

	Key:
	Data to be entered
	Drop-down selection
	Automatically-generated scores
Area / feature (Impact site)	(Or, if appropriate, manual data entry permitted)

	Conservation significance determination for the environmental value impacted				
ance	Description	Carnabys Cockatoo			
signific	Type of environmental value	Species (flora/fauna)			
servation	Conservation significance of environmental value	Rare/threatened species - endangered			
Cons	Conservation significance score	1.2%			

Please select <i>area</i> or <i>feature</i> for the calculations	Area
--	------

## Step 2: Calculating significant residual impact

Key:	_
	Data to be entered
	Drop-down selection
	Automatically-generated scores

Environmental value (step 1)	Carnabys Cockatoo
---------------------------------	-------------------

	Part A: Significant impact calculation  Area				
	Description	Quantum of impact			
Significant impact	t impact	Significant impact (hectares)	37.70		
Significa	Clearing of low quality foraging habitat	Quality (scale)	3.00		
3)		Total quantum of impact	11.31		

	Part B: Rehabilitation credit calculation  Area (onsite)					
Ħ	Description	Proposed rehabilitation (area in hectares)	12.00	Time until ecological benefit (years)	5.00	
tion Cred		Current quality of rehabilitation site (scale)	1.00	Confidence in rehabilitation result (%)	80.0%	
Rehabilitation	Rehabiliation of construction footprint where no longer required for operation.  Future quality WITHOUT rehability (scale)		1.00	Rehabilitation credit	1.81	
ž	ioi oporation.	Future quality WITH rehabilitation (scale)	3.00	Kenabilitation Credit	1.01	

F	Part C: Significant residual impact calculation <i>Area</i>				
pact	Total quantum of impact	11.31			
sidual in	Rehabilitation credit	1.81			
Significant residual impact	Significant residual impact	9.50			

## **Step 3: Calculating offsets**

Key:	
	Data to be entered
	Drop-down selection
	Automatically-generated scores

		Significant impact (step 2, part A)	37.70
Environmental value (step 1)	Carnabys Cockatoo	Rehabilitation credit (step 2, part B)	1.81
		Significant residual impact (step 2, part C)	9.50

	Area (Unset site)							
	Offset calculation  Area							
	Description	Proposed offset (area in hectares)	65.00	Duration of offset implementation (maximum 20 years)	20.00	Offset value	11.20	
Ē	Acquisition of 65 ha of comparable low quality	Current quality of offset site (scale)	3.00	Time until offset site secured (years)	1.00	Onser value	117.9%	
alculation	approximately 3 km north of the Development	an off site location approximately 3 km north	Future quality WITHOUT offset (scale)	2.00	Risk of future loss WITHOUT offset (%)	15.0%		
Offsets c		Future quality WITH offset (scale)	4.00	Risk of future loss WITH offset (%)	5.0%			
		Time until ecological benefit (years)	2.00					
		Confidence in offset result (%)	80.0%			OFFSET ADEQUATE?	YES	

