytical Results

Sub-Matrix: DEPOSITIONAL DUST (Matrix: AIR)		Clie	ent sample ID	Unit C DDG - 9181 17/09/2019-12/11/2019	Unit D DDG - 9182 17/09/2019-12/11/2019	Unit E DDG - 9183 17/09/2019-12/11/2019	 
	Cl	ient sampli	ng date / time	12-Nov-2019 14:40	12-Nov-2019 15:30	12-Nov-2019 12:00	 
Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	EN1908348-001	EN1908348-002	EN1908348-003	 
				Result	Result	Result	 
EA120: Ash Content							
Ash Content		0.1	g/m².month	0.8	0.7	0.4	 
Ash Content (mg)		1	mg	27	24	12	 
EA125: Combustible Matter							
Combustible Matter		0.1	g/m².month	0.2	0.2	<0.1	 
Combustible Matter (mg)		1	mg	5	6	1	 
EA139: Total Soluble Matter							
Total Soluble Matter		0.1	g/m².month	0.9	0.5	0.5	 
Total Soluble Matter (mg)		1	mg	30	15	16	 
EA141: Total Insoluble Matter							
Total Insoluble Matter		0.1	g/m².month	1.0	0.9	0.4	 
Total Insoluble Matter (mg)		1	mg	32	30	13	 
EA142: Total Solids							
Total Solids		0.1	g/m².month	1.9	1.4	0.9	 
Total Solids (mg)		1	mg	62	45	29	 



## **CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS**

Work Order	EN2000600	Page	: 1 of 2
Client	SLR Consulting Australia Pty Ltd	Laboratory	Environmental Division Newcastle
Contact	: Danny Echeverri	Contact	:
Address	: PO BOX 176 2/2 LINCOLN ST	Address	: 5/585 Maitland Road Mayfield West NSW Australia 2304
	LANECOVE NSW, AUSTRALIA 1595		
Telephone	:	Telephone	: +61 2 4014 2500
Project	: Confidential 675.11414.00000	Date Samples Received	: 30-Jan-2020 17:00
Order number	: 27520	Date Analysis Commenced	: 03-Feb-2020
C-O-C number	:	Issue Date	: 05-Feb-2020 13:52
Sampler	:		Hac-MRA NATA
Site	:		
Quote number	: EN/032/18 Primary work only BQ		Accreditation No. 925
No. of samples received	: 3		Accredited for compliance with
No. of samples analysed	: 3		ISO/IEC 17025 - Testing

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with this reference. Results apply to the sample(s) as submitted. This document shall not be reproduced, except in full.

This Certificate of Analysis contains the following information:

- General Comments
- Analytical Results

Additional information pertinent to this report will be found in the following separate attachments: Quality Control Report, QA/QC Compliance Assessment to assist with Quality Review and Sample Receipt Notification.

### Signatories

This document has been electronically signed by the authorized signatories below. Electronic signing is carried out in compliance with procedures specified in 21 CFR Part 11.

Signatories	Position	Accreditation Category
Jennifer Targett	Laboratory Technician	Newcastle - Inorganics, Mayfield West, NSW



### **General Comments**

The analytical procedures used by the Environmental Division have been developed from established internationally recognized procedures such as those published by the USEPA, APHA, AS and NEPM. In house developed procedures are employed in the absence of documented standards or by client request.

Where moisture determination has been performed, results are reported on a dry weight basis.

Where a reported less than (<) result is higher than the LOR, this may be due to primary sample extract/digestate dilution and/or insufficient sample for analysis.

Where the LOR of a reported result differs from standard LOR, this may be due to high moisture content, insufficient sample (reduced weight employed) or matrix interference.

When sampling time information is not provided by the client, sampling dates are shown without a time component. In these instances, the time component has been assumed by the laboratory for processing purposes.

Where a result is required to meet compliance limits the associated uncertainty must be considered. Refer to the ALS Contact for details.

