


# Form

## Referral of a proposal under s. 38 of the EP Act

PART A: PROPONENT AND REFERRER INFORMATION AND PROPOSAL DESCRIPTION			
Referrer information			
Who is referring this proposal?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Proponent <input type="checkbox"/> Decision-making authority <input type="checkbox"/> Community member/third party		
Name (print) Digby Short <i>Name of the person or organisation referring</i>	Signature 		
Position	Head of Environment	Organisation	Water Corporation
Email	[REDACTED]	Phone	[REDACTED]
Address	629 Newcastle Street	Street Name	
	Leederville	WA	6007
Date	04 April 2025		
Does the referrer request that the EPA treat any part of the proposal information in the referral as confidential? <i>Provide confidential information in a separate attachment.</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No		
Does the referrer confirm that they consent to receive correspondence electronically?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No		
Referral declaration for proponent and Authorised representative: I, Digby Short declare that I am authorised to refer this proposal on behalf of Water Corporation and further declare that the information contained in this form is true and not misleading.  Date: 04 April 2025			
Proponent information			
Name of the proponent/s <i>Include Trading Name if relevant</i>	Water Corporation		
Australian Company Number(s) <input type="checkbox"/> OR Australian Business Number(s) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	28003434917		
Pre-referral discussions			
Have you had pre-referral discussions with the EPA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No		

<p><b>(including the EPA Services of DWER)?</b></p> <p><i>If so, provide name, date, and overview of discussions.</i></p>	<p>Meeting with EPA on:</p> <p>16 December 2024</p> <p>17 February 2025</p>
<p><b>Proposal information</b></p>	
<p><b>Proposal name</b></p>	<p>Abstraction of groundwater from the Millstream water reserve</p>
<p><b>What is the proposal? (Include general description in the <a href="#">Instructions and template: How to identify the content of a proposal</a>)</b></p>	<p>The proposal was originally referred under Part IV of the <i>Environment Protection Act 1986</i> (EP Act) on the 11 November 2024 by a third-party, Yindjibarndi Aboriginal Corporation (YAC). Water Corporation’s review of the YAC referral is presented in Appendix B of the Supporting Document. This proposal referred by the Water Corporation differs from the description of the YAC referral.</p> <p><b><u>Proposal Short Description</u></b></p> <p>The proposal is for Water Corporation (the Corporation) to continue groundwater abstraction from the Millstream Aquifer within the Millstream water reserve, located 130 km south of Roebourne.</p> <p>Groundwater abstraction of up to 6 gegalitres per annum (GL/a) until 2032, with a contingency of 3GL/a until 2027, will be undertaken from existing production bores PB1 to PB12 within a project envelope of 110.4 hectares. Refer to Appendix A: Proposal Content Document. The abstraction is a secondary water source for the West Pilbara Water Supply Scheme (WPWSS).</p>
<p><b>Have you provided electronic spatial data, maps, and figures in the appropriate format?</b></p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes                      <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>
<p><b>What type of proposal is being referred?</b></p> <p><i>For significant amendment or derived proposal, provide the associated existing Ministerial statement number/s</i></p> <p><i>For a proposal under an assessed planning scheme, provide the scheme number and name</i></p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> significant proposal. <i>Choose which type of significant proposal</i></p> <p>    <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> new proposal</p> <p>    <input type="checkbox"/> significant amendment (proposal only)</p> <p>    <input type="checkbox"/> significant amendment (conditions only)</p> <p>    <input type="checkbox"/> significant amendment (proposal and conditions)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> strategic proposal</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> derived proposal</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> proposals of a prescribed class</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> proposal under an assessed planning scheme</p>
<p><b>Proposal content:</b> <i>Complete the corresponding template (Proposal Content Document) from the <a href="#">Instructions and template: How to identify the content of a proposal</a> for the type of proposal identified above. The completed form <b>must be submitted with the referral.</b></i></p>	