Environmental value to be offset		
Calculation	Score (Area)	Rationale
Conservation significance		
Description	Carnabys Cockatoo	
Type of environmental value	Species (flora/fauna)	
Conservation significance of environmental	Rare/threatened species	Conservation listing under Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016 and Environment
value	- endangered	Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999
Landscape-level value impacted	yes/no	
Significant impact		
Description	Clearing of low quality	Clearing of foraging habitat within Disturbance Footprint
Significant impact (hectares) / Type of feature	foraging habitat 37.70	Extent of low quality foraging habitat to be cleared within the Disturbance
Quality (scale) / Number	3.00	Footprint.  Low quality foraging habitat outside of the breeding range of Carnaby's Cockatoo. Suitable foraging species present but at a low density (i.e. foliage
Rehabilitation credit		cover of preferred species <10%)
Description	Rehabiliation of construction footprint where no longer required for operation.	Rehabilitation of 12 ha within the Disturbance Footprint in accordance with the Rehabilitation Management Plan
Proposed rehabilitation (area in hectares)	12.00	Rehabilitation of 12 ha within the Disturbance Footprint in accordance with the Rehabilitation Management Plan (ELA 2021b).
Current quality of rehabilitation site / Start number (of type of feature)	1.00	Quality assumed as 1 given completely cleared to facilitate Proposed Action
Future quality WITHOUT rehabilitation (scale) / Future number WITHOUT rehabilitation	1.00	Potential for natural regeneration, however likely to be highly impacted by weed spread etc if left with no management.
Future quality WITH rehabilitation (scale) / Future number WITH rehabilitation	3.00	Rehabilitation is intended to improve the quality of habitat.
Time until ecological benefit (years)	5.00	It will take approximately 5 years for foraging species to flower and provide similar low quality foraging habitat.
Confidence in rehabilitation result (%)	0.8	High level of confidence in successfully undertaking rehabilitation given the mechanisms proposed and the Proponent's track record with similar commitments.
Offset		
Description	Acquisition of 65 ha of comparable low quality CBC foraging habitat at an off site location approximately 3 km north of the Development Envelope.	Acquisition of 65 ha of comparable low quality foraging habitat for Carnaby's Cockatoo at an off site location approximately 3 km north of the Development Envelope.
Proposed offset (area in hectares)	65.00	Acquisition of 65 ha of comparable low quality foraging habitat for Carnaby's Cockatoo at an off site location approximately 3 km north of the Development Envelope.
Current quality of offset site / Start number (of type of feature)	3.00	Comparable quality rating to habitat being cleared. Low quality foraging habitat outside of the breeding range of CBC (Mattiske 2021).
Future quality WITHOUT offset (scale) / Future number WITHOUT offset	2.00	Decreased quality assumed due to the potential for degradation of habitat from agricultural activities, i.e. inadvertent clearing, spread of weeds, dieback etc.
Future quality WITH offset (scale) / Future number WITH offset	4.00	With the offset, there is still some risk of complete loss, but this is lower than without. Loss could occur through broader events such as wildfire, climate change.
Time until ecological benefit (years)	2.00	Conservative estimate of how long it will take until benefits are realised from transfer to conservation estate and implementation of maintenance measures.
Confidence in offset result (%)	0.8	High level of confidence in success of the offset given the land will be placed int a conservation covenant.
Duration of offset implementation (maximum 20 years)	20.00	Assume maximum time as ceded into a conservation covenant.
Time until offset site secured (years)	1.00	Assume 12 months to enable placement of conservation covenant.
	15 00/	Low-medium risk of complete loss through incremental degradation by existing
Risk of future loss WITHOUT offset (%)	10,0%	
Risk of future loss WITHOUT offset (%)  Risk of future loss WITH offset (%)	15.0%	agricultural activities.  With the offset, there is still some risk of complete loss, but this is lower than without. Loss could occur through broader events such as wildfire, climate change.

	Key:
	Data to be entered
	Drop-down selection
	Automatically-generated scores
Area / feature (Impact site)	(Or, if appropriate, manual data entry permitted)

	Conservation significance determination for the environmental value impacted					
ance	Description	Banksia scabrella				
signific	Type of environmental value	Species (flora/fauna)				
servatior	Conservation significance of environmental value	Priority species				
Cons	Conservation significance score	0.1%				

Please select area or feature for the calculations	Area
--	------

## Step 2: Calculating significant residual impact

Key:	_
	Data to be entered
	Drop-down selection
	Automatically-generated scores

Environmental value (step 1)	Banksia scabrella
---------------------------------	-------------------

	Part A: Significant impact calculation Area					
	Description	Quantum of impa	ect			
nt impac	Clearing of potential Banksia scabrella habitat within the Disturbance Footprint.  (he Quali	Significant impact (hectares)	82.70			
Significant impact		Quality (scale)	4.00			
o,		Total quantum of impact	33.08			