Key: CAS Number = CAS registry number from database maintained by Chemical Abstracts Services. The Chemical Abstracts Service is a division of the American Chemical Society.

LOR = Limit of reporting

^ = This result is computed from individual analyte detections at or above the level of reporting

ø = ALS is not NATA accredited for these tests.

~ = Indicates an estimated value.

• Analysis as per AS3580.10.1-2016. Samples passed through a 1mm sieve prior to analysis. NATA accreditation does not apply for results reported in g/m<sup>2</sup>.mth as sampling data was provided by the client.

• Sample exposure period is 64 days which is outside the typical exposure period of 30 +/- 2 days as per AS3580.10.1.

• No copper sulfate correction was applied to samples.

### **Analytical Results**

Sub-Matrix: DEPOSITIONAL DUST (Matrix: AIR)		Cli	ent sample ID	Unit C DDG - 9355 12/11/19 - 15/01/20	Unit D DDG - 9356 12/11/19 - 15/01/20	Unit E DDG - 9357 12/11/19 - 15/01/20	 
	Cl	lient sampli	ing date / time	15-Jan-2020 00:00	15-Jan-2020 00:00	15-Jan-2020 00:00	 
Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	EN2000600-001	EN2000600-002	EN2000600-003	 
				Result	Result	Result	 
EA120: Ash Content							
Ash Content		0.1	g/m².month	2.2	2.1	1.1	 
Ash Content (mg)		1	mg	84	81	40	 
EA125: Combustible Matter							
Combustible Matter		0.1	g/m².month	0.3	0.3	0.2	 
Combustible Matter (mg)		1	mg	10	8	9	 
EA139: Total Soluble Matter							
Total Soluble Matter		0.1	g/m².month	1.9	0.1	1.4	 
Total Soluble Matter (mg)		1	mg	70	3	53	 
EA141: Total Insoluble Matter							
Total Insoluble Matter		0.1	g/m².month	2.5	2.4	1.3	 
Total Insoluble Matter (mg)		1	mg	94	89	49	 
EA142: Total Solids							
Total Solids		0.1	g/m².month	4.4	2.5	2.7	 
Total Solids (mg)		1	mg	164	92	102	 



## **CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS**

Work Order	EN2001884	Page	: 1 of 2
Client	SLR Consulting Australia Pty Ltd	Laboratory	Environmental Division Newcastle
Contact	: Danny Echeverri	Contact	:
Address	: PO BOX 176 2/2 LINCOLN ST	Address	: 5/585 Maitland Road Mayfield West NSW Australia 2304
	LANECOVE NSW, AUSTRALIA 1595		
Telephone	:	Telephone	: +61 2 4014 2500
Project	: Confidential 675.11414.00000	Date Samples Received	: 20-Mar-2020 17:00
Order number	: 27742	Date Analysis Commenced	: 24-Mar-2020
C-O-C number	:	Issue Date	: 30-Mar-2020 15:13
Sampler	:		Hac-MRA NATA
Site	:		
Quote number	: EN/032/18 Primary work only BQ		Accreditation No. 925
No. of samples received	: 3		Accredited for compliance with
No. of samples analysed	: 3		ISO/IEC 17025 - Testing

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with this reference. Results apply to the sample(s) as submitted. This document shall not be reproduced, except in full.

This Certificate of Analysis contains the following information:

- General Comments
- Analytical Results

Additional information pertinent to this report will be found in the following separate attachments: Quality Control Report, QA/QC Compliance Assessment to assist with Quality Review and Sample Receipt Notification.

### Signatories

This document has been electronically signed by the authorized signatories below. Electronic signing is carried out in compliance with procedures specified in 21 CFR Part 11.

Signatories	Position	Accreditation Category
Jennifer Targett	Quality Coordinator	Newcastle - Inorganics, Mayfield West, NSW



### **General Comments**

The analytical procedures used by the Environmental Division have been developed from established internationally recognized procedures such as those published by the USEPA, APHA, AS and NEPM. In house developed procedures are employed in the absence of documented standards or by client request.

