

Statement No.

000650

MINISTER FOR THE ENVIRONMENT; SCIENCE

STATEMENT THAT A PROPOSAL MAY BE IMPLEMENTED (PURSUANT TO THE PROVISIONS OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION ACT 1986)

PILBARA IRON ORE & INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECT: PORT & NORTH-SOUTH RAILWAY (STAGE A)

| Proposal: | Construction of a port at Anderson Point in Port Hedland, which includes shipping facilities, reclaimed areas for iron ore handling infrastructure, stockpiles and ancillary facilities, and a connecting north-south railway over a distance of approximately 345 kilometres to resources in the east Pilbara at Mindy Mindy, as documented in schedule 1 of this statement. |
|--------------------|--|
| Proponent: | Fortescue Metals Group Limited |
| Proponent Address: | 50 King's Park Road WEST PERTH WA 6005 |
| Assessment Number: | 1505 |

Report of the Environmental Protection Authority: Bulletin 1173

The proposal referred to above may be implemented by the proponent subject to the following conditions and procedures:

1 Implementation

1-1 The proponent shall implement the proposal as documented in schedule 1 of this statement subject to the conditions and procedures of this statement.

2 **Proponent Commitments**

2-1 The proponent shall implement the environmental management commitments documented in schedule 2 of this statement.

Published on 0 3 GCT 2005

3 Proponent Nomination and Contact Details

- 3-1 The proponent for the time being nominated by the Minister for the Environment under section 38(6) or (7) of the *Environmental Protection Act 1986* is responsible for the implementation of the proposal until such time as the Minister for the Environment has exercised the Minister's power under section 38(7) of the Act to revoke the nomination of that proponent and nominate another person as the proponent for the proposal.
- 3-2 If the proponent wishes to relinquish the nomination, the proponent shall apply for the transfer of proponent and provide a letter with a copy of this statement endorsed by the proposed replacement proponent that the proposal will be carried out in accordance with this statement. Contact details and appropriate documentation on the capability of the proposed replacement proponent to carry out the proposal shall also be provided.
- 3-3 The nominated proponent shall notify the Department of Environment of any change of contact name and address within 60 days of such change.

4 Commencement and Time Limit of Approval

4-1 The proponent shall substantially commence the proposal within five years of the date of this statement or the approval granted in this statement shall lapse and be void.

Note: The Minister for the Environment will determine any dispute as to whether the proposal has been substantially commenced.

4-2 The proponent shall make application for any extension of approval for the substantial commencement of the proposal beyond five years from the date of this statement to the Minister for the Environment, prior to the expiration of the five-year period referred to in condition 4-1.

The application shall demonstrate that:

- 1. the environmental factors of the proposal have not changed significantly;
- 2. new, significant, environmental issues have not arisen; and
- 3. all relevant government authorities have been consulted.

Note: The Minister for the Environment may consider the grant of an extension of the time limit of approval not exceeding five years for the substantial commencement of the proposal.

5 Compliance Auditing and Performance Review

5-1 The proponent shall prepare an audit programme and submit compliance reports to the Department of Environment which address:

- 1. the status of implementation of the proposal as defined in schedule 1 of this statement;
- 2. evidence of compliance with the conditions and commitments; and
- 3. the performance of the environmental management plans and programs.

Note: Under sections 48(1) and 47(2) of the *Environmental Protection Act 1986*, the Chief Executive Officer of the Department of Environment is empowered to monitor the compliance of the proponent with the statement and should directly receive the compliance documentation, including environmental management plans, related to the conditions, procedures and commitments contained in this statement.

- 5-2 The proponent shall submit a performance review report every five years following the formal authority issued to the decision-making authorities under section 45(7) of the *Environmental Protection Act 1986*, to the requirements of the Minister for the Environment on advice of the Environmental Protection Authority, which addresses:
 - 1. the major environmental issues associated with implementing the project; the environmental objectives for those issues; the methodologies used to achieve these; and the key indicators of environmental performance measured against those objectives;
 - 2. the level of progress in the achievement of sound environmental performance, including industry benchmarking, and the use of best practicable measures available;
 - 3. significant improvements gained in environmental management, including the use of external peer reviews;
 - 4. stakeholder and community consultation about environmental performance and the outcomes of that consultation, including a report of any on-going concerns being expressed; and
 - 5. the proposed environmental objectives over the next five years, including improvements in technology and management processes.

6 Railway Corridor Disturbance

6-1 The proponent shall not disturb Part 1 or Part 2 of the railway corridor, as defined in schedule 1, other than in accordance with a Railway Corridor Disturbance Management Plan prepared to the requirements of the Minister for the Environment on advice of the Environmental Protection Authority.

Note: In preparation of advice to the Minister for the Environment, the Environmental Protection Authority expects that the advice of the following agency will be obtained:

• Department of Conservation and Land Management.

The Railway Corridor Disturbance Management Plan shall set out the measures for:

- 1. flora (including significant species), fauna, ethnographic and archaeological surveys prior to disturbance;
- 2. physical and graphical delineation of areas to be disturbed, including borrow pits;
- 3. avoidance and management of significant vegetation, flora and aboriginal sites; and
- 4. progressive surveying of total area disturbed.
- 6-2 The total disturbed area associated with construction of Part 1 of the railway corridor (as defined in schedule 1, including borrow pit areas) shall not exceed 2385 hectares without prior written authorisation of the Minister for the Environment.
- 6-3 The total disturbed area associated with construction of Part 2 of the railway corridor (as defined in schedule 1, including borrow pit areas) shall not exceed 715 hectares without prior written authorisation of the Minister for the Environment.
- 6-4 The proponent shall implement the Railway Corridor Disturbance Management Plan required by condition 6-1.
- 6-5 The proponent shall make the Railway Corridor Disturbance Management Plan required by condition 6-1 publicly available.

7 Fauna

7-1 For the portion of the project area which lies outside the Port Hedland Port Authority Boundary, the proponent shall conduct fauna surveys prior to ground-disturbing activities, and if significant fauna are identified, shall not disturb the land surface until significant fauna have been relocated or otherwise appropriately protected in accordance with a Fauna Management Plan prepared to the requirements of the Minister for the Environment on advice of the Environmental Protection Authority.

Note: In preparation of advice to the Minister for the Environment, the Environmental Protection Authority expects that the advice of the following agency will be obtained:

• Department of Conservation and Land Management.