	Part B: Rehabilitation credit calculation  Area (onsite)					
Ħ	Description	Proposed rehabilitation (area in hectares)	30.00	Time until ecological benefit (years)	5.00	
tion Crec	Rehabilitation of 30 ha within the Disturbance Footprint in accordance with the Rehabilitation & Offset Management Plan.	Current quality of rehabilitation site (scale)	1.00	Confidence in rehabilitation result (%)	80.0%	
Rehabilitatior		Future quality WITHOUT rehabilitation (scale)	1.00	Rehabilitation credit	4.78	
ž		Future quality WITH rehabilitation (scale)	3.00	Renabilitation Credit	4.76	

F	Part C: Significant residual impact calculation <i>Area</i>						
pact	Total quantum of impact	33.08					
sidual in	Rehabilitation credit	4.78					
Significant residual impact	Significant residual impact	28.30					

## **Step 3: Calculating offsets**

Key:	
	Data to be entered
	Drop-down selection
	Automatically-generated scores

		Significant impact (step 2, part A)	82.70
Environmental value (step 1)	Banksia scabrella	Rehabilitation credit (step 2, part B)	4.78
		Significant residual impact (step 2, part C)	28.30

	Offset calculation  Area  Area						
	Description	Proposed offset (area in hectares)	153.00	Duration of offset implementation (maximum 20 years)	20.00	Offset value	28.41
_	Acquisition of 153 ha of potential habitat for Banksia scabrella at an off site location approximately 3 km north of the Development Envelope.	Current quality of offset site (scale)	4.00	Time until offset site secured (years)	1.00	Oliset value	100.4%
alculatio		Future quality WITHOUT offset (scale)	3.00	Risk of future loss WITHOUT offset (%)	15.0%		
offsets ca		Future quality WITH offset (scale)	5.00	Risk of future loss WITH offset (%)	5.0%		
0		Time until ecological benefit (years)	2.00				
		Confidence in offset result (%)	80.0%			OFFSET ADEQUATE?	YES

Environmental value to be offset				
Calculation	Score (Area)	Rationale		
Conservation significance				
Description	Banksia scabrella			
Type of environmental value	Species (flora/fauna)			
Conservation significance of environmental	, , , ,			
value	Priority species			
Landscape-level value impacted	yes/no			
Significant impact				
Description	Clearing of potential Banksia scabrella habitat within the Disturbance Footprint.	Clearing of potential Banksia scabrella habitat within Disturbance Footprint		
Significant impact (hectares) / Type of feature	82.70	Clearing of potential Banksia scabrella habitat within Disturbance Footprint		
Quality (scale) / Number	4.00			
Rehabilitation credit				
Description	within the Disturbance	Clearing of potential Banksia scabrella habitat within Disturbance Footprint		
Proposed rehabilitation (area in hectares)	30.00	Rehabilitation of 30 ha within the Disturbance Footprint in accordance with the Rehabilitation & Offset Management Plan.		
Current quality of rehabilitation site / Start number (of type of feature)	1.00	Quality assumed as 1 given completed cleared to facilitate Proposed Action		
Future quality WITHOUT rehabilitation (scale) / Future number WITHOUT rehabilitation	1.00	Low risk of complete loss through incremental degradation by construction ar operation activities.		
Future quality WITH rehabilitation (scale) / Future number WITH rehabilitation	3.00	Rehabilitation is intended to improve quality of habitat.		
Time until ecological benefit (years)	5.00	Conservative estimate of how long it will take until benefits are realised from implementation of the Rehabilitation & Offset Management Plan.		
Confidence in rehabilitation result (%)	0.8	High level of confidence in successfully undertaking rehabilitation given the mechanisms proposed and the Proponent's track record with similar commitments.		
Offset				
Description	Acquisition of 153 ha of potential habitat for Banksia scabrella at an off site location approximately 3 km north of the Development Envelope.	Acquisition of 153 ha of potential habitat for Banksia scabrella at an off site location approximately 3 km north of the Development Envelope.		
Proposed offset (area in hectares)	153.00	Acquisition of 153 ha of potential Banksia scabrella habitat		
Current quality of offset site / Start number (of type of feature)	4.00	Comparable quality rating to habitat being cleared.		
Future quality WITHOUT offset (scale) / Future number WITHOUT offset	3.00	Low-medium risk of complete loss through incremental degradation by existir agricultural activities.		
Future quality WITH offset (scale) / Future number WITH offset	5.00	With the offset, there is still some risk of complete loss, but this is lower than without. Loss could occur through broader events such as wildfire, climate change.		
Time until ecological benefit (years)	2.00	Conservative estimate of how long it will take until benefits are realised from transfer to conservation estate and implementation of maintenance measure.		
Confidence in offset result (%)	0.8	High level of confidence in success of the offset given the land will be placed a conservation covenant.		
Duration of offset implementation (maximum 20 years)	20.00	Assume maximum time as ceded into a conservation covenant.		
Time until offset site secured (years)	1.00	Assume 12 months to enable placement of conservation covenant.		
Risk of future loss WITHOUT offset (%)	15.0%	Low-medium risk of complete loss through incremental degradation by existin agricultural activities.		
Risk of future loss WITH offset (%)	5.0%	With the offset, there is still some risk of complete loss, but this is lower than without. Loss could occur through broader events such as wildfire, climate change.		
Offset ratio (Conservation area only)	N/A			