Where moisture determination has been performed, results are reported on a dry weight basis.

Where a reported less than (<) result is higher than the LOR, this may be due to primary sample extract/digestate dilution and/or insufficient sample for analysis.

Where the LOR of a reported result differs from standard LOR, this may be due to high moisture content, insufficient sample (reduced weight employed) or matrix interference.

When sampling time information is not provided by the client, sampling dates are shown without a time component. In these instances, the time component has been assumed by the laboratory for processing purposes.

Where a result is required to meet compliance limits the associated uncertainty must be considered. Refer to the ALS Contact for details.

Key: CAS Number = CAS registry number from database maintained by Chemical Abstracts Services. The Chemical Abstracts Service is a division of the American Chemical Society.

LOR = Limit of reporting

^ = This result is computed from individual analyte detections at or above the level of reporting

ø = ALS is not NATA accredited for these tests.

~ = Indicates an estimated value.

• Analysis as per AS3580.10.1-2016. Samples passed through a 1mm sieve prior to analysis. NATA accreditation does not apply for results reported in g/m<sup>2</sup>.mth as sampling data was provided by the client.

• Sample exposure period is 57 days which is outside the typical exposure period of 30 +/- 2 days as per AS3580.10.1.

• No copper sulfate correction was applied to sample #001.

### **Analytical Results**

Sub-Matrix: DEPOSITIONAL DUST (Matrix: AIR)	Client sample ID		Unit C DDG - 9520 15/01/20 - 12/03/20	Unit D DDG - 9521 15/01/20 - 12/03/20	Unit E DDG - 9522 15/01/20 - 12/03/20	 	
	Cl	ient sampli	ng date / time	12-Mar-2020 12:30	12-Mar-2020 13:30	12-Mar-2020 17:30	 
Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	EN2001884-001	EN2001884-002	EN2001884-003	 
				Result	Result	Result	 
EA120: Ash Content							
Ash Content		0.1	g/m².month	0.9	0.7	0.9	 
Ash Content (mg)		1	mg	30	23	31	 
EA125: Combustible Matter							
Combustible Matter		0.1	g/m².month	0.4	0.1	0.4	 
Combustible Matter (mg)		1	mg	14	4	11	 
EA139: Total Soluble Matter							
Total Soluble Matter		0.1	g/m².month	3.6	0.9	1.8	 
Total Soluble Matter (mg)		1	mg	120	31	59	 
EA141: Total Insoluble Matter							
Total Insoluble Matter		0.1	g/m².month	1.3	0.8	1.3	 
Total Insoluble Matter (mg)		1	mg	44	27	42	 
EA142: Total Solids							
Total Solids		0.1	g/m².month	4.9	1.7	3.1	 
Total Solids (mg)		1	mg	164	58	101	 



## **CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS**

Work Order	EN2004553	Page	: 1 of 2
Client	SLR Consulting Australia Pty Ltd	Laboratory	: Environmental Division Newcastle
Contact	: Danny Echeverri	Contact	:
Address	: PO BOX 176 2/2 LINCOLN ST	Address	: 5/585 Maitland Road Mayfield West NSW Australia 2304
	LANECOVE NSW, AUSTRALIA 1595		
Telephone	:	Telephone	: +61 2 4014 2500
Project	: Confidential 675.11414.00000	Date Samples Received	: 02-Jul-2020 17:00
Order number	: 28157	Date Analysis Commenced	: 06-Jul-2020
C-O-C number	:	Issue Date	: 13-Jul-2020 15:18
Sampler	:		Hac-MRA NATA
Site	:		
Quote number	: EN/032/18 Primary work only BQ		Accreditation No. 825
No. of samples received	: 3		Accredited for compliance with
No. of samples analysed	: 3		ISO/IEC 17025 - Testing

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with this reference. Results apply to the sample(s) as submitted. This document shall not be reproduced, except in full.

