

# Brockman Syncline greenhouse gas environmental management plan

---

Expert review

# Executive summary

Energetics has undertaken a review of the greenhouse gas environmental management plan (“the Plan”) prepared by Rio Tinto for their proposed Brockman Syncline iron ore project (“the Proposal”)<sup>1</sup>. This review has been prepared in a manner that is consistent with the definition of an expert review, as defined in the April 2023 version of the WA Environmental Protection Agency’s (“the EPA”) 'Environmental Factor Guideline: Greenhouse Gas Emissions' (“the Guideline”) (WA Environmental Protection Authority, 2023). Per the Guideline, an expert review of the Plan should be undertaken to evaluate whether:

- best practice measures have been adopted to avoid or reduce scope 1 and 2 emissions associated with the Proposal
- offsets that satisfy integrity principles are likely to be reasonably practicable and available at the time of proposed future surrender
- the Proposal is consistent with, or outperforming, relevant sector pathways and milestones.

In undertaking our review of the Plan, Energetics has drawn on a range of publicly available sources, including peer greenhouse gas environmental management plans (GHG EMPs) and independent reports. Where relevant, we have provided our expert opinion based on our experience in developing and assessing emissions reduction, net zero and offsets strategies.

The findings of our review are summarised in Table 1:

*Table 1: Summary of findings and recommendations*

Guideline reference	Abridged findings	Recommendations
<b>Best practice measures: power generation</b>	The following best practice measures were identified within the Plan: 34MW and 100MW solar PV systems and 12MWh battery storage system to be integrated into the Proponent’s “Pilbara Power Network” <sup>2</sup> .	None
<b>Best practice measures: fleet decarbonisation</b>	The following best practice measures were identified within the Plan: supply chain engagement to support the commercialisation of zero emissions vehicles (electric haul trucks) and integration of biodiesel as a transition fuel.  A Proposal-specific implementation timeline has not, however, been provided for either measure.	The Plan should include a Proposal-specific implementation timeline for both zero emissions vehicles and biodiesel.  Timeline for update: First Ministerial Statement Annual Compliance Assessment Report (2025).
<b>Best practice measures: materials handling</b>	The following best practice measures were identified within the Plan: construction of an overland conveyor to transport ore from new mine pits.	None

<sup>1</sup> Energetics have reviewed version 2.1 of the Plan.

<sup>2</sup> As the Proposal will be connected to this network, Energetics have assumed that any measures implemented at the network level will result in direct emissions reductions for the Proposal. Energetics have not assessed this best practice measure from a capacity perspective.

Guideline reference	Abridged findings	Recommendations
Best practice measures: energy efficiency (fleet)	The following best practice measures were identified within the Plan: engine upgrades to existing haul truck fleet to improve efficiency and procurement of new fuel-efficient haul trucks and dig units.	None
Best practice measures: energy efficiency (general)	No best practice measures were identified within the Plan	The Plan should include a list of Proposal-specific best practice measures that have been adopted at the Proposal.  Timeline for update: First Ministerial Statement Annual Compliance Assessment Report (2025).
Offset integrity	The Plan indicates that if offsets are required, only ACCUs will be surrendered to meet offset obligations where required, which is in accordance with the EPA requirements.	None
Offset availability	The Plan provides sufficient recognition that a multi-pronged approach may be required in securing sufficient ACCUs to manage supply risks, including ramping up offset acquisition through offtake arrangements and direct investment.	The Plan would be enhanced by providing targets and timelines associated with Rio Tinto's risk mitigation strategies, in particular, the development of nature-based solutions.  Timeline for update: First Ministerial Statement Annual Compliance Assessment Report (2025).
Pathways and milestones	The targets presented within the Plan suggest that the Proposal will meet relevant sector pathways and milestones.	None

Based on these findings, it is our conclusion that, subject to the adoption of recommendations outlined in Table 1, the Plan demonstrates that the environmental objective of the Guideline will be met and provides a clear and credible plan for meeting the EPA's minimum expectations for emissions reductions.

0.8

0.9

1.0

1.1

# Contents

1.2

1.3

1.0 Background..... 1

2.0 Approach ..... 3

3.0 Review of GHG EMP ..... 7

1.4

Appendix A: Research findings..... 11

Appendix B: References ..... 17

1.5

1.6

1.7

1.8

1.9

2.0

2.1

2.2

---

# 1.0 Background

---

## 1.1 Context

Greenhouse gas environmental management plans (GHG EMPs) are required by the Western Australian Environmental Protection Authority (“the EPA”) for projects considered to be “reasonably likely” to have GHG emissions in excess of 100,000 tonnes CO<sub>2</sub>-e of either scope 1 or 2 emissions in any year (WA Environmental Protection Authority, 2023).

GHG EMPs are required to be developed in accordance with the EPA’s ‘Environmental Factor Guideline: Greenhouse Gas Emissions’ (“the Guideline”) (WA Environmental Protection Authority, 2023). Per the Guideline, an expert review of the Plan should be undertaken to evaluate whether:

- best practice measures have been adopted to avoid or reduce scope 1 and 2 emissions associated with the Proposal
- offsets that satisfy integrity principles are likely to be reasonably practicable and available at the time of proposed future surrender
- the Proposal is consistent with, or outperforming, relevant sector pathways and milestones.

Energetics has been engaged by Rio Tinto to undertake the expert reviews described above for the GHG EMP (“the Plan”) prepared by Rio Tinto for their proposed Brockman Syncline iron ore project (“the Proposal”)<sup>3</sup>.

## 1.2 Overview of the Proposal

The Brockman hub is a collection of existing iron ore operations (Brockman Syncline 2, Nammuldi-Silvergrass and Brockman Syncline 4), located approximately 60km north-west of Tom Price, WA.

The Proposal relates specifically to the “extension and development of new above and below water table deposits and associated activities to extend the life of existing iron ore operations” at the Brockman Hub.

It is understood that the Proposal will utilise the majority of existing Brockman Hub infrastructure, including:

- Materials processing
- Rail infrastructure
- Supporting infrastructure (including accommodation, workshops and administration buildings).