	Key:
	Data to be entered
	Drop-down selection
	Automatically-generated scores
Area / feature (Impact site)	(Or, if appropriate, manual data entry permitted)

	Conservation significance determination for the environmental value impacted					
ance	Description	Lasiopetalum ogilvieanum				
signific	Type of environmental value	Species (flora/fauna)				
servation	Conservation significance of environmental value	Priority species				
Cons	Conservation significance score	0.1%				

Please select area or feature for the calculations	Area
--	------

## Step 2: Calculating significant residual impact

Key:	_
	Data to be entered
	Drop-down selection
	Automatically-generated score
	- '

Environmental value	Lasiopetalum
(step 1)	ogilvieanum

	Part A: Significant impact calculation Area					
	Description	Quantum of impa	nct			
nt impac	Clearing of potential	Significant impact (hectares)	73.80			
Significant impact	Lasiopetalum ogilvieanum habitat within the Disturbance	Quality (scale)	4.00			
3)	Footprint.	Total quantum of impact	29.52			

		Part B: Rehabilitation c		ılation	
Ħ	Description	Proposed rehabilitation (area in hectares)	30.00	Time until ecological benefit (years)	5.00
tion Crec	Rehabilitation of 30 ha	Current quality of rehabilitation site (scale)	1.00	Confidence in rehabilitation result (%)	
Rehabilitatior	within the Disturbance Footprint in accordance with the Rehabilitation &	Future quality WITHOUT rehabilitation (scale)	1.00	Rehabilitation credit	4.78
ž	Offset Management Plan.	Future quality WITH rehabilitation (scale)	3.00	Kenabilitation credit	4.76

F	Part C: Significant residua calculation <i>Area</i>	al impact
pact	Total quantum of impact	29.52
sidual in	Rehabilitation credit	4.78
Significant residual impact	Significant residual impact	24.74

