

Form

Referral of a proposal under s. 38 of the EP Act

PART A: PROPONENT AND REFERRER INFORMATION AND PROPOSAL DESCRIPTION			
Referrer information			
Who is referring this proposal?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Proponent <input type="checkbox"/> Decision-making authority <input type="checkbox"/> Community member/third party	
Name (print) John Lorenti		Signature	
Position	General Manager	Organisation	SynergyRED
Email	john.lorenti@synergy.net.au	Phone	0407 422 521
Address	219	St Georges Terrace	
	PERTH	WA	6000
Date	22 July 2022		
Does the referrer request that the EPA treat any part of the proposal information in the referral as confidential? <i>Provide confidential information in a separate attachment.</i>		<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
Does the referrer confirm that they consent to receive correspondence electronically?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
Referral declaration for proponent and Authorised representative: I, John Lorenti , declare that I am authorised to refer this proposal on behalf of Synergy Renewable Energy Development Pty Ltd and further declare that the information contained in this form is true and not misleading. Date: 22 July 2022			
Proponent information			
Name of the proponent/s <i>Include Trading Name if relevant</i>		Synergy Renewable Energy Developments Pty Ltd (t/a SynergyRED)	
Australian Company Number(s)	<input type="checkbox"/>	65 152 752 719	
OR Australian Business Number(s)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		

Pre-referral discussions	
<p>Have you had pre-referral discussions with the EPA (including the EPA Services of DWER)?</p> <p><i>If so, provide name, date, and overview of discussions.</i></p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>High level pre-referral phone conversation between Hans Jacob and Emma Jones in mid-March 2022.</p>
Proposal information	
Proposal name	King Rocks Wind Farm
<p>What is the proposal? (Include general description in the Instructions and template: How to identify the content of a proposal)</p>	<p>Development of a wind farm approximately 35 kilometres north-east of Hyden townsite.</p> <p>The proposal consists of up to 30 wind turbines generating up to 150 MW and associated infrastructure. It will connect into the South-West Interconnected System (SWIS) via the existing Western Power Kondinin to Bounty 132 kV transmission line.</p> <p>The wind farm will be located on predominantly cleared freehold land used for farming and historical road reserves in the locality of Hyden.</p>
<p>Have you provided electronic spatial data, maps, and figures in the appropriate format?</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> No</p>
<p>What type of proposal is being referred?</p> <p><i>For significant amendment or derived proposal, provide the associated existing Ministerial statement number/s</i></p> <p><i>For a proposal under an assessed planning scheme, provide the scheme number and name</i></p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> significant proposal. <i>Choose which type of significant proposal</i></p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> new proposal</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> significant amendment (proposal only)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> significant amendment (conditions only)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> significant amendment (proposal and conditions)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> strategic proposal</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> derived proposal</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> proposals of a prescribed class</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> proposal under an assessed planning scheme</p>
<p>Proposal content: Complete the corresponding template (Proposal Content Document) from the Instructions and template: How to identify the content of a proposal for the type of proposal identified above. The completed form must be submitted with the referral.</p>	
Alternatives	Refer to Section 2.2.2 of the Environmental Review Document

PART B: ASSESSMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS

Environmental factors

What are the likely significant environmental factors for this proposal?

- Benthic Communities and Habitat
- Coastal Processes
- Marine Environmental Quality
- Marine Fauna
- ✓ Flora and Vegetation
- ✓ Landforms
- Subterranean Fauna
- Terrestrial Environmental Quality
- ✓ Terrestrial Fauna
- Inland Waters
- Air Quality
- Greenhouse Gas Emissions
- ✓ Social Surroundings
- Human Health

*For **each** of the environmental factors identified above, complete the following table, or provide the information in a supplementary report*

Potential environmental impacts – for each environmental factor

1	EPA policy and guidance	Refer to King Rocks Wind Farm Environmental Review Document.
2	Receiving environment	
3	Likely environmental impacts	
4	Application of the mitigation hierarchy	
5	Assessment and significance of residual impacts	
6	Likely environmental outcomes	

Holistic impact assessment

Outline the holistic impact assessment for the Proposal.

- Refer Section 10 of the King Rocks Wind Farm Environmental Review Document.

Cumulative environmental impact assessment

Outline the relevant cumulative environmental impacts of the Proposal (based on scoping).

- Refer Section 11 of the King Rocks Wind Farm Environmental Review Document.

Consultation

Outline the outcomes of consultation on the Proposal and its likely environmental effects.

- Refer Section 4 of the King Rocks Wind Farm Environmental Review Document.