The Fauna Management Plan shall set out measures for:

- 1. follow-up surveys and delineation of significant fauna populations;
- 2. identifying suitable relocation sites and relocation techniques or other means of ensuring their ongoing appropriate protection; and
- 3. monitoring and reporting the success of relocation or other agreed means of appropriate protection employed.

- 7-2 The proponent shall implement the Fauna Management Plan required by condition 7-1.
- 7-3 The proponent shall make the Fauna Management Plan required by condition 7-1 publicly available.

8 Surface Water

8-1 For the portion of the project area which lies outside the Port Hedland Port Authority Boundary, the proponent shall not interfere with surface water flow other than in accordance with a Surface Water Management Plan prepared to the requirements of the Minister for the Environment on advice of the Environmental Protection Authority prior to commencement of construction.

Note: In preparation of advice to the Minister for the Environment, the Environmental Protection Authority expects that advice of the following agencies will be obtained:

- Department of Conservation and Land Management; and
- Water and Rivers Commission.

The Surface Water Management Plan shall:

- 1. establish existing surface flow regimes; and
- 2. identify significant surface water dependent ecological systems which may be impacted by changes to surface water regimes;

and shall set out measures for:

- 3. controlling excessive turbidity caused by erosion directly related to railway infrastructure;
- 4. minimising the potential for contaminants to enter waterways;
- 5. maintaining the integrity of flow paths and water quantities to protect surface water dependent ecological systems; and
- 6. monitoring and reporting of any changes in surface water flow regimes caused by implementation of the proposal, and impacts on surface water dependent ecological systems.
- 8-2 The proponent shall implement the Surface Water Management Plan required by condition 8-1.
- 8-3 The proponent shall make the Surface Water Management Plan required by condition 8-1 publicly available.
- 8-4 In the event that adverse impacts on surface water dependent ecosystems are identified, the proponent shall develop and implement appropriate contingencies.

9 Rail Corridor Rehabilitation

9-1 For the portion of the project area which lies outside the Port Hedland Port Authority Boundary, the proponent shall rehabilitate all areas not required for ongoing operations in accordance with a Rail Corridor Rehabilitation Plan prepared to the requirements of the Minister for the Environment on advice of the Environmental Protection Authority.

Note: In preparation of advice to the Minister for the Environment, the Environmental Protection Authority expects that the advice of the following agency will be obtained:

• Department of Conservation and Land Management.

The Rail Corridor Rehabilitation Plan shall set out measures for:

- 1. identification of disturbed areas not required for ongoing operations;
- 2. topsoil management;
- 3. borrow pit management;
- 4. weed management during operations;
- 5. restoration of fauna habitat areas lost or modified during construction activities, fauna habitat reconstruction, and rehabilitation of disturbed areas (including rehabilitation of mulga communities);
- 6. the derivation of completion criteria;
- 7. monitoring the success of rehabilitation against completion criteria;
- 8. maintenance of rehabilitation; and
- 9. progressive surveying of total area rehabilitated.
- 9-2 The proponent shall rehabilitate not less than 1600 hectares of land disturbed for the railway construction corridor (1265 hectares for Part 1 and 335 hectares for Part 2), access tracks, yards and other infrastructure, unless written authorisation has been obtained from the Minister for the Environment to vary this requirement.
- 9-3 The proponent shall implement the Rail Corridor Rehabilitation Plan required by condition 9-1.
- 9-4 The proponent shall make the Rail Corridor Rehabilitation Plan required by condition9-1 publicly available.

10 Weed Management

10-1 The proponent shall manage weeds during construction and operation of the project in accordance with a Weed Hygiene and Management Plan prepared to the requirements of the Minister for the Environment on advice of the Environmental Protection Authority.

Note: In preparation of advice to the Minister for the Environment, the Environmental Protection Authority expects that the advice of the following agencies will be obtained:

- Department of Conservation and Land Management; and
- Department of Agriculture.

The Weed Management Plan shall set out measures for:

- 1 identifying target weeds, having regard for weed species outside the corridor;
- 2. weed control during construction and operation;
- 3. hygiene and wash-down for all plant and equipment; and
- 4. monitoring the success of weed control.
- 10-2 The proponent shall implement the Weed Hygiene and Management Plan required by condition 10-1.
- 10-3 The proponent shall make the Weed Hygiene and Management Plan required by condition 10-1 publicly available.

11 Subterranean Fauna Survey and Protection

11-1 Prior to groundwater abstraction, the proponent shall carry out surveys for subterranean fauna and implement actions to protect subterranean fauna in accordance with a Subterranean Fauna Management Plan prepared to the requirements of the Minister for the Environment on advice of the Environmental Protection Authority.

Note: In preparation of advice to the Minister for the Environment, the Environmental Protection Authority expects that advice of the following agencies will be obtained:

- Department of Conservation and Land Management;
- Water and Rivers Commission; and
- Western Australian Museum.

The Subterranean Fauna Management Plan shall set out measures for:

- 1. surveying and identifying subterranean fauna species in areas potentially affected by groundwater abstraction, including comparison with data from subterranean fauna surveys of surrounding areas;
- 2. monitoring groundwater drawdowns;

- 3. monitoring subterranean fauna populations in areas affected by groundwater drawdown;
- 4. determining acceptable drawdown levels; and
- 5. management actions to protect significant subterranean fauna species in the event that trigger levels are exceeded.
- 11-2 The proponent shall implement the Subterranean Fauna Management Plan required by condition 11-1.
- 11-3 The proponent shall make the Subterranean Fauna Management Plan required by condition 11-1 publicly available.

12 Mangrove Protection

12-1 The proponent shall not cause the loss of, or serious damage to, any mangroves or their habitats other than in accordance with a Mangrove Protection Plan prepared to the requirements of the Minister for the Environment on advice of the Environmental Protection Authority.

Note: In preparation of advice to the Minister for the Environment, the Environmental Protection Authority expects that advice of the following agency will be obtained:

• Department of Conservation and Land Management.

