

## **Environmental Protection Authority**

## Form for the referral of a proposal to the Environmental Protection Authority under Section 38 of the *Environmental Protection Act 1986*

Referrer information					
Who is referring this proposal?		✓ Proponent  □ Decision-making authority □ Community member/third party			
Name (print) Todd Colton		Signature 1611			
	nief Operating fficer	Organisation Image Resources NL			
Email <u>tc</u>	olton@imageres.com.au	1			
Address Le	evel 2, 7	Ventnor Avenue			
w	est Perth			WA	6872
Date 03	03/09/2021				
Does the referrer request that the EPA treat proposal information in the referral as confibered confidential information in a separate		idential?		√ No	
Referral declaration for organisations, proponents and decision-making authorities:					
I, Todd Colton declare that I am authorised to refer this proposal on behalf of Image Resources NL and further declare that the information contained in this form is true and not misleading.					
Part A: Proponent and proposal description					
Proponent information					
Name of the proponent/s (including Trading Name if relevant)			Image Resource	es NL	
Australian Company Number(s) ✓			063 977 579		
OR Australian Business Number(s)					

	✓ Yes □ No		
	Preston Consulting Pty Ltd		
Contact for the proposal (if different from the referrer)	Contact Person: Gavin Edwards - Director		
	Email: gedwards@prestonconsulting.com.au		
Please include: name, physical address, phone, and email.	<b>Phone:</b> 0488 737 273		
	Street Address: Level 3, 201 Adelaide		
	Terrace, East Perth, Western Australia, 6004		
Does the proponent have the legal access required for the	✓ Yes □ No		
implementation of all aspects of the proposal?	The Proposal will be developed within a		
If yes, provide details of legal access authorisations /	Mine Development Envelope and an External		
agreements / tenure.	Infrastructure Development Envelope which		
<b>If no</b> , what authorisations / agreements / tenure is required	will include transport infrastructure upgrades		
and from whom?	and water supply options. The Mine		
	Development Envelope predominately aligns		
	with the boundaries of Mining Lease M 70/1305. A short corridor aligned with an		
	existing powerline track, extends across		
	Unallocated Crown Land (UCL) to the west,		
	providing for an access road.		
	The External Infrastructure Development		
	Envelope intersects various tenure and		
	cadastre. Land access agreements are		
	currently being negotiated and		
	miscellaneous Licences will be applied for		
	those corridors that form part of the final		
	project layout.		
	Image and the Yound Decade Native Title		
	Image and the Yued People Native Title Claimant Group have a fully-termed Native		
	Title Agreement (NTA) for the Proposal.		
Diamosal trino	, S (,		
Proposal type			
What type of proposal is being referred?	✓ significant – new proposal		
For a change to an approved proposal please state the	significant – change to approved		
Ministerial Statement number/s (MS No./s) of the approved proposal	proposal (MS No./s:)  proposal under an assessed planning		
арргочей ргорозаг	scheme		
For a derived proposal please state the Ministerial	□ strategic		
Statement number (MS No.) of the associated strategic	☐ derived (Strategic MS No.:)		
proposal			
For a significant proposal:	The implementation of the Proposal may		
Why do you consider the proposal may have a	impact on several Key Environmental Factors		
significant effect on the environment and warrant	(discussed further in part B).		
referral to the EPA?			
For a proposal under an assessed planning scheme,	N/A		
provide the following details:			
Scheme name and number			
For the Responsible Authority:			
<ul> <li>What new environmental issues are raised by the proposal that were not assessed during the assessment</li> </ul>			
of the planning scheme?			

	1
<ul> <li>How does the proposal not comply with the assessed scheme and/or the environmental conditions in the assessed planning scheme?</li> </ul>	
Proposal description	
Title of the proposal	Atlas Project
Name of the Local Government Authority in which the proposal is located.	Shire of Dandaragan
<ul><li>Location:</li><li>a) street address, lot number, suburb, and nearest road intersection; or</li><li>b) if remote the nearest town and distance and direction from that town to the proposal site.</li></ul>	The Proposal lies approximately 170 km north of Perth and 18 km east of the coastal town of Cervantes, within the Shire of Dandaragan in WA. Site access is gained via Munbinea Road which connects to the Brand Highway via Bibby Road to the north of the Mine Development Envelope.
Proposal description – including the key characteristics of the proposal  Provide as an attachment to the form	Please see Part A of the Supporting Information Document.
Have you provided electronic spatial data, maps and figure in the appropriate format?  Refer to instructions at the front of the form  What is the current land use on the property, and the extent (area in hectares) of the property?	✓ Yes  Spatial data, maps and figures have been provided within the Supporting Information Document.  The Proposal will be developed within a Mine Development Envelope and an External Infrastructure Development Envelope with a total combined area of approximately 1,037 ha.  The Mine Development Envelope covers an area of 981 ha which overlies both UCL and freehold farmland.  The External Infrastructure Development Envelope covers an area of 56 ha overlying various tenure and cadastre including agricultural land and road reserves.
Have you had pre-referral discussions with the EPA at DWER Services? If so, quote the reference number and/or the DWER contact.	Yes, a pre-referral meeting was held with Stuart Simonds and Robert Hughes on 30/09/2020 regarding a previous proposal scope. This proposal was referred but then withdrawn and revised based on several meetings and discussions with EPA Services. This referral is for the revised scope of the proposal.