Supporting documents

Provide a list of the supporting documents

- Proposal Content Document
- 360 Environmental, 2022. King Rocks Wind Farm Environmental Review Document.
- 360 Environmental, 2022. Flora, Vegetation and Fauna Assessment King Rocks Wind Farm. Western Australia.
- GHD, 2022. King Rocks Wind Farm Noise Impact Assessment.

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Urbis, 2022. King Rocks Windfarm, Hyden Visual Impact Assessment. • AHA Logic, 2022. Aboriginal Heritage Desktop Advice – King Rocks Wind Farm Project, Hyden. Western Australia. • IBSA reference numbers 	
Has the referrer provided survey information according to the Instructions and Form: IBSA Data Packages and/or the Instructions and form: IMSA Data Packages IBSA reference number: IBSA-2022-0254	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Conclusion	
Do you consider the proposal may have a significant effect on the environment? No	

PART B: ASSESSMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS FOR SIGNIFICANT AMENDMENTS ONLY

Type of significant amendment	<input type="checkbox"/> significant amendment to the approved proposal <input type="checkbox"/> significant amendment to the implementation conditions <input type="checkbox"/> significant amendment to both the proposal and the implementation conditions
Information of the approved proposal	N/A
Combined effects of the approved proposal and significant amendment	N/A
Analysis of existing implementation conditions	N/A
Previous changes to the Proposal and or implementation conditions	N/A
Compliance	N/A
Environmental Performance	N/A
Control of implementation of significant amendment	N/A

PART B: ASSESSMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS FOR A PROPOSAL UNDER AN ASSESSED SCHEME ONLY

What new environmental issues are raised by the proposal that were not assessed during the assessment of the planning scheme?	N/A
How does the proposal not comply with the assessed scheme and/or the environmental conditions in the assessed planning scheme?	N/A

PART B: ASSESSMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS FOR DERIVED PROPOSALS ONLY

Demonstrate how the proposal will meet the environmental outcomes defined through the assessment of the strategic proposal	N/A
Provide an analysis of the existing implementation conditions of the related strategic proposal in relation to the derived proposal	N/A

PART C: OTHER APPROVALS AND REGULATION

Decision-making authorities and their approvals

Provide a table list of the decision-making authorities, associated legislation or agreement regulating the activity and the specific approval required. (Example table at the end of form)	Refer to Section 3.2 of the King Rocks Wind Farm Environmental Review Document
Provide a summary of the statutory decision-making processes you consider can mitigate the potential impacts of the proposal on the environment. (Note: this should be a summary of the information provided in Part B section 2.4).	Refer to Section 3.2 of the King Rocks Wind Farm Environmental Review Document

Tenure and Local Government approvals

Location of proposal: a) street address, lot number, suburb, and nearest road intersection; or b) if remote, the nearest town and distance and direction from that town to the proposal site.	94 (Lot 2485) and 442 (Lot 2640) King Rocks North Road, Hyden WA and adjacent road reserves Nearest road intersection – King Rocks North Road and Casuarina Road, Hyden WA
Name of the Local Government Authority in which the proposal is located.	Shire of Kondinin
Is rezoning of any land required before the proposal can be implemented? If yes, please provide details.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
What is the current land use on the property, and the extent (area in hectares) of the property?	3121 ha Currently zoned rural and used for farming.
Does the proponent have the legal access required for the implementation of all aspects of the proposal? <i>If yes, provide details of legal access authorisations / agreements / tenure.</i> <i>If no, what authorisations / agreements / tenure is required and from whom?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Landowner lease agreements are currently being negotiated. Approval to access adjacent road reserves is being sought through the Shire of Kondinin.

Commonwealth Government approvals	
Does the proposal involve an action that may be or is a controlled action under the <i>Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999</i> (EPBC Act)?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Has the proposed action been referred? If yes, when was it referred and what is the reference number (EPBC No.)?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Date: _____ EPBC No.: _____
If referred, has a decision been made on whether the proposed action is a controlled action? If 'yes', check the appropriate box and provide the decision in an attachment.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Decision – controlled action <input type="checkbox"/> Decision – not a controlled action
If the proposal is determined to be a controlled action, do you request that this proposal be assessed under a Bilateral Agreement or as an accredited assessment?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes - Bilateral <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes - Accredited
Is approval required from other Commonwealth Government/s for any part of the proposal? <i>If yes, describe.</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Approval: _____
Decision-making authority referrals <u>ONLY</u>	
What approval/s, under your authority, are required for this proposal? <i>Please provide details.</i>	N/A

Example Table: Other approvals

Decision-making authority	Legislation or Agreement regulating the activity	Approval required (and specify which proposal element the approval is related to)	Whether and how statutory decision-making process can mitigate impacts on the environment? (Yes/No and summary of reasons. Include a separate line item for each relevant impact, and discuss how the EPA's factor objective will be met)