## 1.3 Statement of independence

Energetics takes seriously our obligation to act with integrity and our commitment to managing real and perceived conflicts of interest to the standard incumbent upon similar professional

---

<sup>3</sup> Energetics have reviewed version 2.1 of the Plan.

services providers in Australia. As such, we specifically acknowledge the need for independence in this particular assignment, namely, the review of a GHG EMP for referral to the EPA.

---

# 2.0 Approach

---

## 2.1 Scope of review

Energetics has undertaken our review in a manner that is consistent with the definition of an 'expert review', as defined in the Guideline.

Per the Guideline, an expert review of the Plan should be undertaken to evaluate whether:

- best practice measures have been adopted to avoid or reduce scope 1 and 2 emissions associated with the Proposal
- offsets that satisfy integrity principles are likely to be reasonably practicable and available at the time of proposed future surrender
- the Proposal is consistent with, or outperforming, relevant sector pathways and milestones.

As such, while we have reviewed the Plan in its entirety from a context-setting perspective, the primary focus of our review is on the sections of the Plan relating to the scope outlined above.

## 2.2 Specific interpretations

In preparing this review, Energetics has taken the following interpretation of the intent of the Guideline:

- The EPA, as part of their process to assess a proposal, are seeking to understand the specific contribution the Proposal will have on the state's GHG emissions and, by consequence, what measures will be implemented under a GHG EMP to reduce or avoid those emissions.
- Notwithstanding the interpretation above, Energetics acknowledges that larger organisations frequently take a portfolio-wide approach to emissions abatement. We have considered portfolio-level initiatives in this report where the Plan clearly demonstrates how such initiatives could achieve emissions reductions for the Proposal.
- GHG EMPs should be comprehensive, providing all information necessary to demonstrate that objectives and requirements of the Guideline have been met. As such, Energetics has not considered any reports, assessments or studies undertaken by Rio Tinto that are not referenced and detailed within the Plan.

## 2.3 Methodology

### Review of best practice measures

Energetics has reviewed publicly available GHG EMPs for iron ore proposals in Western Australia alongside government and industry reports focussed on decarbonisation within the mining sector. This research has been used to establish the definitions of best practice that have been used to benchmark the measures included in the Proposal.

Based on our research, a detailed summary of which is presented in Appendix A, abatement measures have been grouped into five broad categories: power generation, fleet<sup>4</sup> decarbonisation, optimisation of materials handling, energy efficiency (fleet) and energy efficiency (other). For each category, we have established minimum criteria for demonstrating best practice (refer Table 2).

Table 2: Minimum criteria for demonstrating compliance – best practice measures

Guideline reference	Category	Minimum criteria for demonstrating best practice
<b>Best practice measures</b>	Power generation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Electricity supply to Proposal should include large-scale (&gt;10MW)<sup>5</sup> renewable generation.</li> <li>Battery energy storage systems (BESS) systems should be considered for implementation as a minimum.</li> </ul>
	Fleet decarbonisation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Clear evidence of engagement with supply chain to fast-track zero emissions vehicles.</li> <li>High-level narrative of expected timelines for implementation of zero emissions vehicles.</li> </ul>
	Optimisation of materials handling	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>There should be clear evidence that alternate haul methods (conveyors, trolley-assist systems or other novel technologies) have been considered and/or implemented to optimise materials handling.</li> </ul>
	Energy efficiency (fleet)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>There should be clear evidence that measures have been considered and implemented to improve the fuel efficiency of the proposed diesel fleet.</li> </ul>
	Energy efficiency (other)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>There should be clear evidence that measures have been considered and implemented to improve the efficiency of non-fleet equipment and infrastructure.</li> </ul>
	All categories	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Best practice measures should be: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>specific</li> <li>linked to reduction or avoidance of emissions associated with the Proposal</li> <li>quantified.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

## Review of offsets strategy

In reviewing the offsets strategy in accordance with the requirements under the Guideline, Energetics considers two key elements within the GHG EMP, namely compliance with the ‘offset integrity principles’ and reasonable consideration of ‘offset availability’. Table 3 outlines our approach in undertaking our expert review with respect to offsets, including specific elements within each criteria.

<sup>4</sup> The term “fleet” has been used throughout this report to refer to load and haul equipment. As such, it excludes light vehicles on site which would be included under “energy efficiency (other)”.

<sup>5</sup> Systems identified in peer GHG EMPs ranged from 30MW – 100MW.



Table 3: Minimum criteria for demonstrating compliance – carbon offsets

Guideline reference	Guideline requirements	Minimum criteria for demonstrating compliance
Offset integrity principles	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Offset selection for the purposes of the GHG EMP needs to consider 'offset integrity principles'.</li> <li>• Integrity principles under the Commonwealth Carbon Credits (Carbon Farming Initiative) (CFI) Act 2011 as well as the principles under the Climate Active Carbon Neutral Standard for Organisations, Commonwealth of Australia, 2022 can be used by way of guidance/reference in addressing this requirement (WA Environmental Protection Authority, 2023).</li> <li>• Domestic offsets under the Safeguard Mechanism, namely Australian Carbon Credit Units (ACCU) are deemed acceptable.</li> <li>• Voluntary offsets can be used to manage any residual emissions as long as they are consistent with offset integrity principles.</li> <li>• Whilst the Guideline does not prescribe specific voluntary offset types, given the Guideline's reference to Climate Active, the following international offsets could be considered as being eligible:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Certified Emissions Reductions (CERs)</li> <li>○ Removal Units (RMUs)</li> <li>○ Verified Emissions Reductions (VERs)</li> <li>○ Verified Carbon Units (VCUs).</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Specification of offset type(s), including domestic (i.e. ACCUs) or voluntary/international.</li> <li>• Specification of offset standard/program under which offsets are issued.</li> <li>• Consistency of the integrity principles for the nominated standard/program(s) and with integrity standards, which the EPA has a 'due regard', such as the CFI and Climate Active (Carbon Credits (Carbon Farming Initiative) Act 2011, 2011) (Climate Active, 2022).</li> <li>• Approach to undertaking offset due diligence and any 'quality' thresholds (e.g. vintage, permanence and co-benefits). Although, this is not explicitly required under the Guideline, this approach is considered prudent to manage any reputational / 'greenwashing' risks arising from selection of 'poor' quality offsets.</li> </ul>
Offset availability	<p>Provision of details on whether selected offsets are likely to be 'reasonably' available at the time they are proposed to be surrendered.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Acknowledgement of potential future offset supply risks.</li> <li>• Outlining contingency measures to mitigate supply availability risks, including consideration of:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ spot markets</li> <li>○ hedging strategies</li> <li>○ long-term offtake agreements</li> <li>○ direct project investment</li> <li>○ sourcing alternative class of offsets.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