The Mangrove Protection Plan shall set out:

- 1. clear physical and geographical delineation of areas (in the form of spatially referenced maps and electronic data), including the different mangrove associations and habitats, to be directly and indirectly disturbed within, and adjacent to, the project area during construction and operations;
- 2. descriptions of how and when during the construction period the different mangrove associations and habitats delineated by meeting the requirements of item 1 above will be progressively disturbed;
- 3. procedures for progressive surveys of total area disturbed to confirm the descriptions required by item 2 above, as well as the management actions to be implemented to ensure that disturbance is consistent with the information required by item 1 above;
- 4. based on current scientific understanding, the cause and effect pathways for the physical and biological stressors, associated with construction and operation of the proposal, on mangroves, their habitats and the key ecological processes which contribute to ecosystem integrity;

- 5. using information from item 4 above, the early warning indicators of change in the condition/health of:
 - a. individual mangroves;
 - b. different mangrove associations and their habitats, and
 - c. processes and conditions required for mangrove survival;
- 6. the measures (including quantitative criteria) for each of the early warning indicators identified in item 5 above which specify acceptable mangrove and mangrove habitat condition/health, and the ranges within which processes and conditions required for mangrove survival may vary (criteria to be established on the basis of pre-construction baseline data collected from the project area and/or data from suitable reference sites located outside the zone of influence of the proposal);
- 7. the procedures for documenting baseline mangrove and mangrove habitat abundance, distribution and condition/health (using scientifically appropriate quantitative measures), and the status of relevant processes and conditions required for mangrove survival in areas which may be directly or indirectly impacted by the proposal and at appropriate reference sites prior to the commencement of construction;
- 8. the procedures, including clear descriptions of standard methodologies, for regular monitoring of the indicators of mangrove and mangrove habitat abundance, distribution and condition/health, including relevant processes and conditions required for mangrove survival, at sites within the area of influence of the proposal, and at suitable reference sites as appropriate, throughout the life of the project, and for a minimum of two years post-closure if the facility is decommissioned;
- 9. the management actions which will be implemented to restore mangrove and mangrove habitat condition/health (including processes and conditions required for mangrove survival) to acceptable levels in the event that monitoring reveals that criteria required by item 6 above are not being met;
- 10. the links between this Plan and the Port Area Rehabilitation Plan required by condition 13, and how these links are to be addressed; and
- 11. procedures for reporting monitoring data, including assessments of performance against criteria and implementation of any management actions to improve performance.
- 12-2 The total area of core closed-canopy mangroves directly and indirectly disturbed within the port project area shall not exceed 14.8 hectares, as depicted/specified by meeting the requirements of condition 12-1(1) above, without prior written authorisation of the Minister for the Environment on advice of the Environmental Protection Authority.

Note: For the purposes of this condition, 'core closed-canopy mangroves' are defined as the following mangrove associations: Closed canopy *Rhizophora stylosa*; Closed canopy *Rhizophora stylosa*, *Avicennia marina*; Closed canopy *Avicennia marina* (seaward); Closed canopy *Avicennia marina* (landward); and Low open woodland *Avicennia marina*.

- 12-3 The proponent shall implement the Mangrove Protection Plan required by condition 12-1.
- 12-4 The proponent shall make the Mangrove Protection Plan required by condition 12-1 publicly available.

13 Port Area Rehabilitation

13-1 For that portion of the project area which lies within the Port Hedland Port Authority Boundary, the proponent shall rehabilitate all areas not required for ongoing operations in accordance with a Port Area Rehabilitation Plan prepared to the requirements of the Minister for the Environment on advice of the Environmental Protection Authority.

Note: In preparation of advice to the Minister for the Environment, the Environmental Protection Authority expects that advice of the following agency will be obtained:

• Department of Conservation and Land Management.

The Port Area Rehabilitation Plan shall set out measures for:

- 1. identification of disturbed areas not required for ongoing operations;
- 2. establishing rehabilitation objectives and completion criteria;
- 3. implementation of management actions in the event that the completion criteria referred to in item 2 are not met;
- 4. rehabilitation and/or revegetation of all areas not required for operations using species which occur naturally in Port Hedland;
- 5. the rehabilitation of not less than 0.74 hectares of core closed-canopy mangroves on both sides of the rail loop at the port facility;
- 6. establishing a schedule, including timing, for mangrove rehabilitation and revegetation works;
- 7. monitoring the success of rehabilitation, regeneration and revegetation against completion criteria;
- 8. propagating and/or transplanting seedlings, including the establishment of a nursery to provide seedling stock for mangrove rehabilitation using local stock with a mixture of species and at the proportions which occur naturally in Port Hedland;
- 9. protecting juvenile plants from effects which reduce viability (for example, contamination, siltation, wind and tidal erosion, excessive evaporation);

- 10. contingencies and remedial works to be implemented if the objectives or completion criteria are not met, or rehabilitation strategies are not being implemented; and
- 11. reporting on rehabilitation success against completion criteria.
- 13-2 The proponent shall implement the Port Area Rehabilitation Plan required by condition 13-1.
- 13-3 The proponent shall make the Port Area Rehabilitation Plan required by condition 13-1 publicly available.

14 Dredging and Reclamation Monitoring and Management

14-1 The proponent shall monitor and control water quality changes associated with dredging operations in accordance with a Dredging and Reclamation Monitoring and Management Plan prepared to the requirements of the Minister for the Environment on advice of the Environmental Protection Authority.

Note: In preparation of advice to the Minister for the Environment, the Environmental Protection Authority expects that advice of the following agencies will be obtained:

- Department for Planning and Infrastructure (Maritime Division);
- Department of Fisheries;
- Department of Conservation and Land Management; and
- Port Hedland Port Authority.

The objectives of the Plan are to:

- define the zones of influence of turbidity plumes generated by dredging and reclamation activities; and
- protect the environmental values of the port (including marine communities and habitats, mangrove ecosystem, near-shore tidal reef system and recreational fishing) by controlling impacts associated with dredging and reclamation which may adversely impact on these values, such as sedimentation and erosion, water turbidity and contaminants.