## **Step 3: Calculating offsets**

Key:	
	Data to be entered
	Drop-down selection
	Automatically-generated scores

		Significant impact (step 2, part A)	73.80
Environmental value (step 1)	Lasiopetalum ogilvieanum	Rehabilitation credit (step 2, part B)	4.78
		Significant residual impact (step 2, part C)	24.74

	rea (onset site)						
	Offset calculation  Area						
	Description	Proposed offset (area in hectares)	135.00	Duration of offset implementation (maximum 20 years)	20.00	Offset value	25.07
=	Acquisition of 135 ha of potential habitat for	Current quality of offset site (scale)	4.00	Time until offset site secured (years)	1.00	Onset value	101.3%
calculation	Lasiopetalum at an off site location approximately 3 km north	Future quality WITHOUT offset (scale)	3.00	Risk of future loss WITHOUT offset (%)	15.0%		
Offsets c	of the Development Envelope.	Future quality WITH offset (scale)	5.00	Risk of future loss WITH offset (%)	5.0%		
		Time until ecological benefit (years)	2.00				
		Confidence in offset result (%)	80.0%			OFFSET ADEQUATE?	YES

Conservation significance of environmental value  Landscape-level value impacted  Significant impact  Description	Lasiopetalum ogilvieanum  Species (flora/fauna)  Priority species  yes/no  Clearing of potential Lasiopetalum ogilvieanum habitat vithin the Disturbance Footprint.	Clearing of potential Lasiopetalum ogilvieanum habitat within Disturbance
Description  Type of environmental value  Conservation significance of environmental value  Landscape-level value impacted  Significant impact  Description	ogilvieanum Species (flora/fauna) Priority species yes/no Clearing of potential Lasiopetalum ogilvieanum habitat vithin the Disturbance Footprint.	
Type of environmental value  Conservation significance of environmental value  Landscape-level value impacted  Significant impact  Description	ogilvieanum Species (flora/fauna) Priority species yes/no Clearing of potential Lasiopetalum ogilvieanum habitat vithin the Disturbance Footprint.	
Conservation significance of environmental value  Landscape-level value impacted  Significant impact  Description	Priority species yes/no  Clearing of potential Lasiopetalum ogilvieanum habitat vithin the Disturbance Footprint.	
value Landscape-level value impacted  Significant impact  Description	yes/no  Clearing of potential Lasiopetalum ogilvieanum habitat vithin the Disturbance Footprint.	
Description control with the second control co	Clearing of potential Lasiopetalum ogilvieanum habitat vithin the Disturbance Footprint.	
Description c wi	Lasiopetalum ogilvieanum habitat vithin the Disturbance Footprint.	
Description	Lasiopetalum ogilvieanum habitat vithin the Disturbance Footprint.	
Significant impact (hectares) / Type of feature	73 80	Footprint
	70.00	Clearing of potential Lasiopetalum ogilvieanum within Disturbance Footprint
Quality (scale) / Number	4.00	
Rehabilitation credit	епавінацоп от зо па	Cleaning or potential casiopetalum oglivleanum nabitat within bisturbance
Description	ithin the Dicturbance	Footprint
Proposed rehabilitation (area in hectares)	30.00	Rehabilitation of 30 ha within the Disturbance Footprint in accordance with the Rehabilitation & Offset Management Plan.
Current quality of rehabilitation site / Start number (of type of feature)	1.00	Quality assumed as 1 given completed cleared to facilitate Proposed Action
Future quality WITHOUT rehabilitation (scale) / Future number WITHOUT rehabilitation	1.00	Low risk of complete loss through incremental degradation by construction and operation activities.
Future quality WITH rehabilitation (scale) / Future number WITH rehabilitation	3.00	Rehabilitation is intended to improve quality of habitat.
Time until ecological benefit (years)	5.00	Conservative estimate of how long it will take until benefits are realised from implementation of the Rehabilitation Management Plan (ELA 2021b).
Confidence in rehabilitation result (%)	0.8	High level of confidence in successfully undertaking rehabilitation given the mechanisms proposed and the Proponent's track record with similar commitments.
Offset		
Description a	cquisition of 135 ha of potential habitat for asiopetalum at an off site location approximately 3 km north of the evelopment Envelope.	Acquisition of 135 ha of potential habitat for Lasiopetalum ogilvieanum at an off site location approximately 3 km north of the Development Envelope.
Proposed offset (area in hectares)	135.00	Acquisition of 135 ha of potential Lasiopetalum ogilvieanum habitat
Current quality of offset site / Start number (of type of feature)	4.00	Comparable quality rating to habitat being cleared.
Future quality WITHOUT offset (scale) / Future number WITHOUT offset	3.00	Low-medium risk of complete loss through incremental degradation by existing agricultural activities.
Future quality WITH offset (scale) / Future number WITH offset	5.00	With the offset, there is still some risk of complete loss, but this is lower than without. Loss could occur through broader events such as wildfire, climate change.
Time until ecological benefit (years)	2.00	Conservative estimate of how long it will take until benefits are realised from transfer to conservation estate and implementation of maintenance measures.
Confidence in offset result (%)	0.8	High level of confidence in success of the offset given the land will be placed into a conservation covenant.
Duration of offset implementation (maximum 20 years)	20.00	 Assume maximum time as land will be placed into a conservation covenant.
Time until offset site secured (years)	1.00	Assume 12 months to enable the conservation covenant to be esablished.
Risk of future loss WITHOUT offset (%)	15.0%	 Decreased quality assumed due to the potential for degradation of habitat from agricultural activities, i.e. inadvertent clearing, spread of weeds, dieback etc.
Risk of future loss WITH offset (%)	5.0%	With the offset, there is still some risk of complete loss, but this is lower than without. Loss could occur through broader events such as wildfire, climate change.
Offset ratio (Conservation area only)	N/A	viango.