This Certificate of Analysis contains the following information:

- General Comments
- Analytical Results

Additional information pertinent to this report will be found in the following separate attachments: Quality Control Report, QA/QC Compliance Assessment to assist with Quality Review and Sample Receipt Notification.

### Signatories

This document has been electronically signed by the authorized signatories below. Electronic signing is carried out in compliance with procedures specified in 21 CFR Part 11.

Signatories	Position	Accreditation Category
Joel Mullarvey	Laboratory Technician	Newcastle - Inorganics, Mayfield West, NSW



### **General Comments**

The analytical procedures used by ALS have been developed from established internationally recognised procedures such as those published by the USEPA, APHA, AS and NEPM. In house developed procedures are fully validated and are often at the client request.

Where moisture determination has been performed, results are reported on a dry weight basis.

Where a reported less than (<) result is higher than the LOR, this may be due to primary sample extract/digestate dilution and/or insufficient sample for analysis.

Where the LOR of a reported result differs from standard LOR, this may be due to high moisture content, insufficient sample (reduced weight employed) or matrix interference.

When sampling time information is not provided by the client, sampling dates are shown without a time component. In these instances, the time component has been assumed by the laboratory for processing purposes.

Where a result is required to meet compliance limits the associated uncertainty must be considered. Refer to the ALS Contact for details.

Key: CAS Number = CAS registry number from database maintained by Chemical Abstracts Services. The Chemical Abstracts Service is a division of the American Chemical Society.

LOR = Limit of reporting

^ = This result is computed from individual analyte detections at or above the level of reporting

ø = ALS is not NATA accredited for these tests.

~ = Indicates an estimated value.

• Analysis as per AS3580.10.1-2016. Samples passed through a 1mm sieve prior to analysis. NATA accreditation does not apply for results reported in g/m<sup>2</sup>.mth as sampling data was provided by the client.

• Sample exposure period is 90 days which is outside the typical exposure period of 30 +/- 2 days as per AS3580.10.1.

• No copper sulfate correction was applied to samples #001 and #003.

### **Analytical Results**

Sub-Matrix: DEPOSITIONAL DUST (Matrix: AIR)	Client sample ID			Unit C DDG - 9835 12/03/20 - 10/06/20	Unit D DDG - 9836 12/03/20 - 10/06/20	Unit E DDG - 9837 12/03/20 - 10/06/20	 
	Cl	ient sampli	ng date / time	10-Jun-2020 15:55	10-Jun-2020 15:30	11-Jun-2020 11:20	 
Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	EN2004553-001	EN2004553-002	EN2004553-003	 
				Result	Result	Result	 
EA120: Ash Content							
Ash Content		0.1	g/m².month	0.8	0.5	0.4	 
Ash Content (mg)		1	mg	40	26	20	 
EA125: Combustible Matter							
Combustible Matter		0.1	g/m².month	0.6	0.1	0.3	 
Combustible Matter (mg)		1	mg	34	5	15	 
EA139: Total Soluble Matter							
Total Soluble Matter		0.1	g/m².month	0.4	<0.1	0.4	 
Total Soluble Matter (mg)		1	mg	19	2	21	 
EA141: Total Insoluble Matter							
Total Insoluble Matter		0.1	g/m².month	1.4	0.6	0.7	 
Total Insoluble Matter (mg)		1	mg	74	31	35	 
EA142: Total Solids							
Total Solids		0.1	g/m².month	1.8	0.6	1.1	 
Total Solids (mg)		1	mg	93	33	56	 