## Review of pathways and milestones

To evaluate performance of the Proposal in this element of the Guideline, Energetics has undertaken a review of the targets and pathways established by relevant government agencies and industry bodies to identify relevant sector pathways and milestones<sup>6</sup>. The most relevant sector-specific milestone or pathways are currently:

- 2030 target: aligned with Safeguard Mechanism obligations
- 2050: net-zero: aligned with Federal commitments.

These pathways / milestones have been compared to any pathways and milestones identified within the Plan to determine whether the Plan is “consistent with, or outperforming those pathways”.

A detailed summary of the research findings is presented in Appendix A.

---

<sup>6</sup> We have assumed that the pathways and milestones referenced in the Guideline refer to the iron ore mining industry.

# 3.0 Review of GHG EMP

## 3.1 Findings – best practice measures

Energetics has reviewed the measures proposed in the Plan against the criteria identified in Table 2. Our findings are detailed in Table 4.

Table 4: Review of the measures proposed in the Plan

Item	Category of best practice measure	Findings
1	Power generation	<p>The Plan provides clear evidence of how best practice measures are being adopted within the Pilbara Power Network (which is understood to supply all power to the Proposal). Measures adopted include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 12MWh battery energy storage system (BESS) (under construction)</li> <li>• 34MW solar PV system (under construction) and 100MW solar PV system (construction to commence 2024).</li> </ul> <p>Implementation timeframes and expected emissions savings have been confirmed, at a portfolio (i.e. “Pilbara wide”) level, for all applicable measures.</p> <p>The Plan provides a forecast of how the emissions intensity of the Pilbara Power Network is anticipated to decline over time. This provides increased surety that the proposed renewable energy systems will deliver emissions savings over the life of the Proposal.</p>
2	Fleet decarbonisation	<p>The Plan provides evidence that the proponent is engaging with their supply chain to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• support the commercialisation of zero emissions vehicles (electric haul trucks), including target dates for near-term trials, and</li> <li>• support the integration of biodiesel as a transition fuel with formal trials underway at an international Rio Tinto operation.</li> </ul> <p>A high-level narrative of expected timelines for implementation has been confirmed at a portfolio (i.e. “Pilbara wide”) level, including near-term trials.</p> <p>The Plan should specify the indicative implementation timeframes for these measures <i>at the Proposal</i>. This information should be provided by no later than the First Ministerial Statement Annual Compliance Assessment Report (2025).</p>
3	Optimisation of materials handling	<p>The Plan clearly outlines best practice measures to optimise materials handling with the construction of an overland conveyor to transport ore between the new mining pits and the existing processing plant.</p> <p>Implementation timeframes and expected emissions savings have been defined for all measures.</p> <p>The Plan indicates that a “mine plan optimisation process” is underway to assess a range of potential optimisation initiatives (refer to ‘mine design’ measure in Table 3-8 of the Plan). Insufficient evidence is presented, however, to demonstrate that this measure represents best practice. In order to demonstrate best practice, a list of Proposal-specific design</p>

Item	Category of best practice measure	Findings
		decisions / measures should be provided, including the estimated emissions savings.
4	Energy efficiency (fleet)	<p>The Plan references several measures aimed at improving the fuel efficiency of the proposed diesel fleet. Of the measures referenced, the following are considered to be best practice:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Engine upgrades for existing haul truck fleet to improve efficiency</li> <li>• Procurement of fuel-efficient haul trucks and dig-units</li> </ul> <p>Implementation timeframes and emissions savings have been quantified for all measures.</p> <p>The Plan states that “a number of opportunities exist and are under investigation” to reduce fleet emissions (refer to ‘energy efficiency’ in Table 3-8 of the Plan). Insufficient evidence is presented to demonstrate that these opportunities represent best practice however. In order to demonstrate best practice, a list of Proposal-specific measures should be provided, including the estimated emissions savings.</p>
5	Energy efficiency (other)	<p>The Plan includes several measures targeting energy efficiency (other) (e.g. VSG for dewatering infrastructure). None of the measures referenced, however, are considered to represent best practice (refer to “supporting notes” below)<sup>7</sup> nor has sufficient evidence has been provided to demonstrate that they represent best practice.</p> <p>The Plan could be enhanced by providing evidence of the Proposal-specific best practice measures (targeting energy efficiency (other)) that have been adopted at the Proposal. This information should be provided by no later than the First Ministerial Statement Annual Compliance Assessment Report (2025).</p> <p>Supporting notes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• ‘Variable speed generators (VSG) for dewatering infrastructure’ (Table 3-8 of the Plan): a review of recent case studies suggests that solar powered dewatering bores represent best practice for remote dewatering systems (refer Table 7). As such, any measure supporting the continued use of diesel-only dewatering systems would not be considered best practice (noting that this measure will be used exclusively for diesel powered water bores).</li> <li>• ‘Non-Processing Infrastructure (NPI) building designs’ (Table 3-11 of the Plan): the Plan states that non-processing infrastructure will be required to meet the Proponent’s internal energy efficiency standards. Although a range of potential best practice measures are referenced in the Plan (including LED lighting, high-efficiency HVAC systems and building passive design), insufficient evidence is presented to demonstrate best practice.</li> </ul>

## 3.2 Findings – offsets

Energetics have evaluated the offsets strategy described in the Plan against the Guideline and specific elements identified in Table 3, with findings detailed in Table 5.

<sup>7</sup> Energetics note that the emissions associated with energy efficiency (other) are likely to be small in comparison to other categories.