The Dredging and Reclamation Monitoring and Management Plan shall set out:

- 1. arrangements for dredging, including locations of areas and volumes of material to be dredged and disposed of, type of dredge(s) to be used, and mode of operation;
- 2. the most probable and worst-case timing and duration of dredging and spoil disposal activities;
- 3. procedures and/or measures for management of dredging and reclamation to meet timelines identified in item 2, including contingencies for addressing unforseen delays;

- 4. and spatially define the water quality objectives to be achieved and the key environmental attributes, (including sensitive marine habitats) which require protection from reduced water quality during dredging and reclamation activities;
- 5. the results of plume dispersion modelling (using an appropriate validated model for the area) showing most probable and worst-case turbidity plume scenarios in terms of plume location, intensity, effects on water quality and likely frequency/duration of interaction with key sensitive environmental attributes, including reef areas, which occur inside the Port Hedland Inner Harbour (See note 1 below), and outside the Port Hedland Inner Harbour from the western end of Finucane Island to Cooke Point;
- 6. measures for undertaking a determination of risks posed by dredging and reclamation activities to water quality objectives and the key sensitive environmental attributes, including sensitive marine communities and habitats (See note 2 below), based on the outcomes of modelling required by item 5;
- 7. details of a monitoring programme which focuses on relevant water quality parameters and sublethal indicators of stress in the key sensitive environmental attributes, identified as being at risk from dredging and reclamation activities, including the establishment of management triggers which if not met will require the implementation of a management response;
- 8. details of a program to monitor water quality parameters, which at a minimum include turbidity, dissolved oxygen and pH at sites located inside and outside the Port Hedland Inner Harbour and which includes the establishment of management triggers based on data collected from appropriately located unimpacted reference sites against which monitoring data is to be evaluated;
- 9. management actions and contingency measures (including deployment of silt curtains, temporary cessation of dredging and/or reclamation activities) to be implemented in the event that management triggers related to water quality objectives and key environmental attributes (including sensitive marine communities and habitats) are exceeded;
- 10. evaluation of sediment quality data against relevant guidelines using procedures recommended in the National Ocean Disposal Guidelines (Commonwealth of Australia, 2002);
- 11. the management and control of return water from the reclamation area to ensure no loss of mangrove systems, outside the areas referred to in condition 12-1(1);
- 12. the spoil disposal and reclamation process, including management of reclamation ponds and dewatering, total storage volume of the reclamation area and final level(s) of the reclamation area;
- 13. measures for surface drainage management for the reclamation/spoil disposal area, including water harvesting and contingencies for extreme storm events (for example, tropical cyclones); and

14. reporting.

Note 1: Within these conditions, "the Port Hedland Inner Harbour" is defined as the area landward of a line between Hunt Point and Airey Point and within the Port Hedland Port Authority Boundary.

Note 2: The term "sensitive marine communities and habitats" means mangroves, reef habitats (including those located outside the Port Hedland Inner Harbour), and the biota associated with these habitats.

- 14-2 The total area of land disturbed by dredging and reclamation within the Port Hedland Port Authority Boundary shall not exceed 300 hectares without prior written authorisation of the Minister for the Environment.
- 14-3 The proponent shall implement the Dredging and Reclamation Monitoring and Management Plan required by condition 14-1.
- 14-4 The proponent shall make the Dredging and Reclamation Monitoring and Management Plan required by condition 14-1 publicly available.

15 Introduced Marine Species and Dredging Equipment

- 15-1 Prior to commencement of dredging and within 48 hours following entry of the dredging equipment and other vessels associated with the proposal within the Port Hedland Port Authority Boundary, the proponent shall arrange and undertake an inspection by an appropriately qualified expert to ensure that:
 - 1. there is no sediment on or within the dredging equipment;
 - 2. ballast water (if any) has been managed according to the Australian Quarantine Inspection Service ballast water requirements; and
 - 3. any fouling organisms on or in the dredging equipment do not present a risk to the ecosystem integrity of the marine waters of Port Hedland.
- 15-2 The proponent shall manage any sediment or fouling organism found as a consequence of the inspection required by condition 15-1 to the requirements of the Minister for the Environment.
- 15-3 In the event that the dredging equipment is to be transferred to another location within Western Australian territorial waters following completion of dredging and disposal activities, the proponent shall undertake an investigation employing an appropriately qualified marine scientist to identify the presence of / the potential for introduced marine pest species.
- 15-4 In the event that any introduced marine pest species are detected, the proponent shall put in place a Marine Pests Management Strategy to ensure that introduced marine pest species are not transferred to other locations within Western Australian territorial waters.

Note: In auditing compliance in relation to the reporting requirements of conditions 15-1 to 15-4, the Environmental Protection Authority expects that advice from the following agencies will be obtained:

- Department of Fisheries; and
- Australian Quarantine Inspection Service.

16 Acid Sulphate Soils

16-1 For that portion of the project area which lies within the Port Hedland Port Authority Boundary, the proponent shall not disturb soils, other than in accordance with an Acid Sulphate Soil Management Plan prepared to the requirements of the Minister for the Environment on advice of the Environmental Protection Authority.

The Acid Sulphate Soil Management Plan shall set out measures for:

- 1. identification and description of the occurrence of actual and potential acid sulphate soils on site to be disturbed;
- 2. management strategies to be implemented;
- 3. timing of environmental management initiatives;
- 4. performance criteria to be used to assess the effectiveness of acid sulphate soil management and monitoring;
- 5. monitoring of soils, surface and groundwater quality to enable the effectiveness of the management strategy to be assessed;
- 6. contingencies to be implemented on site to deal with unexpected events or in the event of failure of management, including remedial actions and restoration; and
- 7. reporting on environmental performance objectives.
- 16-2 The proponent shall implement the Acid Sulphate Soil Management Plan required by condition 16-1.
- 16-3 The proponent shall make the Acid Sulphate Soil Management Plan required by condition 16-1 publicly available.

17 Dust

17-1 The proponent shall monitor and control dust associated with construction and operation of the port in accordance with a Dust Management Plan prepared to the requirements of the Minister for the Environment on advice of the Environmental Protection Authority.

Note: In preparation of advice to the Minister for the Environment, the Environmental Protection Authority expects that advice of the following agencies will be obtained:

- Department of Industry and Resources; and
- Town of Port Hedland.

The Dust Management Plan shall set out measures for:

- 1. defining an objective tool for measuring effectiveness of dust management strategies (for example, monitoring for benchmarking and performance evaluation);
- 2. controlling the generation of dust during construction and operations;
- 3. researching and justifying the application of best practice dust mitigation and management during construction and operations;
- 4. establishing and implementing a comprehensive ambient air quality monitoring programme, including validation of source emissions estimates;
- 5. participating in a consolidated monitoring programme, involving major industry in the Port Area;
- 6. continually improving and reducing emissions;
- 7. a complaints process; and
- 8. reporting monitoring results.
- 17-2 The proponent shall review air quality modelling and assumptions presented in the Public Environmental Review and Response to Submissions, in the event that the cumulative impact assessment study commissioned by the Department of Industry and Resources indicates a significant variance from that modelling.
- 17-3 The proponent shall implement the Dust Management Plan required by condition 17-1.
- 17-4 The proponent shall make the Dust Management Plan required by condition 17-1 publicly available.