<p><b>Alternatives</b></p>	<p>To maintain water supply reliability for the Scheme and meet future demand, the Corporation has evaluated a number of source options and is currently undertaking investigations for a Seawater Desalination Plant (SDP) as the preferred solution to supplement supply from the current sources.</p> <p>Early planning works identified potential SDP locations within the Burrup area, and engagement with the Murujuga Aboriginal Corporation (MAC) and other stakeholders is ongoing to confirm possible plant locations. The Corporation has also explored locations off the Burrup Peninsula.</p> <p>The forecast delivery date for the proposed Water Corporation SDP is 2032. The ultimate and staged sizing of the plant is yet to be determined. To support a robust determination, the Corporation proposes an independent reassessment of the aquifer sustainable yield. The Corporation’s expectation is that the scope of this reassessment will be developed in collaboration with Department of Water and Environmental Regulation (DWER) and YAC.</p> <p>In February 2025, the State Government announced that the Corporation would engage with Rio Tinto Iron Ore (RTIO) on the feasibility of Stage 2 of RTIO’s Dampier SDP being made available for an interim supply of 4GL/a to help meet demand requirements until a long-term additional source can be delivered. The forecast delivery date for Stage 2 of the RTIO SDP is 2027, however the timeframe for the associated integration works is still being defined. This would effectively replace the current temporary 3GL/a licence for Millstream once operational until the next source is built.</p> <p>Further interim actions include the pursuit of a suite of upgrade works at the Harding water treatment plant and in the distribution system to maximise the use of Harding Dam when available to further reduce abstraction from Millstream Borefield. Those works will improve the daily production capacity of the plant and increase the ability to treat water when dam levels are low. The Corporation continues to implement demand management activities with customers. The Waterwise Towns program has been delivering water efficiency activities in West Pilbara for six years. This year’s program is underway and includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Providing ‘Showerhead Swap’ in the City of Karratha to save water in homes.</li> <li>• Delivering a series of four comparative water use behaviour change letters to 4000 residents in West Pilbara.</li> <li>• Collaboration with major employers and property managers in Karratha to provide tenants with information on fixing leaks and sprinkler compliance.</li> </ul>
----------------------------	--

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Engagement with high water users under the Water Efficiency Management Plan, offering audits if needed.</li> <li>• Reviewing and providing plumbing and irrigation services to high-use non-residential sites, including professional leak detection.</li> <li>• Use of smart meters and data loggers to promptly detect and repair leaks through customer notifications.</li> </ul> <p>Water carting is not considered feasible due to the large volume of water required to meet demand and distance and availability from alternative sources.</p>
--	--

## PART B: ASSESSMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS

### Environmental factors

<p>What are the likely significant environmental factors for this proposal?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Benthic Communities and Habitat</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Coastal Processes</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Marine Environmental Quality</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Marine Fauna</li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Flora and Vegetation</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Landforms</li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Subterranean Fauna</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Terrestrial Environmental Quality</li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Terrestrial Fauna</li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Inland Waters</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Air Quality</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Greenhouse Gas Emissions</li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Social Surroundings</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Human Health</li> </ul>
---	---

*For each of the environmental factors identified above, complete the following table, or provide the information in a supplementary report*

Refer to Abstraction of Groundwater from the Millstream Water Reserve Referral Supporting Document (attached).

### Holistic impact assessment

The proposal has the potential to indirectly impact the Millstream Wetlands by altering the volume of the springflow from the aquifer entering Millstream Wetlands pools and rivers. The lowering of the water table also has the potential to impact stygofauna through habitat loss.

Potential impacts to pool and river levels within the Millstream Wetlands are not solely directly related to groundwater abstraction as a result of implementation of the proposal with any observed impacts most likely to be caused by dry climatic conditions and a period without a significant recharge event from a tropical cyclone. The pool water levels in Millstream aquifer are directly correlated to the Fortescue River flows with springflow from the Millstream aquifer being approximately 16.3% of water source into the pools.

