Form

Referral of a proposal under s. 38 of the EP Act

| PART A: PROPONENT AND REFERRER INFORMATION AND PROPOSAL DESCRIPTION | | | | |
|--|--|---|---------------|---------------|
| Referrer informa | ation | | | |
| Who is referring this proposal? | | ✓ Proponent ☐ Decision-making authority ☐ Community member/third party | | |
| Name (print) Chichester Metals Pty Ltd | | Signature | | |
| Position | Jarrod Pittson – Group Manager, Environment and Governance | Organisation | Chichester Me | etals Pty Ltd |
| Email | jarrod.pittson@fmgl.com.au | Phone | 6218 8671 | |
| Address | Level 2, 87 | Adelaide Terra | ice | _ |
| | East Perth | | WA | 6105 |
| Date | 26/04/2023 | | | |
| Does the referrer request that the EPA treat any part of proposal information in the referral as confidential? Provide confidential information in a separate attachment | | 1? | □ Yes ✓ No | |
| Does the referrer confirm that they consent to rece correspondence electronically? | | eive | ✓ Yes | |
| Referral declaration for proponent and Authorised representative: I, Jarrod Pittson declare that I am authorised to refer this proposal on behalf of Fortescue Metals Group Ltd and further declare that the information contained in this form is true and not misleading. Date: 26/04/2023 | | | | |
| Proponent infor | mation | | | |
| Name of the proponent/s Include Trading Name if relevant | | Chichester Metals Pty Ltd | | |
| Australian Company Number(s) OR | | 83 109 264 262 | | |
| Australian Busin | | | | |
| Pre-referral discussions | | | | |
| Have you had pre-referral discussions with the EPA (including the EPA Services of DWER)? | | ✓ Yes | | |
| L | | 1 | | |

| If so, provide name, date, and overview of discussions. | 07/09/2022 brief overview of proposal as part of regular briefings between EPAS and Fortescue. 10/11/2022 Pre-referral meeting with EPAS and Fortescue to provide an overview of the | |
|--|---|--|
| | Nyidinghu Iron Ore Mine and East Hamersley Railway projects. Subsequent discussions have occurred with | |
| | EPAS. | |
| Proposal information | | |
| Proposal name | Nyidinghu Iron Ore Mine | |
| What is the proposal? (Include general description in the <u>Instructions and template: How to identify the content of a proposal)</u> | The Proposal is to construct and operate an iron ore mine approximately 80 km north we of Newman in the Pilbara Region of Western Australia. | |
| | The proposal includes: | |
| | The development of above and below water table mine pits. | |
| | An ore processing facility to process ore from sources inside the MDE and from other mining projects. | |
| | Groundwater abstraction for water supply and to facilitate mining below the water table. | |
| | Surplus water management and discharg of excess water including: | |
| | Managed aquifer recharge. | |
| | Mine water use. | |
| | Discharge to creeks including Weeli Wolli Creek. | |
| | Transfer of water for use by Fortescue Chichester operations. | |
| | Transfer of water for use by others. | |
| | Storage of waste rock in waste rock landforms (WRL) and/or in-pit disposal | |
| | Storage of tailings in a dedicated Tailings Storage Facility (TSF) and/or in-pit disposal. | |
| | Storage of mined ore prior to processing (run of mine pad and ore stockpiles). | |
| | Storage of topsoil in stockpile. | |

| | | T |
|--|---|---|
| | | Water management infrastructure, including levees and diversion drains, culverts and floodways, extraction, conveyance, disposal, reinjection, sumps, pumps, pipelines, treatment and storage, as well as associated power supply and control system. Linear infrastructure (pipelines, power lines, haul roads, access roads, conveyors, communications). |
| | | Ancillary infrastructure including but not limited to workshops, offices, landfill, laydowns, accommodation facilities, aerodrome, hydrocarbon storage facilities. |
| | | The proposal is located within a 92,301 hectare Mine Development Envelope and will require the clearing of up to 12,365 hectares of native vegetation. |
| | | The referred proposal excludes transport of ore via railway, a pipeline to the Chichester operations and a transmission line connecting to the Fortescue power network. Fortescue will seek to refer a separate proposal that includes the corridor to construct and operate a railway between Nyidinghu and Fortescue's existing Main Line, the pipeline to the Chichester operations and transmission line connecting to the Fortescue power network. |
| Have you provided electronic spatial data, maps, | | ✓ Yes |
| and figures in the appropriate | format? | □ No |
| What type of proposal is being referred? For significant amendment or derived proposal, provide the associated existing Ministerial statement number/s For a proposal under an assessed planning scheme, provide the scheme number | ✓ new propo □ significant □ significant □ significant □ strategic propo □ derived proposa □ proposals of a p | t amendment (proposal only) t amendment (conditions only) t amendment (proposal and conditions) saal |
| and name Proposal content: Complete the | no corresponding tom | anlata (Bronocal Contant Document) from the |
| 1 | • | aplate (Proposal Content Document) from the <u>ent of a proposal</u> for the type of proposal |
| · de d'Controlle de Theorem de la | 16 | and the state of the second |

identified above. The completed form **must be** submitted with the referral.