18 **Operations Noise**

18-1 The proponent shall not conduct port or rail operations other than in accordance with an Operations Noise Management Plan prepared to the requirements of the Minister for the Environment on advice of the Environmental Protection Authority.

Note: In preparation of advice to the Minister for the Environment, the Environmental Protection Authority expects that advice of the following entity will be obtained:

• Town of Port Hedland.

The Operations Noise Management Plan shall address noise emissions from the port and rail operations associated with the proposal and set out measures for:

- 1. achieving compliance with the *Environmental Protection (Noise) Regulations 1997*, for port operations;
- 2. achieving compliance with sound levels of 35dBL_{Aeq,1hour} and 45dBL_{Amax} when determined within indoor sleeping areas, and 40dBL_{Aeq,1hour} and 50dBL_{Amax}, when determined within indoor living areas of dwellings on affected noise-sensitive premises, for rail operations;
- 3. identification of noise-sensitive premises affected by noise emissions from either port or rail operations;
- 4. identification of noise management measures to minimise disturbances to dwellings on affected noise-sensitive premises;
- 5. implementation of noise management measures as far as practicable;
- 6. noise monitoring and reporting; and
- 7. a community consultation and complaints process.
- 18-2 The proponent shall implement the Operations Noise Management Plan required by condition 18-1.
- 18-3 The proponent shall make the Operations Noise Management Plan required by condition 18-1 publicly available.

Procedures

- 1. Where a condition states "to the requirements of the Minister for the Environment on advice of the Environmental Protection Authority", the Environmental Protection Authority will provide that advice to the Department of Environment for the preparation of written notice to the proponent.
- 2. The Environmental Protection Authority may seek advice from other agencies or organisations, as required, in order to provide its advice to the Department of Environment.
- 3. Where a condition lists advisory bodies, it is expected that the proponent will obtain the advice of those listed as part of its compliance reporting to the Department of Environment.

4. Due to the requirements for adaptive management in the implementation of this proposal, the Environmental Protection Authority may vary the criteria referred to in condition 14 from time to time, provided that the result of any such changes is unlikely to lead to unacceptable impacts on the environmental values of local marine ecosystems.

Notes

- 1. The Minister for the Environment will determine any dispute between the proponent and the Environmental Protection Authority or the Department of Environment over the fulfilment of the requirements of the conditions.
- 2. The proponent is required to apply for a Works Approval and Licence for this project under the provisions of Part V of the *Environmental Protection Act 1986*.
- 3. The proponent is required to obtain Permits for this project to Obstruct or Interfere with Bed and Banks, under the provisions of section 17 of the *Rights in Water and Irrigation Act 1914*.
- 4. The proponent is required to obtain a 5C Licence to take groundwater for all Stage A railway construction water requirements and ancillary water use for this project under the provisions of the *Rights in Water and Irrigation Act 1914*.
- 5. The proponent is required to prepare and implement a Construction Noise Management Plan in accordance with Regulation 13 of the *Environmental Protection (Noise) Regulations 1997*, to the requirements of the Town of Port Hedland.

С

Dr Judy Edwards MLA MINISTER FOR THE ENVIRONMENT; SCIENCE

0 3 OCT 2005

The Proposal (Assessment No. 1505)

The proposal involves the construction of a port facility at Anderson Point in Port Hedland and a connecting north-south railway (to be constructed in two parts) stretching approximately 345 kilometres south south-east to iron ore resources at Mindy Mindy.

The proposal has the following main components:

• the staged construction of a 345 kilometre railway line from Port Hedland to mining operations at Mindy Mindy (see Figure 1). Part 1 involves the construction of a 244 kilometre line from Port Hedland to the Chichester Ranges and Part 2 involves the construction of a 101 kilometre line from the Chichester Ranges to Mindy Mindy ; and

• construction of port facilities consisting of rail loop, car dumper, stockyard and ore handling facilities (including two stackers and a single reclaimer), rescreening facility and product conveyor to a wharf and shiploader at Anderson Point in Port Hedland (see Figure 2).

The key characteristics of the proposal are shown in Table 1 below.

| Element | Description |
|------------------------|--|
| General | |
| Construction period | 20 months approximately |
| Project life | 20+ years |
| Export tonnage | 45Mtpa |
| Railway | |
| Length | 345 km approximately (Part 1: 244 km, Part 2:101 km) |
| | Sidings |
| Support Infrastructure | Administration offices and warehouses |
| | Trip servicing facilities |
| | Service and repair workshop |
| | Rail loops and marshalling yards |
| | Maintenance facilities |
| | Substations |
| | Communication systems |
| Port | |
| Stockyard | 2.5Mt capacity (live) |
| Materials Handling | Car dumper |
| | Conveyors and transfer points |
| | Rescreening plant |
| | 2x Stackers (8,000 tph each) |
| | Reclaimer (10,000 tph) |
| Port development | Single wharf 750m long |
| | Parking berth |
| | Ships up to 250,000 DWT |
| | Shiploader (10,000 tph) |
| | Dredging 3.3Mm ³ |
| Buildings | Shift office |
| | Control room and amenities |
| | Wharf amenities |
| | Substations |
| | |
| | |