Part B: Environmental impacts				
Environ	mental factors			
What are the likely significant environmental factors for this proposal?		☐ Benthic Communities and Habitat		
lactors	ioi tilis proposar:	☐ Coastal Processes		
		☐ Marine Environmental Quality		
		☐ Marine Fauna		
		✓ Flora and Vegetation		
		☐ Landforms		
		✓ Subterranean Fauna		
		✓ Terrestrial Environmental Quality		
		✓ Terrestrial Fauna		
		✓ Inland Waters		
		☐ Air Qualit	У	
		☐ Greenhouse Gas Emissions		
		✓ Social Sur	roundings	
		✓ Human He	ealth	
	<b>ach</b> of the environmental factors identified a	bove, complete	the following table, or provide the	
_	nation in a supplementary report			
Potenti	al environmental impacts			
1	EPA Factor		Please refer to Part B of the	
2	2 <b>EPA policy and guidance</b> - What have you considered and how have you applied them in relation to this factor?		Supporting Information Document.	
3	3 <b>Consultation</b> – Outline the outcomes of consultation in relation to the potential environmental impacts			
4 <b>Receiving environment</b> – Describe the current condition of the receiving environment in relation to this factor.				
5 <b>Proposal activities</b> – Describe the proposal activities that have the potential to impact the environment				
6	6 <i>Mitigation</i> – Describe the measures proposed to manage and mitigate the potential environmental impacts.			
7	<i>Impacts</i> – Assess the impacts of the proposal and review the residual impacts against the EPA objective.			
8	<b>Assumptions</b> - Describe any assumptions critical to your assessment e.g. particular mitigation measures or regulatory conditions.			

Part C: Other approvals and regulation				
State and Local Government approvals				
Is rezoning of any land implemented? If yes, please provide d	posal can be	☐ Yes	√ No	
If this proposal has been referred by a decision-authority, what approval(s) are required from you		•	N/A	
Please identify other ap	provals required for the	e proposal:		
Proposal activities e.g. clearing, dewatering, mining, processing, dredging	Land tenure/access e.g. Crown land, Mining lease, specify legislation for access if relevant	Type of approval e.g. Native Vegetation Clearing Permit, licence, mining proposal,		Legislation regulating the activity e.g. EP Act 1986 – Part V, RiWI Act 1914, Mining Act 1979
All Activities	Mining Act tenure	Part IV Approvals		Environmental Protection Act 1986 (WA)
		Mining Proposa	I	Mining Act 1978 (WA)
		Project Management Plan		Mine Safety and Inspection Act 1994 (WA)
Closure and Rehabilitation	Mining Act tenure	Mine Closure Plan		Mining Act 1978 (WA)
Fuel and/or chemical storage (if above prescribed volumes)	Mining Act tenure	Dangerous goods Site Licence		Dangerous Goods Safety Act 2004 (WA)
Groundwater Abstraction	Mining Act tenure	5C Licence		Rights in Water and Irrigation Act 1914 (WA)
Exploration for Groundwater Sources	Mining Act tenure	26D Licence		Rights in Water and Irrigation Act 1914 (WA)
Mineral sands mining or processing, and dewatering discharge.	Mining Act tenure	Works Approval and Licence		Environmental Protection Act 1986 (PartV) (WA)
Disturbance of Aboriginal Heritage sites (if they are present and cannot be avoided)	Department of Aboriginal Affairs	Section 18 approval		Aboriginal Heritage Act 1972 (WA)
Commonwealth Government approvals				
Does the proposal involve an action that may be or is a controlled action under the <i>Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999</i> (EPBC Act)?			ed ✓ Ye	s 🗆 No

Part C: Other approvals and regulation			
State and Local Government approvals			
Has the proposed action been referred? If yes, when was it	✓ Yes □ No		
referred and what is the reference number (EPBC No.)?	Date: 12/10/20		
	EPBC No.:_2020/8813		
	The Proposed Action was referred and determined to be a Controlled Action assessed by accredited assessment under the EP Act in the form of public environment review. A withdrawal request was submitted to DAWE on 26 July 2021. The Proposed Action will now be re-referred based on the revised scope.		
If referred, has a decision been made on whether the proposed action is a controlled action? If 'yes', check the appropriate box	☐ Yes ✓ No		
and provide the decision in an attachment.	☐ Decision – controlled action		
	☐ Decision – not a controlled action		
If the proposal is determined to be a controlled action, do you request that this proposal be assessed under the bilateral	☐ Yes - Bilateral ☐ No		
agreement or as an accredited assessment?	✓ Yes - Accredited		
Is approval required from other Commonwealth Government/s for any part of the proposal?	☐ Yes ✓ No		
If yes, describe.	Approval:		