## **CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS**

Work Order	EM2015396	Page	: 1 of 3
Client	SLR Consulting Australia Pty Ltd	Laboratory	Environmental Division Melbourne
Contact	: D Echeverri	Contact	: Customer Services EM
Address		Address	: 4 Westall Rd Springvale VIC Australia 3171
Telephone	:	Telephone	: +61-3-8549 9600
Project	: 675.11414.00000	Date Samples Received	: 07-Sep-2020 08:30
Order number	: 28320	Date Analysis Commenced	: 10-Sep-2020
C-O-C number	:	Issue Date	: 15-Sep-2020 11:05
Sampler	:		HALA NALA
Site			
Quote number	: EN/032/18 Primary work only BQ		Approximation No. 025
No. of samples received	: 3		Accreditation No. 825
No. of samples analysed	: 3		ISO/IEC 17025 - Testing

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with this reference. Results apply to the sample(s) as submitted. This document shall not be reproduced, except in full.

This Certificate of Analysis contains the following information:

- General Comments
- Analytical Results

Additional information pertinent to this report will be found in the following separate attachments: Quality Control Report, QA/QC Compliance Assessment to assist with Quality Review and Sample Receipt Notification.

### Signatories

This document has been electronically signed by the authorized signatories below. Electronic signing is carried out in compliance with procedures specified in 21 CFR Part 11.

Signatories	Position	Accreditation Category
Dilani Fernando	Senior Inorganic Chemist	Melbourne Inorganics, Springvale, VIC



### **General Comments**

The analytical procedures used by ALS have been developed from established internationally recognised procedures such as those published by the USEPA, APHA, AS and NEPM. In house developed procedures are fully validated and are often at the client request.

Where moisture determination has been performed, results are reported on a dry weight basis.

Where a reported less than (<) result is higher than the LOR, this may be due to primary sample extract/digestate dilution and/or insufficient sample for analysis.

Where the LOR of a reported result differs from standard LOR, this may be due to high moisture content, insufficient sample (reduced weight employed) or matrix interference.

When sampling time information is not provided by the client, sampling dates are shown without a time component. In these instances, the time component has been assumed by the laboratory for processing purposes.

Where a result is required to meet compliance limits the associated uncertainty must be considered. Refer to the ALS Contact for details.

Key: CAS Number = CAS registry number from database maintained by Chemical Abstracts Services. The Chemical Abstracts Service is a division of the American Chemical Society.

LOR = Limit of reporting

^ = This result is computed from individual analyte detections at or above the level of reporting

ø = ALS is not NATA accredited for these tests.

~ = Indicates an estimated value.

 Sampling period:-Unit C DDG West: 10/06/2020 - 21/08/2020 Unit D DDG North: 10/06/2020 - 20/08/2020 Unit E DDG East: 11/06/2020 - 21/08/2020

- Analysis as per AS3580.10.1-2016. Samples passed through a 1mm sieve prior to analysis. NATA accreditation is not held for results reported in g/m<sup>2</sup>.mth.
- EM2015396 #1: Sample exposure period is 72 days which is outside the typical exposure period of 30+/-2 days as per AS3580.10.1
- EM2015396 #2-3: Sample exposure period is 72 days which is outside the typical exposure period of 30+/-2 days as per AS3580.10.1
- Dust samples have been dosed with Copper Sulphate prior to sample collection and a copper correction factor of 0.055g has been used for calculations.



## Analytical Results

Sub-Matrix: DUST (Matrix: AIR)	Client sample ID		Unit C DDG West 10018	Unit D DDG North 10019	Unit E DDG East 10020	 	
	Cl	ient sampli	ng date / time	21-Aug-2020 07:43	20-Aug-2020 15:34	21-Aug-2020 11:57	 
Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	EM2015396-001	EM2015396-002	EM2015396-003	 
				Result	Result	Result	 
EA120: Ash Content							
Ash Content		0.1	g/m².month	0.2	0.2	0.1	 
Ash Content (mg)		1	mg	10	7	5	 
EA125: Combustible Matter							
Combustible Matter		0.1	g/m².month	0.7	0.6	0.6	 
Combustible Matter (mg)		1	mg	30	26	23	 
EA139: Total Soluble Matter							
Total Soluble Matter		0.1	g/m².month	0.3	0.3	0.3	 
Total Soluble Matter (mg)		1	mg	12	11	11	 
EA141: Total Insoluble Matter							
Total Insoluble Matter		0.1	g/m².month	0.9	0.8	0.7	 
Total Insoluble Matter (mg)		1	mg	40	33	28	 
EA142: Total Solids							
Total Solids		0.1	g/m².month	1.2	1.1	1.0	 
Total Solids (mg)		1	mg	52	44	39	 