Previous abstraction volumes and monitoring data has shown groundwater abstraction volumes greater than 9GL/a (up to 16GL/a) and all pool water levels recovered. These impacts are not

expected given the proposal is for a lower ongoing abstraction volume and a temporary contingency period. No long-term significant impacts to pool water levels are expected as a result of implementation of the proposal.

Potential impact and observations on pool levels, vegetation and erosion within the Millstream Wetlands are primarily due to climatic conditions and not directly related to groundwater abstraction as a result of implementation of the proposal. Groundwater abstraction from the proposal is not expected to impact the pool and river levels within the Millstream Wetlands with any observed impacts likely to be caused by climatic conditions which are not attributable to the proposal.

No clearing of vegetation or ground disturbance is proposed, and no direct impacts to the Millstream Wetlands are expected as a result of implementation of the proposal.

The maximum allowable reduction in stygofauna habitat, which represents a less than 5% reduction, is not considered significant given the extent of suitable habitat remaining.

The proposal is not expected to result in significant impacts to the Millstream Wetlands and implementation of the proposal is expected to meet the EPA objectives.

#### **Cumulative environmental impact assessment**

The Millstream aquifer is fully allocated with no further groundwater available for general licensing. The Corporation is the major licensee for the Millstream aquifer, with a total allocation of 9GL/for public water supply purposes. The five other groundwater licences registered for Millstream aquifer have a combined allocation of 0.573 GL/a (refer to Table 22). As other groundwater users are 6.37% of total allocation, abstraction from the Millstream Borefield is the key consideration in assessing cumulative impacts on groundwater and groundwater dependent values, while taking into consideration external pressures (such as climate change) and the dependence of potable water supply on the existing borefield.

While implementation of the proposal has the potential to contribute to cumulative impacts through direct groundwater abstraction and indirect impacts to groundwater dependant values, previous monitoring has shown prolonged periods of groundwater abstraction over 9GL/a did not result in significant long-term impacts. Given the proposal is for temporary abstraction no greater than 9GL/a, this is considered more minor in magnitude and potential impacts are not to a level that would alter the likely environmental outcomes for groundwater and dependant values.

In considering the impact of climate change for the near future (2030), prior to the estimated delivery of a new source:

- Higher temperatures including hotter and more frequent days and increased potential evapotranspiration are projected. The annually averaged warming across all emission scenarios is projected to be around 0.6 to 1.5 °C above the climate of 1986–2005.
- Rainfall variability will continue to be strong due to the continued influence of the El Nino Southern Oscillation in the near future (Commonwealth Science and Industrial Research Organisation CSIRO, 2025; DWER, 2024). Changes to rainfall are possible, but the direction of change cannot be confidently projected. Increases, decreases or unchanged wet season rainfall are all equally plausible (CSIRO, 2025; DWER, 2024). Little change to dry season rainfall is projected.
- Projections indicate with high confidence a future increase in the intensity of extreme rainfall events, although the magnitude of the increases cannot be confidently projected (CSIRO, 2025).

In accordance with DWER's guide to future climate projections for water management in Western Australia (2024):

- The Corporation undertakes demand and supply planning considering for both wetter and drier rainfall futures utilising the storylines approach (Water Corporation, 2024).
- Utilise 5km<sup>2</sup> downscaled projections from the Bureau of Meteorology's (BoM) National Hydrological Projections (until the next generation of climate projections are available) (Wilson, 2022; BoM, 2025).

In addition, the Corporation follows these internal principals:

- Prepare for a climate that will not be the same as the past by exploring links between climate drivers and system responses.
- Use the best data available about changes in the climate. Understand the influence of uncertainty.
- Informed decision making - understand and manage climate risk in all parts of the system.
- Protect value for customers by assessing multiple, equally plausible, climate futures (this includes using Representative Concentration Pathway (RCP) 4.5 as a baseline emissions scenario but also considering futures under a high emissions scenario RCP8.5).

For Harding Dam and Millstream aquifer:

- Eight sets of downscaled projections broken into three scenarios are used to assess ongoing near and medium-term risks to the supply systems.
- Two of the most extreme dry scenarios and two unprecedented dry scenarios (replicated from historical data sets), equitable to 10<sup>th</sup> and 40<sup>th</sup> percentile rainfall, are used to assess the immediate short-term risk to Millstream aquifers triggers and criteria in order to inform the TWG's immediate adaptive management decisions.