Table 1 - Key Proposal Characteristics

| Element | Description |
|---|--|
| Infrastructure | |
| Power Water Fuel Roads Sewerage | 17.5 MW from existing system 2 Glpa from existing system 45 Mlpa for locomotives and other vehicles General traffic, port access, rail service Construction – package treatment plant Operations – septic systems |
| Disturbance Areas* | |
| Area of railway construction railway construction corridor access track, yards, temporary disturbance | 3,100 ha (2385 ha for Part 1 and 715 ha for Part 2) 1,500 ha (1115 ha for Part 1 and 385 ha for part 2) 1,600 ha (1270 ha for Part 1 and 330 ha for Part 2) |
| Area of operating railway railway corridor access road, yards, workshops, maintenance yards | 1,500 ha (total) 688 ha (488 ha for part 1 and 200 ha for Part 2) 812 ha (632 ha for Part 1 and 180 ha for Part 2) |
| Area of port facilities (including spoil reclamation below proposed stockpiles and temporary disturbance areas) | 300 ha |
| Area of operating port facilities | 100 ha |
| Total operational areas | 1,600 ha |
| Workforce (approximate peak levels) | |
| Construction Operations Accommodation | Rail – 1,000 personnel Port – 500 personnel Port and rail – 225 personnel Construction – single status in Port Hedland Track camps for rail Permanent – new or existing residences in Port Hedland, Newman or permanent rail camp |
| Key: | |

| Key: | | | |
|-----------------|--------------------------|------|--------------------------|
| * | includes a contingency | MW | mega watts |
| Mtpa | Million tonnes per annum | Glpa | giga litres per annum |
| tph | tonnes per hour | Mlpa | million litres per annum |
| m | metres | Mt | million tonnes |
| DWT | dead weight tonne | ha | hectares |
| Mm ³ | million cubic metres | km | kilometre |

Figures (attached)

Figure 1 - Pilbara Iron Ore and Infrastructure Project. Figure 2 - Pilbara Iron Ore and Infrastructure Project – Amended Indicative Port Layout.



Figure 1: Pilbara Iron Ore and Infrastructure Project



Figure 2: Pilbara Iron Ore and Infrastructure Project – Amended Indicative Port Layout.

Proponent's Consolidated Environmental Management Commitments

(September 2005)

Pilbara Iron Ore & Infrastructure Project: Port & North-South Railway (Stage A)

Fortescue Metals Group Limited

Schedule 2

Proponent's Environmental Management Commitments – September 2005 Pilbara Iron ore & Infrastructure Project: Port & North-South Railway (Stage A) - Assessment No. 1505

(

Note: The term "commitment" as used in this schedule includes an entire row of the following table and its separate parts.

| Торіс | Objectives | No. | Actions | Timing | Advice from |
|-----------------|--|-----|---|-------------------------------|-------------|
| Offset measures | EPA Position Statement No 9 - offsets | 1. | Fund a detailed research program into relevant taxonomic research at PhD level or equivalent for three years on: the potential impacts of the project on <i>Mulgara</i> or other threatened species; and taxononomic research of <i>Acacia aneura</i> in the Pilbara or some other poorly known taxa such as Malvacea or Tiliacea. | During construction | CALM |
| Offset measures | EPA Position Statement No 9 - offsets | 2. | Undertake and fund environmental baseline mapping and monitoring using airborne hyperspectral data for at least three years, in conjunction with CSIRO, to: establish an inventory (types, density and geographical/spatial distribution) of the mangroves surrounding the facility; undertake research to establish accurate and spatially comprehensive measurements to assess physiological conditions of mangroves; and establish the pre-facilities level of iron oxide dust deposition on the mangroves and ongoing dust deposition during operations; Or contribute to a biodiversity initiative of equivalent value. | Prior to port construction | CALM |

| Торіс | Objectives | No. | Actions | Timing | Advice from |
|--|---|-----|---|--------------------------------|---------------------|
| Fire Management Plan | Reduce the risk of unplanned fires and provide contingency measures to minimise any impacts in the event that a fire is started. | 3. | Have in place and make publicly available a Fire Management Plan to include work procedures for all welding and grinding work, personnel fire hazard procedures, fire response vehicles on site and bushfire contingency plans. | Prior to construction. | CALM |
| Turtles | Minimise the impact of the port facility on turtles in the port area. | 4. | Install frequency-controlled lighting to avoid affecting hatchling and juvenile turtle orientation, and minimise light overspill from the port facility, providing shielding (of lights). | During construction | CALM |
| Turtles | Minimise the impact of the port facility on turtles in the port area. | 5. | Implement a monitoring program to determine the effectiveness of controlled lighting on turtles. | During operations | CALM |
| Hydrocarbon Management Plan / Oil & Chemical Spill Contingency Plan | To maintain or improve the quality of surface and groundwater, to ensure that existing and potential uses, including ecosystem maintenance is protected. | 6. | Have in place and make publicly available, a Hydrocarbon Management Plan / Oil & Chemical Spill Contingency Plan for the Port addressing: Spill prevention; Identification of the level of risk posed from contamination by hydrocarbon and chemicals in the area of operations which includes; (a) identification of sensitive areas and measures to protect them; (b) likely types and volumes of hydrocarbons / chemicals. | Prior to port construction. | PHPA DPI FESA |

| ····· | | | | | 1 |
|--------------------------------|---|----|--|-----------------------|--------------|
| | | | Appropriate procedures to allow rapid assessment of a spill and the mobilisation of response; Appropriate procedures to control fuel and chemicals handling and berthing contamination; Appropriate clean up procedures on site according to the level of risk posed; A first strike response capability is maintained in the event of a spill, which includes the following: (a) equipment appropriate to the level of risk; (b) on site personnel trained in spill response and management. Appropriate on site arrangements for the disposal of oily and chemical wastes; Systems in place to monitor and report spills; Systems to continually monitor and reduce contamination. | | |
| Marine Pest Management Plan | Maintain the ecological function, abundance, species diversity and geographic distribution of marine biota and habitat in order to protect ecosystem health. | 7. | Have in place and make publicly available an Introduced Marine Pests Management Plan addressing: pest species; monitoring (including supporting any monitoring conducted by PHPA); regular marine pest surveys (for example every three years); management measures (including prevention of hull cleaning and scraping at the FMG berth); compliance with AQIS requirements in relation to ballast water control; and a management framework which can be implemented to prevent or mitigate any identified environmental impacts. | Prior to construction | PHPA AQIS |

| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | |
|---------------------|--|-----|---|-----------------------|---|
| Topic | Objectives | No. | Actions | Timing | Advice from |
| Aboriginal Heritage | To ensure that appropriate consultation occurs with relevant Aboriginal groups in the identification and protection of Aboriginal Heritage sites. | 8. | Develop and implement a Cultural Heritage Management Plan, detailing the procedures for consultation with relevant Aboriginal groups and/or their representatives, and the identification, assessment and management of significant Aboriginal Heritage sites within the proposal area. | Prior to construction | DIA Relevant Aboriginal groups, Native Title Groups and/or their represent- atives. |
| Water usage | To ensure that water conservation measures are implemented. | 9. | Develop and implement a Water Management Plan to investigate ways to minimise water use, including water recycling and use of alternative dust suppression measures such as: enclosing/covering equipment where possible; sealing roads and high traffic areas; management of stockpiles; planting shelter belts; and harvesting of surface water runoff at the port site to supplement scheme supplies. | Prior to construction | |

Key:

AQIS -Australian Quarantine Inspection ServiceCALM -Department of Conservation and Land ManagementCSIRO -Commonwealth Scientific & Industrial Research Organisation

Department of Indigenous Affairs DIA -

DPI -Department of margeneous financialDPI -Department for Planning and InfrastructureFESA -Fire and Emergency Services AuthorityFMG -Fortescue Metals Group

PHPA – Port Hedland Port Authority

Attachment 1- Change to Proposal (Statement 690).