Key:	
	Data to be entered
	Drop-down selection
	Automatically-generated scores
	(Or, if appropriate, manual data entry permitted)

Aros	/fosturo	(Impact site)	
Alta.	/ realure	(IIII)pact Site	١

	Conservation significance determination for the environmental value impacted				
ance	Description	Sandplain Duck Orchid			
signific	Type of environmental value	Species (flora/fauna)			
servatior	Conservation significance of environmental value	Rare/threatened species - endangered			
Cons	Conservation significance score	1.2%			

Please select area or feature for the calculations	Area
--	------

## Step 2: Calculating significant residual impact

Key:	_
	Data to be entered
	Drop-down selection
	Automatically-generated scores

Environmental value (step 1)	Sandplain Duck Orchid
---------------------------------	-----------------------

	Part A: Significant impact calculation  Area						
ţ	Description	Quantum of impact					
nt impac	Clearing of potential Paracaleana dixonii habitat within the Disturbance Footprint.	Significant impact (hectares)	79.70				
Significant impact		Quality (scale)	4.00				
3)		Total quantum of impact	31.88				

	Part B: Rehabilitation credit calculation <i>Area</i> (onsite)				
it	Description	Proposed rehabilitation (area in hectares)		Time until ecological benefit (years)	5.00
tion Crec	Rehabilitation of 30 ha within the Disturbance Footprint in accordance with the Rehabilitation Management Plan.	Current quality of rehabilitation site (scale)	1.00	Confidence in rehabilitation result (%)	80.0%
Rehabilitatior		Future quality WITHOUT rehabilitation (scale)	1.00	Rehabilitation credit	4.52
Re		Future quality WITH rehabilitation (scale)	3.00	Renabilitation Credit	4.32

F	Part C: Significant residual impact calculation <i>Area</i>		
pact	Total quantum of impact	31.88	
sidual in	Rehabilitation credit	4.52	
Significant residual impact	Significant residual impact	27.36	

# **Step 3: Calculating offsets**

Key:	
	Data to be entered
	Drop-down selection
	Automatically-generated scores

		Significant impact (step 2, part A)	79.70
Environmental value (step 1)	Sandplain Duck Orchid	Rehabilitation credit (step 2, part B)	4.52
		Significant residual impact (step 2, part C)	27.36