## **CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS**

Work Order	EM2019916	Page	: 1 of 2
Client	SLR Consulting Australia Pty Ltd	Laboratory	: Environmental Division Melbourne
Contact	: D Echeverri	Contact	: Customer Services EM
Address	Sub Base Platypus, 201/120 High St,	Address	: 4 Westall Rd Springvale VIC Australia 3171
	North Sydney 2060		
Telephone	:	Telephone	: +61-3-8549 9600
Project	: 675.11414.00000	Date Samples Received	: 11-Nov-2020 09:15
Order number	: 28320	Date Analysis Commenced	: 17-Nov-2020
C-O-C number	:	Issue Date	: 19-Nov-2020 13:42
Sampler	:		HALA NALA
Site	:		
Quote number	: EN/032/17		Approdiction No. 825
No. of samples received	: 3		Accredited for compliance with
No. of samples analysed	: 3		ISO/IEC 17025 - Testing

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with this reference. Results apply to the sample(s) as submitted. This document shall not be reproduced, except in full.

This Certificate of Analysis contains the following information:

- General Comments
- Analytical Results

Additional information pertinent to this report will be found in the following separate attachments: Quality Control Report, QA/QC Compliance Assessment to assist with Quality Review and Sample Receipt Notification.

### Signatories

This document has been electronically signed by the authorized signatories below. Electronic signing is carried out in compliance with procedures specified in 21 CFR Part 11.

Signatories	Position	Accreditation Category
Dilani Fernando	Senior Inorganic Chemist	Melbourne Inorganics, Springvale, VIC



### **General Comments**

The analytical procedures used by ALS have been developed from established internationally recognised procedures such as those published by the USEPA, APHA, AS and NEPM. In house developed procedures are fully validated and are often at the client request.

Where moisture determination has been performed, results are reported on a dry weight basis.

Where a reported less than (<) result is higher than the LOR, this may be due to primary sample extract/digestate dilution and/or insufficient sample for analysis.

Where the LOR of a reported result differs from standard LOR, this may be due to high moisture content, insufficient sample (reduced weight employed) or matrix interference.

When sampling time information is not provided by the client, sampling dates are shown without a time component. In these instances, the time component has been assumed by the laboratory for processing purposes.

Where a result is required to meet compliance limits the associated uncertainty must be considered. Refer to the ALS Contact for details.

Key: CAS Number = CAS registry number from database maintained by Chemical Abstracts Services. The Chemical Abstracts Service is a division of the American Chemical Society.

LOR = Limit of reporting

^ = This result is computed from individual analyte detections at or above the level of reporting

ø = ALS is not NATA accredited for these tests.

~ = Indicates an estimated value.

- Analysis as per AS3580.10.1-2016. Samples passed through a 1mm sieve prior to analysis. NATA accreditation is not held for results reported in g/m<sup>2</sup>.mth.
- Sampling Period: 21/08/2020-19/10/2020.
- Sample exposure period is 59 days which is outside the typical exposure period of 30+/-2 days as per AS3580.10.1

• Sample container was received with no liquid. 100ml of DI water was used to dissolve contents, perform analysis and for calculation of results.