Proposal:

PILBARA IRON ORE & INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECT, STAGE A.

Proponent:

Fortescue Metals Group Limited

Change:

in Schedule 1, Table 1: Key Proposal Characteristics (Assessment no. 1505).

| From: | |
|---|---------------------------------------|
| Element | Quantities/Description |
| Port Development | Dredging 3.3 Mm ³ |
| Area of Port Facilities (including spoil | 378.4 ha |
| reclamation below proposed stockpiles and | |
| temporary disturbance areas) | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |

| 10: | · |
|---|------------------------------|
| Element | Quantities/Description |
| Port Development | Dredging 4.5 Mm ³ |
| Area of Port Facilities (including spoil | 382.3 ha |
| reclamation below proposed stockpiles and | |
| temporary disturbance areas) | |

Date of Approval: 6/04/06

Attachment to Statement 690

Change to Description of Proposal

Proposal: Pilbara Iron Ore & Infrastructure Project, Port & North-South Railway (Stage A)

Proponent: Fortescue Metals Group Limited

Change: additional area of not more than 10 hectares for a temporary access road as shown on Figure 3.

Figure 3. Temporary access road outside PER boundary

Approval Date: 17 AUG 2006



Attachment **3**- Change to Proposal (Statement 690).

Proposal: PILBARA IRON ORE & INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECT, STAGE A.

Proponent: Fortescue Metals Group Limited

Change: in Schedule 1, Table 1: Key Proposal Characteristics (Assessment no. 1505).

| Element | Description | s45C change |
|------------------------|---|---|
| Railway | ······· | |
| Support Infrastructure | Rail Loop | Relocation of Rail Loop, Train Unloader Vault and Conveyor Tunnel south of BHPBIO Shay Gap Railway (refer attached Figure 3, "Proposed Rail Loop Changes") |
| | Railway alignment | Realignment of railway 3.5 km east (resulting in additional 1.9 km rail line with minimum 200 m offset from De Grey mineralisation area) (refer attached Figure 4, "Proposed De Grey Rail Realignment") |

Date of Approval: 12-09-06



| | COOKES HILL Barw (PD) |
|---|--|
| | |
| | He 1284 |
| | |
| Image: Sector | Image: Second |

Attachment to Statement 690

Change to Description of Proposal

Pilbara Iron Ore & Infrastructure Project, Port & North-South Railway (Stage A) Proposal:

| Proponent: | Fortescue M | etals Group L | imited | |
|------------|-------------|---------------|-------------------------|--------------|
| | · · · | · | | • |
| Change: | Dredging of | an additional | 170,000m ³ : | at Utah Poin |

Amendment of Schedule 1 – Key Proposal Characteristics

| ÷ | reatures of previously approved Pro | oposar: | |
|---|---|------------------------------|--|
| · | Element | Quantities/Description | |
| | Port Development | Dredging 4.5 Mm ³ | |
| • | Area of Port Facilities (including spoil reclamation below proposed stockpiles and temporary disturbance areas) | 382.3ha | |

Features of changed Proposal:

| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
|---|---|
| Element | Quantities/Description |
| Port Development | Anderson Point – dredging 4.5 Mm ³ Utah Point – dredging 0.17 Mm ³ |
| Area of Port Facilities (including spoil reclamation below proposed stockpiles and temporary disturbance areas) | Anderson Point – 382.3 ha Utah Point – 2 ha |
| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |

Approval Date: 27.04.07

Attachment **G**to Statement 690

Change to Proposal

Proposal: Pilbara Iron Ore Infrastructure Project: Port & North-South Railway (Stage A)

Proponent: Fortescue Metals Group Limited

Change:

Components of original Proposal as implemented:

| Component | Quantities/Description |
|--------------------|-------------------------------|
| Port | |
| Materials Handling | Conveyors and transfer points |

Components of changed Proposal:

| Component | Quantities/Description | | |
|----------------------------|---|--|--|
| Port Materials Handling | Uncovered conveyors and transfer points | | |

Approved under delegation from Minister for the Environment:

Attachment 6 to Statement 690

Change to Proposal

| Proposal: | Pilbara I | ron Ore | & Ir | nfrastr | licture P | roject | , Port a | and North-S | outh |
|-----------|-----------|---------|------|---------|-----------|--------|----------|-------------|------|
| | Railway | (Stage | A), | Herb | Elliott | Port, | Point | Anderson, | Port |
| | Hedland | | | | | | | | |

Proponent: Fortescue Metals Group Limited

Change: Construction of two additional Train Unloaders

Components of original Proposal as implemented:

| Component | Quantities/Description |
|---------------------|-------------------------|
| General | |
| Construction period | 20 months approximately |
| Port | |
| Materials Handling | Car dumper |

Components of changed Proposal:

| Component | Quantities/Description |
|---------------------|-------------------------|
| General | |
| Construction period | 35 months approximately |
| Port | |
| Materials Handling | Three Car dumpers |

Note: Car Dumper is the same as Train Unloader or TUL.

Approved under delegation from Minister for the Environment:

Delegation under section 18 of the Environmental Protection Act Dated 24 November 2004

7-8-08 S45C Approval Date:__

Change to Proposal

Proposal: Pilbara Iron Ore & Infrastructure Project: Port & North-South Railway (Stage A)

Proponent: Fortescue Metals Group Limited

Change: Construction of additional rail infrastructure for sections of rail duplication, with associated additional 606 hectares of disturbance in the railway corridor.