The Corporation considers the RiWI Act licencing process provides a comprehensive framework for accessing cumulative impacts. RiWI Act licencing decisions relating to the Millstream aquifer are guided by the Pilbara Groundwater Allocation Plan (2013), which considers cumulative impacts. Specifically, page 34 of the Plan (2013) acknowledges the importance of considering cumulative impacts of hydrological changes on water resources and dependent ecosystems as part of individual assessment of proposals. In addition, the assessment of cumulative impacts is an inherent part of the RiWI Act licencing framework as it is a water allocation regime and sustainable water management is the primary goal. Any RiWI Act assessment of sustainability will take into account the impacts from other threatening processes and activities, such as climate change on recharge.

**Consultation**

Management of the Scheme is a collaborative undertaking, with the following key stakeholders involved in the joint management of the two sources via the Millstream Harding Consultative Committee (MHCC) and Millstream Harding Technical Working Group (TWG):

- Water Corporation – water services provider that operates and maintains infrastructure to ensure water supply reliability to the Scheme.
- Department of Water and Environmental Regulation (DWER) - regulatory agency that manages WA water resources for the benefit of present and future generations, in partnership with the community.
- Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions (DBCA) – agency that manages Millstream Chichester National Park, conserving native plants and animals and managing their sustainable use.
- Yindjibarndi Aboriginal Corporation (YAC) - Traditional Owner group representing Yindjibarndi people that develops, maintains and improves traditional connection with the surrounding land.
- Ngarluma Aboriginal Corporation (NAC) - Traditional Owner group representing Ngarluma people that develops, maintains and improves traditional connection with the surrounding land.

The MHCC and TWG were established to jointly manage the Scheme sources to ensure that water dependant values are protected. As noted above, both MHCC and the TWG include representatives from the Corporation, DWER, DBCA and YAC.

MHCC meets annually and was established to provide strategic advice to DWER on management issues associated with Harding Dam and Millstream Borefield. The DWER Regional Manager chairs the meeting, and the DWER is responsible for organising the meeting and taking and distributing minutes.

A subgroup of the MHCC, the TWG comprises of operational and regional staff. TWG members are involved in the day-to-day operation, monitoring and management of Harding Dam and Millstream Borefield. The TWG meeting occurs quarterly, reporting to MHCC, as required in the WRMOS. Chairmanship moves between DWER and the Corporation every year (the Corporation took on chairmanship following the July 2024 MHCC meeting). Attendees are representatives from the Corporation, DWER, DBCA and YAC. YAC has been represented by employees of Yindjibarndi Water, Right Water Co. and RFF Australia. Representatives of the Ngarluma people are also invited but have not attended.

Monthly meetings with representatives from the Corporation, YAC and DWER were also established in December 2024 due to heightened interest in the performance of Millstream, Harding Dam and the WPWSS. It was agreed there would be a benefit in meeting regularly to discuss issues and advise of progress on actions. YAC is represented by employees of Yindjibarndi Water and Right Water Co.

Outside the MHCC and TWG, the Corporation engages with DBCA and YAC on project related matters in the Millstream area. The Corporation has met with YAC's CEO on several occasions to discuss the WPWSS. In addition, the Corporation has engaged DWER Water regarding the RiWI Act licence renewals.