Energetics notes that the Plan clearly identifies the use of carbon offsets as a last resort. Further, the Plan anticipates the level of abatement to be sufficient to achieve interim and long targets and as such the use of offsets may be avoided.

Table 5: Review of GHG EMP offsets strategy

Item	Element of offsets strategy	Findings
6	Offset integrity	<p>The Plan outlines that, where offsets are required, only 'high quality' ACCUs will be used. Further, Energetics notes that the Plan has been explicit in naming land based ACCUs (Environmental Planting, Savanna Burning and Human Induced Regeneration (HIR)), which will be developed and/or sourced. Further, the Plan recognises that due to sunseting of HIR offsets, it would need to rely on ACCUs from the new Integrated Farm method instead (subject to the availability of this method from Q2 , 2024).</p> <p>The Plan specifies the use of ACCUs for future compliance with the requirements of the Guideline as determined by the Commonwealth Carbon Credits (Carbon Farming Initiative) Act 2011. The Plan does not include ACCU generation methods that are being phased out of the ACCU Scheme and likely to be deemed ineligible under the Guideline.</p> <p>While the requirements of the EPA are satisfied through the selection of ACCUs, it is noted that the plan defines Rio Tinto's internal quality principles and assessment criteria (e.g., co-benefits, additionality and permanence). Further, alignment with International Carbon Reduction and Offset Alliance (ICROA) has been identified as an indicator of credible offsets.</p> <p>The Plan discloses Rio Tinto's 'vintage' criteria for offsets to be generated from 2018 onwards, aligning with Climate Active's current vintage requirement for vintage years no later than 2012 (Climate Active, 2023).</p> <p>Although not required by the Guideline, the Plan has also detailed Rio Tinto's offset project assessment strategy for Human Induced Regeneration and Savannah Burning, including GIS analysis, assessment rubrics and ground-truthing, which enhances its approach to quality risk management.</p>
7	Offset availability	<p>There is recognition in the Plan that a multi-pronged approach may be required in securing sufficient offsets and to avoid offset shortfalls.</p> <p>Multiple procurements models are identified in the Plan to ensure access to high-quality offsets as required to satisfy the Guideline, these include direct investment in nature-based solutions, co-investment and long-term offtake arrangements. Energetics notes that the Plan also identifies a ramp up in commercial activity to secure offsets.</p> <p>The Plan would benefit from some indication on targets and timelines associated with the development of nature-based solutions at or near Rio Tinto sites.</p>

### 3.3 Findings – pathways and milestones

Table 6 outlines key findings from the review of pathways and milestones in the Plan.

Table 6: Review of GHG EMP pathways and milestones

Item	Sector-specific pathway / milestone	Finding
8	Findings on whether the Plan is consistent with the relevant sector-specific pathways and milestones	The emissions reduction targets presented in the Plan (50% reduction by 2030, net zero by 2050) exceed the relevant sector pathways and milestones, as established in our research (refer Section 2.3 and Appendix A). Table 4-1 of the Plan outlines the approach to achieving compliance with the requirements of the Safeguard Mechanism.

## 3.4 Conclusion

The Plan outlines a wide range of measures to reduce emissions associated with the Proposal. Of the measures outlined, the following are considered to be best practice:

- Power generation: 12MWh battery energy storage system (BESS) and two large-scale solar PV systems (34MW and 100MW) to be integrated into the Proponent’s “Pilbara Power Network”
- Fleet decarbonisation: supply chain engagement to support the commercialisation of zero emissions vehicles (electric haul trucks) and integration of biodiesel as a transition fuel
- Materials handling: construction of an overland conveyor to transport ore from new mine pits to existing processing plant
- Energy efficiency (fleet): engine upgrades for existing haul trucks to improve efficiency and procurement of new fuel-efficient haul trucks and dig units.

The following recommendations should be incorporated into the Plan by no later than the first Ministerial Statement Annual Compliance Assessment Report (2025):

- “Zero emissions haul truck fleet” and “biofuels for Heavy Mining Equipment”: The Plan should specify a Proposal-specific implementation timeline for both measures.
- Energy efficiency (other): The Plan should include a list of Proposal-specific best practice measures that have been adopted at the Proposal.

The Plan clearly outlines its approach for the use and selection of carbon offsets that satisfy the requirements of the Guideline. The exclusive use of ACCUs to meet offset obligations is compliant with offset integrity standards required by the WA EPA (i.e., as set out in the Commonwealth Carbon Credits (Carbon Farming Initiative) Act 2011) and the principles in the Climate Active Carbon Neutral Standard for Organisations (Climate Active, 2022).

The Plan provides sufficient recognition that a multi-pronged approach may be required to manage supply risks associated with the availability of high integrity ACCUs. In order to enhance the Plan, Rio Tinto should provide some indication on targets and timelines associated with the development of nature-based solutions at or near sites.

The targets presented within the Plan suggest that the Proposal will meet relevant sector pathways and milestones.

---

# Appendix A: Research findings

---

## Best practice measures

Energetics has reviewed publicly available GHG EMPs for iron ore proposals in Western Australia to identify the measures that have been implemented, considered, or planned at comparable mine site operations and which, by consequence, are considered to represent best practice. The following GHG EMPs were included in our research:

- FMG Iron Bridge – North Star Magnetite Project (July 2022) (FMG Iron Bridge, 2022)
- Roy Hill Iron Ore Mine – Revised Proposal (November 2020) (Roy Hill, 2020)
- Rio Tinto – Greater Paraburdoo Iron Ore Hub (April 2022) (Rio Tinto, 2022)
- Atlas Iron – McPhee Creek (April 2022) (Atlas, 2022)
- BHP – Newman Hub (Western Ridge) (January 2023) (BHP, 2023).

In addition to the GHG EMPs mentioned above, Energetics reviewed a range of other sources to assist in supporting the conclusions drawn from the GHG EMPs. These included:

- government and industry reports focussed on decarbonisation of the mining sector
- case studies of measures adopted at other mining operations.

Table 7 provides a summary of our research findings.