	Offset calculation  Area						
	Description	Proposed offset (area in hectares)	153.00	Duration of offset implementation (maximum 20 years)	20.00	Offset value	27.88
Ē	approximately 3 km north of the Development	Current quality of offset site (scale)	4.00	Time until offset site secured (years)	1.00	Onsol Value	101.9%
Offsets calculation		Future quality	3.00	Risk of future loss WITHOUT offset (%)	15.0%		
		Future quality WITH offset (scale)	5.00	Risk of future loss WITH offset (%)	5.0%		
J		Time until ecological benefit (years)	2.00				
		Confidence in offset result (%)	80.0%			OFFSET ADEQUATE?	YES

Environmental value to be offset		
Calculation	Score (Area)	Rationale
Conservation significance	Ocore (Area)	rationale
Description	Sandplain Duck Orchid	
Type of environmental value	Species (flora/fauna)	
Conservation significance of environmental		
value	Rare/threatened species - endangered	
Landscape-level value impacted	yes/no	
Significant impact		
Description	Clearing of potential Paracaleana dixonii habitat within the Disturbance Footprint.	Clearing of potential Paracaleana dixonii habitat within Disturbance Footprint
Significant impact (hectares) / Type of feature	79.70	Clearing of potential Paracaleana dixonii habitat within Disturbance Footprint
Quality (scale) / Number	4.00	
Rehabilitation credit		
Description	within the Disturbance	Clearing of potential Paracaleana dixonii habitat within Disturbance Footprint
Proposed rehabilitation (area in hectares)	30.00	Rehabilitation of 30 ha within the Disturbance Footprint in accordance with the Rehabilitation Management Plan.
Current quality of rehabilitation site / Start number (of type of feature)	1.00	Quality assumed as 1 given completed cleared to facilitate Proposed Action
Future quality WITHOUT rehabilitation (scale) / Future number WITHOUT rehabilitation	1.00	Low risk of complete loss through incremental degradation by construction and operation activities.
Future quality WITH rehabilitation (scale) / Future number WITH rehabilitation	3.00	Rehabilitation is intended to improve quality of habitat.
Time until ecological benefit (years)	5.00	Conservative estimate of how long it will take until benefits are realised from implementation of the Rehabilitation & Offset Management Plan.
Confidence in rehabilitation result (%)	0.8	High level of confidence in successfully undertaking rehabilitation given the mechanisms proposed and the Proponent's track record with similar commitments.
Offset		
Description	Acquisition of 153 ha of potential habitat for Paracaleana dixonii at an off site location approximately 3 km north of the Development Envelope.	Acquisition of 153 ha of potential habitat for Paracaleana dixonii at an off site location approximately 3 km north of the Development Envelope.
Proposed offset (area in hectares)	153.00	Acquisition of 153 ha of potential Paracaleana dixonii habitat
Current quality of offset site / Start number (of		
type of feature)	4.00	Comparable quality rating to habitat being cleared.
Future quality WITHOUT offset (scale) / Future number WITHOUT offset	3.00	Low-medium risk of complete loss through incremental degradation by existing agricultural activities.
Future quality WITH offset (scale) / Future number WITH offset	5.00	With the offset, there is still some risk of complete loss, but this is lower than without. Loss could occur through broader events such as wildfire, climate change.
Time until ecological benefit (years)	2.00	Conservative estimate of how long it will take until benefits are realised from transfer to conservation estate and implementation of maintenance measures.
Confidence in offset result (%)	0.8	High level of confidence in success of the offset given the land will be placed into a conservation covenant.
Duration of offset implementation (maximum 20 years)	20.00	Assume maximum time as land will be placed into a conservation covenant.
Time until offset site secured (years)	1.00	Assume 12 months to enable the conservation covenant to be esablished.
Risk of future loss WITHOUT offset (%)	15.0%	Decreased quality assumed due to the potential for degradation of habitat from agricultural activities, i.e. inadvertent clearing, spread of weeds, dieback etc.
Risk of future loss WITH offset (%)	5.0%	With the offset, there is still some risk of complete loss, but this is lower than without. Loss could occur through broader events such as wildfire, climate change.
Offset ratio (Conservation area only)	N/A	