### Analytical Results

Sub-Matrix: DUST (Matrix: AIR)	Client sample ID			Unit C DDG West 10228	Unit D DDG North 10229	Unit E DDG East 10230	 
	Cl	ient sampli	ng date / time	19-Oct-2020 15:55	19-Oct-2020 14:38	19-Oct-2020 11:50	 
Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	EM2019916-001	EM2019916-002	EM2019916-003	 
				Result	Result	Result	 
EA120: Ash Content							
Ash Content		0.1	g/m².month	0.1	0.3	<0.1	 
Ash Content (mg)		1	mg	3	9	3	 
EA125: Combustible Matter							
Combustible Matter		0.1	g/m².month	<0.1	0.4	<0.1	 
Combustible Matter (mg)		1	mg	1	14	1	 
EA139: Total Soluble Matter							
Total Soluble Matter		0.1	g/m <sup>2</sup> .month	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	 
Total Soluble Matter (mg)		1	mg	<1	<1	<1	 
EA141: Total Insoluble Matter							
Total Insoluble Matter		0.1	g/m <sup>2</sup> .month	0.1	0.7	0.1	 
Total Insoluble Matter (mg)		1	mg	4	23	4	 
EA142: Total Solids							
Total Solids		0.1	g/m².month	0.1	0.7	0.1	 
Total Solids (mg)		1	mg	4	23	4	 



## **CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS**

Work Order	EN2101745	Page	: 1 of 3	
Client	: SLR Consulting Australia Pty Ltd	Laboratory	Environmental Division Ne	ewcastle
Contact	: Danny Echeverri	Contact	: Hannah White	
Address	: 589 HAY STREET	Address	: 5/585 Maitland Road Mayf	field West NSW Australia 2304
	JOLIMONT WA, AUSTRALIA 6014			
Telephone	:	Telephone	: +61 2 4014 2500	
Project	: 675.11414.00000 - Confidential	Date Samples Received	: 08-Mar-2021 09:00	ANUTUR A
Order number	: 30044	Date Analysis Commenced	: 10-Mar-2021	
C-O-C number	:	Issue Date	: 17-Mar-2021 15:46	NATA
Sampler	:			HAC-MRA NAIA
Site	:			
Quote number	: EN/032/20 Primary Work Only			Accreditation No. 825
No. of samples received	: 2			Accredited for compliance with
No. of samples analysed	: 2			ISO/IEC 17025 - Testing

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with this reference. Results apply to the sample(s) as submitted, unless the sampling was conducted by ALS. This document shall not be reproduced, except in full.

This Certificate of Analysis contains the following information:

- General Comments
- Analytical Results

Additional information pertinent to this report will be found in the following separate attachments: Quality Control Report, QA/QC Compliance Assessment to assist with Quality Review and Sample Receipt Notification.

### Signatories

This document has been electronically signed by the authorized signatories below. Electronic signing is carried out in compliance with procedures specified in 21 CFR Part 11.

Signatories	Position	Accreditation Category
Zoran Grozdanovski	Laboratory Operator	Newcastle - Inorganics, Mayfield West, NSW



### **General Comments**

The analytical procedures used by ALS have been developed from established internationally recognised procedures such as those published by the USEPA, APHA, AS and NEPM. In house developed procedures are fully validated and are often at the client request.

Where moisture determination has been performed, results are reported on a dry weight basis.

Where a reported less than (<) result is higher than the LOR, this may be due to primary sample extract/digestate dilution and/or insufficient sample for analysis.

Where the LOR of a reported result differs from standard LOR, this may be due to high moisture content, insufficient sample (reduced weight employed) or matrix interference.

When sampling time information is not provided by the client, sampling dates are shown without a time component. In these instances, the time component has been assumed by the laboratory for processing purposes.

Where a result is required to meet compliance limits the associated uncertainty must be considered. Refer to the ALS Contact for details.

Key : CAS Number = CAS registry number from database maintained by Chemical Abstracts Services. The Chemical Abstracts Service is a division of the American Chemical Society.