Table 7: Summary of research findings – best practice measures for avoiding or reducing emissions in iron ore mining operations

Category	Elements of best practice from peer GHG EMPs	Conclusions from independent reports	Case studies
Power generation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Power supplies include approved, large-scale<sup>8</sup> renewable power generation. Implementation schedules provided (Rio Tinto, 2022; FMG Iron Bridge, 2022; Roy Hill, 2020).</li> <li>Battery energy storage systems (BESS) considered or implemented with indicative implementation timeframes stated (Rio Tinto, 2022; FMG Iron Bridge, 2022).</li> <li>Emissions abatement quantified in all cases (Rio Tinto, 2022; FMG Iron Bridge, 2022; Roy Hill, 2020) (BHP, 2023).</li> <li>Measures linked to reduction or avoidance of emissions at proposal level (Rio Tinto, 2022; FMG Iron Bridge, 2022; Roy Hill, 2020) (BHP, 2023).</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Renewable power generation systems (wind and solar PV) considered commercially and technically ready (Roy Hill, 2020).</li> <li>Electro-chemical battery storage systems considered commercially and technically ready (Roy Hill, 2020).</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sandfire Resources – DeGrussa Copper Mine (WA): 10.6MW solar PV power plant, completed 2016 (DeGrussa Solar Project Pty Ltd, 2022).</li> <li>Gold Fields – Agnew, Granny Smith and Gruyere gold mines (WA): total of 18MW wind, 25MW solar PV and 19MW BESS implemented across operations, completed 2020-2022 (Government of Western Australia - Department of Mines, Industry Regulation and Safety, 2022).</li> </ul>
Fleet decarbonisation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Active engagement with original equipment manufacturers (OEMs) to fast-track commercialisation of zero emissions vehicles (Rio Tinto, 2022) (BHP, 2023).</li> <li>High-level narrative of when diesel vehicles will be displaced at the proposal and estimated abatement provided (Rio Tinto, 2022) (BHP, 2023).</li> <li>Measures linked to reduction or avoidance of emissions at proposal level (Rio Tinto, 2022) (BHP, 2023).</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Zero-emissions vehicles have not achieved technical or commercial readiness.</li> <li>Significant work is being undertaken with expected displacement of diesel vehicles over the next 10 years (Trove Research and University College London, 2021).</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Anglo American Platinum – Mogalakwena platinum mine (South Africa): trial of 300t zero-emissions haul truck (hydrogen fuel cells and batteries). Over 1,200 hrs of operation since May 2022 (First Mode, 2023).</li> </ul>

<sup>8</sup> Systems identified ranged from 30MW to 100MW



Category	Elements of best practice from peer GHG EMPs	Conclusions from independent reports	Case studies
Optimisation of materials handling	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Feasibility studies into the use of conveyors as a means of displacing diesel fleet vehicles (FMG Iron Bridge, 2022) (Roy Hill, 2020) (BHP, 2023).</li> <li>• Feasibility studies into the use of trolley-assist systems as a means of displacing diesel fleet vehicles (Roy Hill, 2020) (BHP, 2023).</li> <li>• Measures linked to reduction or avoidance of emissions at proposal level (FMG Iron Bridge, 2022) (BHP, 2023).</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• “Conveyor belt systems have been shown to be significantly more energy efficient in transporting materials than haul trucks, using about 20% of the energy required by heavy-duty trucks” (Rio Tinto, 2022)</li> <li>• Trolley-assist systems considered technically and commercially ready (Roy Hill, 2020).</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Boliden Kevitsa mine (Sweden): 13 x 227t haul trucks converted to operate partially with trolley assist; trial commenced in 2022</li> <li>• Copper Mountain Mining Corporation (Canada): One kilometre trolley-assist haul ramp and seven pantograph-equipped Komatsu 830E-5 electric haul trucks adopted (Moore, n.d.).</li> </ul>
Energy efficiency (fleet)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Several measures considered and implemented to improve the efficiency of diesel vehicles (including fuel additives, lightweight truck trays and vehicle engine tuning) (Roy Hill, 2020).</li> <li>• Implementation timeframes and estimated abatement specified (Roy Hill, 2020).</li> <li>• Descriptions of measures are specific and detailed (Roy Hill, 2020).</li> <li>• Measures linked to reduction or avoidance of emissions at proposal level (Roy Hill, 2020).</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A wide range of potential measures identified, including the use of biodiesel (Rocky Mountain Institute), payload management, driver training, optimising mine design and the use of lightweight truck trays (Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade, 2016).</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• FMG Chichester operations (WA): diesel savings in excess of 350kL/yr associated with modelling and optimisation of haul road design (Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade, 2016).</li> <li>• Remote Energy’s ‘Eco-Drive’ APU system maintains operation of air conditioner and critical systems, allowing for the shutdown of haul truck engines when stationary (Remote Energy, n.d.).</li> </ul>
Energy efficiency (general)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Several measures considered and implemented to reduce scope 2 emissions associated with ancillary systems and site infrastructure, including LED lighting selection, smart lighting controls (daylight sensors, motion detection, timers), solar powered lighting towers and the use of variable speed drives (Rio Tinto, 2022).</li> <li>• No examples of energy efficiency measures were identified to reduce scope 2 emissions associated with processing plant.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Wide range of potential measures available to improve energy efficiency across mining operations. Measures identified include: improvements to site buildings (optimisation of HVAC systems, improved building thermal characteristics), lighting design improvements (installation of LED lighting, smart lighting controls), optimisation of dewatering systems, improvements to mobile lighting controls and optimisation of processing circuits.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• RTIO – Hope Downs 4 (WA): trial of a UON-supplied solar powered dewatering system with diesel power for backup only (UON, n.d.)</li> <li>• Northern Star – WA mine site: solar powered bore pump for remote dewatering (98kW solar, 104kWh BESS) <b>Invalid source specified.</b></li> <li>• Our research identified a wide array of solar lighting towers suitable for mining applications</li> </ul>

Category	Elements of best practice from peer GHG EMPs	Conclusions from independent reports	Case studies
			(PR Power, n.d.; Blue Diamond Machinery, n.d.).