#### **Supporting documents**

Abstraction of Groundwater from the Millstream Water Reserve Referral Supporting Document

Appendix A: Proposal Content Document

Appendix B: Water Resource Management Operation Strategy (WRMOS)

Appendix C: Review of the YAC Referral	
Appendix D: West Pilbara Water Monitoring Review 2018-2021	
Has the referrer provided survey information according to the <a href="#">Instructions and Form: IBSA Data Packages</a> and/or the <a href="#">Instructions and form: IMSA Data Packages</a>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Conclusion</b>	
Do you consider the proposal may have a significant effect on the environment?	
The proposal is not likely to have a significant impact on key environmental factors based on:	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Historical implementation of the proposal at greater abstraction volumes than proposed, has not shown long-term, significant impacts to the environment.</li> <li>• Historical monitoring and trends indicate the proposal at 6GL/a until 2032 with a contingency of 3GL/for until 2027, is not expected to result in significant impacts to the environment.</li> <li>• The cultural values can be managed under the <i>Rights in Water and Irrigation Act 1914</i> and the <i>Aboriginal Heritage Act 1972</i>.</li> <li>• Section 38G(4) of the EP Act provides for the EPA to take into account other statutory decision-making processes that can mitigate potential impacts of the proposal on the environment, as undertaken by the RiWI Act mechanism.</li> </ul>	

<b>PART C: OTHER APPROVALS AND REGULATION</b>	
<b>Decision-making authorities and their approvals</b>	
Provide a table list of the decision-making authorities, associated legislation or agreement regulating the activity and the specific approval required. (Example table at the end of form)	<p>See table at the end of this form.</p> <p>DWER, <i>Rights in Water and Irrigation Act 1914</i>, 5C License to Take Water.</p> <p>Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage (DPLH), <i>Aboriginal Heritage Act 1972</i>, S18 approval to disturb heritage places. Risks to heritage have values have been considered and Water Corporation has determined that a Section 18 is not required as heritage places will not be disturbed.</p>
Provide a summary of the statutory decision-making processes you consider can mitigate the potential impacts of the proposal on the environment. (Note: this should be a summary of the information provided in Part B section 2.4). (Example table at the end of form)	<p>The potential impacts to the Millstream Wetlands pools and rivers, and subterranean fauna habitat of the Millstream aquifer can be managed under the allocation planning undertaken by DWER as part of the 5C licensing process. The allocation planning includes assessment of all EPA key environmental factors. The management of the proposal will be undertaken in accordance with the WRMOS which is a condition of the 5C licence. Any breaches in criteria outlined in the WRMOS will lead to changes to the WRMOS and/or implementation of contingency management actions, which must be approved by</p>

	DWER prior to use. Management of the Millstream aquifer is undertaken collaboratively between State Government, Traditional Owners and Water Corporation via the MHCC and TWG.
<b>Tenure and Local Government approvals</b>	
<p>Location of proposal:</p> <p>a) street address, lot number, suburb, and nearest road intersection; or</p> <p>b) if remote, the nearest town and distance and direction from that town to the proposal site.</p>	<p>The Millstream borefield is located near the old Millstream Homestead, adjacent to the Fortescue River, about 130 km south of Roebourne. The Millstream Borefield is located on the reserves:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Crown Reserve 36991, Lot 55 Millstream (Bores PB1, PB2, PB3, PB4, PB5, PB6 Millstream Borefield).</li> <li>• Crown Reserve 36991, Lot 58 Millstream (Bores PB7, PB8, PB9, PB10, Millstream Borefield).</li> </ul>
Name of the Local Government Authority in which the proposal is located.	Shire of Ashburton
Is rezoning of any land required before the proposal can be implemented? If yes, please provide details.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
What is the current land use on the property, and the extent (area in hectares) of the property?	Current zoning of Lot 55 and 58 are reserve class C: water supply and pipeline and water supply tank.
Does the proponent have the legal access required for the implementation of all aspects of the proposal? <i>If yes, provide details of legal access authorisations / agreements / tenure.</i> <i>If no, what authorisations / agreements / tenure is required and from whom?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <p>The groundwater production bores within the Millstream aquifer are already installed and operational. No further ground disturbing activities are required.</p> <p>Lot 55 and 58 are reserve class C, vested and managed by the Water Corporation.</p>
<b>Commonwealth Government approvals</b>	
Does the proposal involve an action that may be or is a controlled action under the <i>Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999</i> (EPBC Act)?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Has the proposed action been referred? If yes, when was it referred and what is the reference number (EPBC No.)?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Date: _____ EPBC No.: _____
If referred, has a decision been made on whether the proposed action is a controlled action? If 'yes', check the appropriate box and provide the decision in an attachment.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Decision – controlled action <input type="checkbox"/> Decision – not a controlled action