LOR = Limit of reporting

^ = This result is computed from individual analyte detections at or above the level of reporting

ø = ALS is not NATA accredited for these tests.

~ = Indicates an estimated value.

• Analysis as per AS3580.10.1-2016. Samples passed through a 1mm sieve prior to analysis. NATA accreditation does not apply for results reported in g/m<sup>2</sup>.mth as sampling data was provided by the client.

• Sample exposure period is 127 days which is outside the typical exposure period of 30 +/- 2 days as per AS3580.10.1.

### **Analytical Results**

Sub-Matrix: DEPOSITIONAL DUST (Matrix: AIR)			Sample ID	Unit D DDG North - 10676 19/10/20 - 23/02/21	Unit E DDG East - 10677 19/10/20 - 23/02/21	 	
		Sampli	ng date / time	23-Feb-2021 00:00	23-Feb-2021 00:00	 	
Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	EN2101745-001	EN2101745-002	 	
				Result	Result	 	
EA120: Ash Content							
Ash Content		0.1	g/m².month	0.9	0.6	 	
Ash Content (mg)		1	mg	67	48	 	
EA125: Combustible Matter							
Combustible Matter		0.1	g/m².month	0.2	0.2	 	
Combustible Matter (mg)		1	mg	12	13	 	
EA139: Total Soluble Matter							
Total Soluble Matter		0.1	g/m².month	0.4	0.1	 	
Total Soluble Matter (mg)		1	mg	29	5	 	
EA141: Total Insoluble Matter							
Total Insoluble Matter		0.1	g/m².month	1.1	0.8	 	
Total Insoluble Matter (mg)		1	mg	79	61	 	
EA142: Total Solids							
Total Solids		0.1	g/m².month	1.5	0.9	 	
Total Solids (mg)		1	mg	108	66	 	

Page	: 3 of 3
Work Order	: EN2101745
Client	: SLR Consulting Australia Pty Ltd
Project	: 675.11414.00000 - Confidential



## ASIA PACIFIC OFFICES

### BRISBANE

Level 2, 15 Astor Terrace Spring Hill QLD 4000 Australia T: +61 7 3858 4800 F: +61 7 3858 4801

#### MACKAY

21 River Street Mackay QLD 4740 Australia T: +61 7 3181 3300

### SYDNEY

Tenancy 202 Submarine School Sub Base Platypus 120 High Street North Sydney NSW 2060 Australia T: +61 2 9427 8100 F: +61 2 9427 8200

#### AUCKLAND

68 Beach Road Auckland 1010 New Zealand T: 0800 757 695

### CANBERRA

GPO 410 Canberra ACT 2600 Australia T: +61 2 6287 0800 F: +61 2 9427 8200

#### **MELBOURNE**

Level 11, 176 Wellington Parade East Melbourne VIC 3002 Australia T: +61 3 9249 9400 F: +61 3 9249 9499

### TOWNSVILLE

12 Cannan Street South Townsville OLD 4810 Australia T: +61 7 4722 8000 F: +61 7 4722 8001

### NELSON

6/A Cambridge Street Richmond, Nelson 7020 New Zealand T: +64 274 898 628

### DARWIN

Unit 5, 21 Parap Road Parap NT 0820 Australia T: +61 8 8998 0100 F: +61 8 9370 0101

### NEWCASTLE

10 Kings Road New Lambton NSW 2305 Australia T: +61 2 4037 3200 F: +61 2 4037 3201

### WOLLONGONG

Level 1, The Central Building UoW Innovation Campus North Wollongong NSW 2500 Australia T: +61 404 939 922

### GOLD COAST

Level 2, 194 Varsity Parade Varsity Lakes QLD 4227 Australia M: +61 438 763 516

### PERTH

Ground Floor, 503 Murray Street Perth WA 6000 Australia T: +61 8 9422 5900 F: +61 8 9422 5901