Based on our research, abatement measures have been grouped into five broad categories: power generation, fleet decarbonisation, optimisation of materials handling, energy efficiency (fleet) and energy efficiency (other). For each category, we have established minimum criteria for demonstrating best practice (refer Table 8). In establishing these criteria, Energetics have avoided being overly prescriptive, noting that specific measures which are suitable for one mining operation may not be suitable for implementation at another mining operation.

*Table 8: Minimum criteria for demonstrating compliance – best practice measures*

Category	Minimum criteria for demonstrating best practice
Power generation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Electricity supply to proposal should include large-scale<sup>9</sup> renewable generation.</li> <li>Battery energy storage systems (BESS) systems should be considered for implementation as a minimum.</li> </ul>
Fleet decarbonisation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Clear evidence of engagement with supply chain to fast-track zero emissions vehicles.</li> <li>High-level narrative of expected timelines for implementation of zero emissions vehicles.</li> </ul>
Optimisation of materials handling	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>There should be clear evidence that alternate haul methods (conveyors, trolley-assist systems or alternate novel technologies) have been considered and/or implemented to optimise materials handling.</li> </ul>
Energy efficiency (fleet)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>There should be clear evidence that measures have been considered and implemented to improve the fuel efficiency of the diesel fleet proposed.</li> </ul>
Energy efficiency (general) <sup>10</sup>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>There should be clear evidence that measures have been considered and implemented to improve the efficiency of non-fleet equipment and infrastructure (excluding processing plant).</li> </ul>

<sup>9</sup> Systems identified in peer GHG EMPs ranged from 30MW – 100MW.

<sup>10</sup> Note that no examples of energy efficiency measures were identified to reduce scope 2 emissions associated with processing plant.

Category	Minimum criteria for demonstrating best practice
All categories	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Best practice measures should be: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ specific</li> <li>○ linked to reduction or avoidance of emissions at the proposal</li> <li>○ quantified.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

## Pathways and milestones

Table 9 below outlines all of the domestic and global sector-specific pathways and milestones identified which are relevant to the Plan.

*Table 9: Pathways and milestones relevant to iron ore mining*

Pathway/milestone reviewed	Targets	
Australian Government targets	Interim target of 43% reduction by 2030 and net zero by 2050 (Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water, 2023).	Australia's interim target was legislated in September 2022. The primary mechanism for achieving this target is the Safeguard Mechanism which was reformed in early 2023 and commenced on 1 July 2023. The reformed legislation imposes linear annual baseline reductions and requires facilities to achieve industry average emissions intensity by FY30. The exact decline rate will vary depending on an organisation's individual circumstances (e.g. financial performance, whether the organisation is an emissions-intensive trade-exposed entity) <sup>11</sup> .
Western Australian Government targets	Net zero by 2050 <sup>12</sup> (Department of Water and Environmental Regulation, 2022).	The Western Australian Climate Policy, issued in 2020 confirms the government's commitment to "adapting to climate change and working with all sectors of the economy to achieve net zero greenhouse gas emissions". No interim emissions target (applicable to Western Australian industry) has been announced by the State Government <sup>13</sup> .
Minerals Council of Australia	Net zero by 2050 (Minerals Council of Australia, 2021).	The Minerals Council of Australia has "confirmed the industry's ambition to achieve net zero emissions by 2050". No commitment to an interim target has been declared.

<sup>11</sup> Under the reformed Safeguard Mechanism, the decline rate varies between a minimum of 1% and a maximum of 4.9%.

<sup>12</sup> No interim target announced, however development of sectoral emissions reduction strategies expected to be completed in 2023 (Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water, 2023)

<sup>13</sup> The state government has commenced a project to "develop sectoral emissions reduction strategies". This work is expected to be completed in late 2023.

Pathway/milestone reviewed	Targets	
International Council for Mining and Metals (ICMM)	Net zero by 2050 (International Council on Mining & Metals, 2021)	The ICMM note "we collectively commit as members of the International Council on Mining and Metals (ICMM) to a goal of net zero Scope 1 and 2 greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions by 2050 or sooner in line with the ambitions of the Paris Agreement."

Based on the findings in Table 9, the most relevant sector-specific milestone or pathway is currently:

- 2030 target: aligned with Safeguard Mechanism obligations
- 2050: net-zero aligned with Australian Federal commitments.

---

# Appendix B: References

---

- ABB. (2019). *Trolley assist for diesel-electric trucks in mining: 3 reasons why it is taking off*.
- Active, C. (2021). *Guidance*.
- Atlas. (2022). *Greenhouse Gas Management Plan - McPhee Creek Iron Ore Project*.
- BHP. (2023). *Newman Hub (Western Ridge) MS1105 Greenhouse Gas Management Plan*.
- Bloomberg NEF. (2022). *Carbon Offset Prices Could Increase Fifty-Fold by 2050*.
- Blue Diamond Machinery. (n.d.). *Solar Light Towers*. Retrieved from <https://netzero.bluedm.com.au/solar-light-towers/>.
- Carbon Credits (Carbon Farming Initiative) Act 2011, C2023C00083 (Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water 2011).
- CEFC, MRIWA. (2022). *Technology solutions for decarbonisation - Mining in a low-emissions economy*.
- Clean Energy Regulator. (2023). *Revocation of the Avoided Deforestation 1.1 method*. Retrieved from <https://www.cleanenergyregulator.gov.au/About/Pages/News%20and%20updates/NewsItem.aspx>
- Climate Active. (2022). *Climate Active Carbon Neutral Standard for Organisations*. Retrieved from [https://www.climateactive.org.au/sites/default/files/2022-10/10571RR%20Environment%20-%20Organisation%20Standard%20A4\\_FA\\_Web.pdf](https://www.climateactive.org.au/sites/default/files/2022-10/10571RR%20Environment%20-%20Organisation%20Standard%20A4_FA_Web.pdf).
- Climate Active. (2023). *Climate Active Technical Guidance Manual*. Retrieved from [https://www.climateactive.org.au/sites/default/files/2023-03/technical\\_guidance\\_manual\\_march\\_2023.pdf](https://www.climateactive.org.au/sites/default/files/2023-03/technical_guidance_manual_march_2023.pdf)
- CSIRO. (2017). *Low Emissions Technology Roadmap - Technical Report*.
- Degrussa Solar Project Pty Ltd. (2022). *DeGrussa Solar Project*. (Australian Renewable Energy Agency) Retrieved from <https://arena.gov.au/projects/degrussa-solar-project/>.
- Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water. (2023). *Safeguard Mechanism reform: Consultation on proposed design*. Retrieved from <https://www.legislation.gov.au/Details/F2023C00600>.
- Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade. (2016). *Energy Management in Mining - Leading Practice Sustainable Development Program for the Mining Industry*.
- Department of Resources, Energy and Tourism. (n.d.). *Analyses of Diesel Use for Mine Haul and Transport Operations*.
- Department of Water and Environmental Regulation. (2021). *Shaping Western Australia's low-carbon future, Developing sectoral emissions reduction strategies to transition the economy to net zero*. Retrieved from <https://www.wa.gov.au/system/files/2021-12/Shaping%20Western%20Australia%E2%80%99s%20low-carbon%20future.pdf>.
- Department of Water and Environmental Regulation. (2022). *Western Australian Climate Policy, A plan to position Western Australia for a prosperous and resilient low-carbon future*. Retrieved from [https://www.wa.gov.au/system/files/2020-12/Western\\_Australian\\_Climate\\_Policy.pdf](https://www.wa.gov.au/system/files/2020-12/Western_Australian_Climate_Policy.pdf).