Alternatives

Alternatives considered for this proposal include:

- Processing of iron ore at Fortescue's Cloudbreak mine. This would require additional tailings storage facilities at Cloudbreak and a dedicated rail line from Nyidinghu to Cloudbreak.
- The iron ore deposit at Nyidinghu extends beneath the Weeli Wolli Creek. Fortescue has excluded this ore from the referred proposal in order to avoid unacceptable environmental and heritage impacts to the creek.
- Preliminary ore transport options included a potential transport corridor across Fortescue Marsh to Cloudbreak. This option was rejected as being environmentally unacceptable.
- An alternative rail option heading east around the Fortescue Marsh to Christmas Creek was considered. This option was rejected due to unacceptable impacts to social surroundings.

| PART B: ASSESSMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS | | | |
|--|---|---|--|
| Envir | onmental factors | | |
| What are the likely significant environmental factors for this proposal? | | □ Benthic Communities and Habitat □ Coastal Processes □ Marine Environmental Quality □ Marine Fauna ✓ Flora and Vegetation □ Landforms ✓ Subterranean Fauna ✓ Terrestrial Environmental Quality ✓ Terrestrial Fauna ✓ Inland Waters □ Air Quality ✓ Greenhouse Gas Emissions ✓ Social Surroundings □ Human Health | |
| | ach of the environmental factors identified abor mation in a supplementary report | ve, complete the following table, or provide the | |
| | ntial environmental impacts – for each environ | mental factor | |
| 1 | EPA policy and guidance | See Attached Supporting Documentation | |
| 2 | Receiving environment | See Attached Supporting Documentation | |
| 3 | Likely environmental impacts | See Attached Supporting Documentation | |
| 4 | Application of the mitigation hierarchy | See Attached Supporting Documentation | |
| 5 | Assessment and significance of residual impacts | See Attached Supporting Documentation | |
| 6 | Likely environmental outcomes | See Attached Supporting Documentation | |
| Holis | tic impact assessment | | |
| See A | Attached Supporting Documentation | | |

| Cumulative environmental impact assessment | |
|--|-------|
| See Attached Supporting Documentation | |
| Consultation | |
| See Attached Supporting Documentation | |
| Supporting documents | |
| Nyidinghu Iron Ore Mine – s38 Referral Supporting Document | |
| Proposal Content Document | |
| Has the referrer provided survey information according to the <i>Instructions and Form</i> : | ☐ Yes |
| <u>IBSA Data Packages</u> and/or the <u>Instructions and form: IMSA Data Packages</u> | ✓ No |
| Conclusion | |
| Do you consider the proposal may have a significant effect on the environment? | |
| Yes | |

| PART C: OTHER APPROVALS AND REGUL | ATION |
|--|--|
| Decision-making authorities and their approvals | |
| Provide a table list of the decision-making authorities, associated legislation or agreement regulating the activity and the specific approval required. (Example table at the end of form) | See Attached Supporting Documentation |
| Provide a summary of the statutory decision-making processes you consider can mitigate the potential impacts of the proposal on the environment. (Note: this should be a summary of the information provided in Part B section 2.4). | See Attached Supporting Documentation |
| Tenure and Local Government approvals | |
| Location of proposal: | Mining Lease M47/1461 |
| a) street address, lot number, suburb, and nearest road intersection; or | Additional Mining and Miscellaneous Licences pending. |
| b) if remote, the nearest town and distance and direction from that town to the proposal site. | Leases and Licences are subject to State Agreement. |
| Name of the Local Government Authority in which the proposal is located. | Shire of East Pilbara |
| Is rezoning of any land required before the | ☐ Yes |
| proposal can be implemented? If yes, please provide details. | ✓ No |
| What is the current land use on the property, and the extent (area in hectares) of the property? | Marillana Pastoral Lease, used for cattle grazing. |
| Does the proponent have the legal access required | □ Yes |
| for the implementation of all aspects of the | ✓ No |
| proposal? If yes, provide details of legal access authorisations / agreements / tenure. | Some Mining leases still pending. Nyidinghu Iron Ore Mine is subject to the <i>Iron Ore (FMG</i> |
| , agreements, tenure. | Chichester's Pty Ltd) Agreement. |

| If no , what authorisations / agreements / tenure is required and from whom? | | |
|---|--|------|
| Commonwealth Government approvals | | |
| Does the proposal involve an action that may be or is a controlled action under the <i>Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999</i> (EPBC Act)? | ✓ Yes | □ No |
| Has the proposed action been referred? If yes, | ☐ Yes | ✓ No |
| when was it referred and what is the reference number (EPBC No.)? | Date: | |
| | EPBC No.: | |
| If referred, has a decision been made on whether the proposed action is a controlled action? If 'yes', check the appropriate box and provide the decision in an attachment. | ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Decision – controlled action ☐ Decision – not a controlled action | |
| If the proposal is determined to be a controlled action, do you request that this proposal be assessed under a Bilateral Agreement or as an accredited assessment? | ☐ Yes - Bilateral ✓ Yes - Accredited | □ No |
| Is approval required from other Commonwealth Government/s for any part of the proposal? If yes, describe. | ☐ Yes Approval: | √ No |
| Decision-making authority referrals ONLY | | |
| What approval/s, under your authority, are required for this proposal? <i>Please provide details</i> . | N/A | |