<p>If the proposal is determined to be a controlled action, do you request that this proposal be assessed under a Bilateral Agreement or as an accredited assessment?</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes - Bilateral      <input type="checkbox"/> No  <input type="checkbox"/> Yes – Accredited  Bilateral assessments no longer apply.</p>
<p>Is approval required from other Commonwealth Government/s for any part of the proposal?  <i>If yes, describe.</i></p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes      <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No  Approval:</p>
<p><b>Decision-making authority referrals <u>ONLY</u></b></p>	
<p>What approval/s, under your authority, are required for this proposal? <i>Please provide details.</i></p>	<p>N/A</p>

**Table: Other approvals**

Decision-making authority	Legislation or Agreement regulating the activity	Approval required (and specify which proposal element the approval is related to)
DWER	Rights in Water and Irrigation Act 1914	5C License to Take Water
DPLH	Aboriginal Heritage Act 1972	S18 approval to disturb heritage places (no application is proposed, but will be sought if required)

**Table: Other statutory decision-making process which can mitigate potential impacts on the environment**

Environmental impact	How is the impact regulated by other decision-making process(es)?	Limit(s) of the decision-making process(es) to regulate the impact e.g. time limits, excluded operations	Likely environmental outcome of decision-making process(es), and consistency with EPA objective	Conditions, enforcement, and review process required by decision-making process(es)	Stakeholder engagement in decision-making process(es)
<p>Impacts to Millstream Wetlands pools and rivers.</p> <p>The pools are of cultural significance to the Yindjibarndi Aboriginal people, are associated with the riparian communities of springs and Pools Pilbara (P2) PEC and 12 groundwater dependent vegetation communities, are</p>	<p>Impacts are regulated by a Groundwater Allocation Plan for the Millstream aquifer and issuing of 5C licences to align with the allocation planning outcomes.</p> <p>Management of impacts is undertaken in accordance with</p>	<p>The allocation planning includes assessment of all EPA key environmental factors.</p>	<p>The volume of water licenced in the 5C licence is within the upper limit where EPA objectives will be met.</p>	<p>Annual monitoring reports submitted to DWER to outline any breaches in criteria outlined in the WRMOS.</p> <p>This will lead to changes to the WRMOS and/or implementation of contingency management actions.</p>	<p>Management of the Millstream aquifer is a collaborative undertaking, with the following key stakeholders involved in the joint management of the two sources via the Millstream Harding Consultative Committee (MHCC) and Millstream Harding Technical Working Group (TWG):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Department of Water and Environmental Regulation - regulatory agency that manages WA water resources for the benefit of present and future generations, in partnership with the community.</li> </ul>

Environmental impact	How is the impact regulated by other decision-making process(es)?	Limit(s) of the decision-making process(es) to regulate the impact e.g. time limits, excluded operations	Likely environmental outcome of decision-making process(es), and consistency with EPA objective	Conditions, enforcement, and review process required by decision-making process(es)	Stakeholder engagement in decision-making process(es)
<p>habitat for terrestrial fauna, and are located within the Millstream Chichester National Park being a tourist destination in WA.</p> <p>Potential impacts to subterranean fauna habitat of the Millstream aquifer.</p>	<p>the WRMOS which is a condition of the 5C licence.</p>				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions – agency that manages Millstream Chichester National Park, conserving native plants and animals and managing their sustainable use.</li> <li>• Yindjibarndi Aboriginal Corporation - Traditional Owner group representing Yindjibarndi people that develops, maintains and improves traditional connection with the surrounding land.</li> <li>• Ngarluma Aboriginal Corporation - Traditional Owner group representing Ngarluma people that develops, maintains and improves traditional connection with the surrounding land.</li> <li>• Water Corporation. The proponent.</li> </ul>