- Emissions Reduction Assurance Committee. (2021). *Information Paper: Committee considerations for interpreting the Emissions Reduction Fund's offsets integrity standards*.
- Environmental Protection Authority. (2019, March). *Technical Guidance*. Retrieved from Mitigating Greenhouse Gas Emissions: [https://www.epa.wa.gov.au/sites/default/files/Policies\\_and\\_Guidance/20180306%20EPA%20TG%20Mitigating%20Greenhouse%20Gas%20Emissions%20-%204.pdf](https://www.epa.wa.gov.au/sites/default/files/Policies_and_Guidance/20180306%20EPA%20TG%20Mitigating%20Greenhouse%20Gas%20Emissions%20-%204.pdf)
- EY. (2022). *Essential, expensive and evolving: The outlook for carbon credits and offsets*.
- First Mode. (2023, May). *World's Largest Fuel Cell Electric Vehicle Completes Successful Year of Trials*. Retrieved from First Mode: <https://firstmode.com/resources/worlds-largest-fcev-vehicle-completes-trials/>.
- FMG Iron Bridge. (2022). *Greenhouse Gas Management Plan - North Star Magnetite Project*.
- Government of Western Australia - Department of Mines, Industry Regulation and Safety. (2022). *Case Study: Gold Fields' Agnew, Granny Smith and Gruyere mines*.
- Grattan Institute. (2021). *Towards net zero - Practical policies to offset carbon emissions*.
- International Council on Mining & Metals. (2021). *ICMM Climate Change Statement*. Retrieved from [https://www.icmm.com/website/publications/pdfs/mining-principles/net-zero-by-2050\\_en-gb.pdf](https://www.icmm.com/website/publications/pdfs/mining-principles/net-zero-by-2050_en-gb.pdf).
- Michelin. (n.d.). *How holistic road management solutions reduce fuel costs in iron ore mines*. Retrieved from <https://news.dustaside.com.au/road-management-reduce-fuel-costs-iron-ore-mines>.
- Minerals Council of Australia. (2021). *Mining 2050 Towards net zero*.
- Moore, P. (n.d.). *Boliden's Kevitsa mine in Finland begins trolley assist trucking tests*. (International Mining) Retrieved from <https://im-mining.com/2021/12/13/bolidens-kevitsa-mine-finland-begins-trolley-assist-trucking-tests/>.
- PR Power. (n.d.). *Pr-Eco Solar LED Mobile Lighting Tower*. Retrieved from <https://www.prpower.com.au/products/lighting-towers-australia/solar-led-mobile-lighting-tower-pr-eco-solar-led/>.
- Remote Energy. (n.d.). *Eco-Drive APU*. Retrieved from <https://remoteenergy.com.au/#section-ac6d846>.
- Rio Tinto. (2022). *Greenhouse Gas Management Plan - Greater Paraburdoo Iron Ore Hub Proposal*.
- Rio Tinto. (2023). *Brockman Syncline Greenhouse Gas Environmental Management Plan*.
- Rocky Mountain Institute. (n.d.). *Pulling the Weight of Heavy Truck Decarbonisation*.
- Roy Hill. (2020). *Greenhouse Gas Management Plan*.
- Stockholm Environment Institute. (2019). *Securing Climate Benefit: A Guide to Using Carbon Offsets*.
- Trove Research and University College London. (2021). *Future Demand, Supply and Prices for Voluntary Carbon Credits - Keeping the Balance*.
- University of Oxford. (2020). *The Oxford Principles for Net Zero Aligned Carbon Offsetting*. Retrieved from <https://www.smithschool.ox.ac.uk/sites/default/files/2022-01/Oxford-Offsetting-Principles-2020.pdf>.
- UON. (n.d.). *Renewables - the game changer for dewatering*. Retrieved from <https://www.businessnews.com.au/article/Renewables-the-game-changer-for-dewatering?amp>.

WA Environmental Protection Authority. (2023, April). Retrieved from GHG EMP Template:  
[https://www.epa.wa.gov.au/sites/default/files/Policies\\_and\\_Guidance/GHG%20EMP%20TEMPLATE%20APRIL%202023.pdf](https://www.epa.wa.gov.au/sites/default/files/Policies_and_Guidance/GHG%20EMP%20TEMPLATE%20APRIL%202023.pdf).

WA Environmental Protection Authority. (2023, April). *Environmental Factor Guideline: Greenhouse Gas Emissions*. Retrieved from  
[https://www.epa.wa.gov.au/sites/default/files/Policies\\_and\\_Guidance/Guideline-GHG-Emissions%20-%20April%202023.pdf](https://www.epa.wa.gov.au/sites/default/files/Policies_and_Guidance/Guideline-GHG-Emissions%20-%20April%202023.pdf).

Zero Automotive. (n.d.). *Zed70ti*. Retrieved from <https://www.zeroautomotive.com.au/zed70-ti/>.

# Document control

Quality assurance covers all dimensions of Energetics' customer offering. All documents produced are reviewed by senior subject matter expert before being presented to clients. Below is a record of the consultants and subject matter expertise involved in the development and quality assurance of this document.

Description	Prepared by	Reviewed by	Approved by	Approval date
Initial draft	Payton Seeto Marc Nardini Adeline Klotz	Bahador Tari Joel Hextall Mary Stewart	Marc Nardini	29/08/2023
Final version	Marc Nardini	Bahador Tari	Mary Stewart	13/09/2023
V2.1 review	Marc Nardini	Bahador Tari Joel Hextall	Mary Stewart	07/02/2024



# Sustainability at Energetics

Sustainability is core to Energetics' business. We became a 'Climate Active' certified organisation in 2019, adding our services to the certification in 2020, and in 2021 we verified our SBT through the SBTi.



## Information security

In February 2022, we achieved our Information Security Management certification. It's internationally recognised and demonstrates our commitment to protecting all client information and data.



## Disclaimer

- 1.2 Energetics is authorised to provide financial product advice on derivatives to wholesale clients under the Corporations Act 2001 Australian Financial Services License (# 329935).
- 1.3 In providing information and advice to you, we rely on the accuracy of information provided by you and your company. Therefore, before making any decision, readers should seek professional advice from a professional adviser to help you consider the appropriateness of the advice with regard to your particular objectives, financial situation and needs.
- 1.4 Energetics has provided this advice in our capacity as advisors solely for the benefit of the Client whom this document has been prepared for. The analyses in our document may not have considered issues relevant to any third parties and accordingly, to the extent permitted by law, Energetics disclaims all liability for any and all costs, loss, damage and liability that any third party may suffer, incur or is likely to suffer or incur, arising from or relating to this document (including attachments).
- 1.5 While all care and diligence have been used to construct this document, the information, statements, statistics and commentary (together the "information") within this document (including attachments), may not be accurate, current or complete in all respects and, consequently, Energetics does not make any representations or warranties as to the accuracy, currency or completeness of this information.
- 1.6 Energetics' terms and conditions will prevail until and as otherwise agreed to by Energetics and you. Any commercial decisions taken by you are not within the scope of our duty of care, and in making such decisions, you should take into account the limitations of the scope of our work and other factors, commercial and otherwise, which you should be aware of from sources other than our work.
- 1.7 Energetics expressly excludes any warranties and representations that Modelled Data is an accurate prediction of current or future performance. This document contains Modelled Data, which means computer generated output from a mathematical-based model or simulation platform applying available technical and commercial data relevant to the services required. Modelled Data takes into account a number of relevant factors in determining potential outcomes but does not consider future conditions or your individual circumstances and must not be relied upon as an accurate forecast of current or future performance. It is not possible to include all factors or to predict which factors may be more relevant or impactful in the future. Modelled Data is current only at the date of distribution. To that end, you should exercise reasonable care when considering investment decisions and seek legal/financial advice where appropriate. Accordingly, this document is subject to risks, uncertainties and assumptions that could cause actual results to differ materially from the expectations described in such prospective financial information. Past financial or economic performance is not indicative of future performance.
- 1.8 This document is general in nature and has been prepared without considering your personal objectives, financial situation or needs as defined under s 766B(3)-(4) Corporations Act. Before acting on the information we provide you should consider the appropriateness of the information and your corporation's risk tolerance before making any financial or investment decisions. Under no circumstances, including negligence, shall Energetics be liable for any direct, indirect, incidental, special or consequential damages or loss of profits that result from the use or inability to use this document and/or attachments. Energetics shall not be liable for any such damages including, but not limited to, reliance by a third party on any information obtained from this document and/or attachments; or reliance by you or a third party that result from mistakes, omissions, interruptions, deletion of files, viruses, errors, defects, or failure of performance, communications failure, theft, destruction or unauthorised access. Where liability cannot be excluded, any liability incurred by you or anyone else in connection with the use of this document and/or attachments, is limited to the extent provided for by law.
- 1.9 Energetics' employees may attend various corporate events that have been paid for, organised, hosted or otherwise coordinated by external stakeholders from time to time. We acknowledge that any express requirement to disclose conflicts of interest will be dealt with contractually and on a case by case basis in accordance with our policy.
- 2.0 This document and any attachments may contain legally privileged or confidential information and may be protected by copyright. You must not use or disclose them other than for the purposes for which they were supplied. The privilege or confidentiality attached to this document and attachments is not waived by reason of mistaken delivery to you. If you are not the intended recipient, you must not use, disclose, retain, forward or reproduce this document (whether in its entirety or in parts) or any attachments. If you receive this document and/or attachments in error, please notify the sender by return email and destroy and delete all copies immediately.
- 2.1 © 2022 Energetics. All rights reserved. Energetics refers to Energetics Pty Ltd and any related entities. This document is protected under the copyright laws of Australia and other countries as an unpublished work. This document contains information that is proprietary and confidential to Energetics and subject to applicable Federal or State Freedom of Information legislation. The information contained in this document shall not be disclosed outside the recipient's company; or duplicated; or used or disclosed in whole or in part by the recipient for any purpose other than for which the document was commissioned. Any other use or disclosure in whole or in part of this information without the express written permission of Energetics is strictly prohibited.
- 2.2

**ENERGET1°C5**

Unite for a 1.5°C world

## Sydney

*Cammeraygal Country*

Level 7, 5 Blue St  
North Sydney NSW 2060

PO Box 294  
North Sydney NSW 2059

## Melbourne

*Wurundjeri Country*

Level 14, 356 Collins St  
Melbourne VIC 3000

## Brisbane

*Meeanjin Country*

Level 12, 410 Queen St  
Brisbane Qld 4000

## Perth

*Nyoongar Whadjuk Country*

Level 8, 182 St Georges Tce  
Perth WA 6000

+61 2 9929 3911 | [info@energetics.com.au](mailto:info@energetics.com.au) | [energetics.com.au](http://energetics.com.au)

ABN 67 001 204 039 | ACN 001 204 039 | AFSL 329935