Key Characteristics Table:

| Element | Description of proposal | Description of approved change to proposal | | | | | |
|------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| General | | | | | | | |
| Construction period | 35 months approximately | Element Removed – not relevant | | | | | |
| Project life | 20+ years | 20+ years | | | | | |
| Export tonnage | 45Mtpa | 45 Mtpa | | | | | |
| Railway | | | | | | | |
| Length | 345 km approximately (Part 1: 244 km, Part 2: 101 km) | Not more than (±10%): 345 km (total) • Part 1: 244 km • Part 2: 101 km Sections of duplicate line from port unloading loops to approximately Chainage 23 | | | | | |
| Support Infrastructure | Sidings Administration offices and warehouses Trip servicing facilities Service and repair workshop Rail loops and marshalling yards Maintenance facilities Substations Communication systems | Sidings Administration offices and warehouses Trip servicing facilities Service and repair workshop Rail loops Marshalling yards Passing Loops Spurs Maintenance facilities Substations Communication systems | | | | | |
| | Rail Loop - relocation of rail loop, train unloader vault and conveyor tunnel south of BHPBIO Shay Gap Railway (refer attached Figure 3, "Proposed Rail Loop Changes") | Rail Loop - relocation of rail loop, train unloader vault and conveyor tunnel south of BHPBIO Shay Gap Railway (refer attached Figure 3, "Proposed Rail Loop Changes") | | | | | |
| | Railway alignment - realignment of railway 3.5 km east (resulting in additional 1.9 km rail line with minimum 200 m offset from De Grey mineralisation area) (refer attached Figure 4, "Proposed De Gray Rail Realignment") | Railway alignment - realignment of railway 3.5 km east (resulting in additional 1.9 km rail line with minimum 200 m offset from De Grey mineralisation area) (refer attached Figure 4, "Proposed De Gray Rail Realignment") | | | | | |

| Element | Description of proposal | Description of approved change to proposal | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|
| Port | | | | |
| Stockyard | 2.5 Mt capacity (live) | 2.5 Mt capacity (live) | | |
| Materials Handling | Three Car dumpers | Three Car dumpers | | |
| | Uncovered conveyors and transfer | Uncovered conveyors and transfer | | |
| | points | points | | |
| | Rescreening plant | Rescreening plant | | |
| | 2 x Stackers (8,000 tph each) | 2 x Stackers (8,000 tph each) | | |
| | Reclaimer (10,000 tph) | Reclaimer (10,000 tph) | | |
| Port development | Single wharf 750 m long | Single wharf 750 m long | | |
| | Parking berth | Parking berth | | |
| | Ships up to 250,000 DWT | Ships up to 250,000 DWT | | |
| | Shiploader (10,000 tph) | Shiploader (10,000 tph) | | |
| Area of Port Facilities (including spoil reclamation below proposed stockpiles | Anderson Point – dredging 4.5 Mm ³ Utah Point – dredging 0.17 Mm ³ | Anderson Point – dredging 4.5 Mm ³ Utah Point – dredging 0.17 Mm ³ | | |
| disturbance areas) | | | | |
| Buildings | Shift office | Shift office | | |
| Dunungo | Control room and amenities | Control room and amenities | | |
| | Wharf amenities | Wharf amenities | | |
| | Substations | Substations | | |
| Infrastructure | | | | |
| Power | 17.5 MW from existing system | 17.5 MW from existing system | | |
| Water | 2 Glpa from existing system | 2 Glpa from existing system | | |
| Fuel | 45 Mlpa for locomotives and other | 45 Mlpa for locomotives and other | | |
| | vehicles | vehicles | | |
| Roads | General traffic, port access, rail service | General traffic, port access, rail service | | |
| Sewerage | Construction – package treatment plant | Construction – package treatment plant | | |
| | Operations – septic systems | Operations – septic systems | | |
| Disturbance Areas | | | | |
| Area of railway construction | 3,100 ha (2,385 ha for Part 1 and 715 ha for Part 2) | Not more than: 3,706 ha (total) – Part 1: 2,991 ha – Part 2: 715 ha | | |
| • Railway | • 1,500 ha | • Not more than: | | |
| construction | (1,115 ha for Part 1 and | 1,575 ha (total) | | |
| corridor | 385 ha for Part 2) | – Part 1: 1,190 ha | | |
| | | – Part 2: 385 ha | | |
| • Access track, yards, | • 1,600 ha | • Not more than: | | |
| temporary | (1,270 ha for Part 1 and 330 ha for Part 2) | 2,131 ha (total) | | |
| disturbance | | - Part 1: 1,001 lla - Part 2: 330 ha | | |
| Area of operating | 1,500 ha (total) | Removed – information now | | |
| railway • Railway corridor | • 688 ha (488 ha for Part 1 and 200 ha for Part 2) | contained in "Area of operating railway" | | |
| Access road, yards, workshops, maintenance yards | • 812 ha (632 ha for Part 1 and 180 ha for part 2) | | | |

| Element | Description of proposal | Description of approved change to proposal |
|--|--|--|
| Area of operating railway | | Not more than: 1,575 ha (total) • Part 1: 1,190 ha • Part 2: 385 ha |
| Area of port facilities (including spoil reclamation below proposed stockpiles and temporary disturbance areas) | Anderson Point – 382.3 ha Utah Point 2 ha | Anderson Point – 382.3 ha Utah Point 2 ha |
| Area of operating port facilities | 100 ha | 100 ha |
| Total operation areas | 1,600 ha | Not more than 1,675 ha |
| Rehabilitation | | |
| Area of rehabilitation due to railway | (element not in Schedule 1, data from Condition 9-2) | |
| construction corridor, access track, yards, other infrastructure and borrow pits . | 1,600 ha (total) (1,265 ha for Part 1 and 335 ha for Part 2) | Not less than: 2,131 ha (total) • Part 1: 1,796 ha • Part 2: 335 ha |
| Workforce (approxima | ate peak levels) | |
| Construction | Rail – 1,000 personnel Port – 500 personnel | Element Removed – no longer relevant |
| Operations | Port and rail – 225 personnel | Element Removed – no longer relevant |
| Accommodation | Construction – single status in Port Hedland | Construction – accommodation in Port Hedland |
| | Track camps for rail | Track camps for rail |
| | Permanent – new or existing residences in Port Hedland, Newman or permanent rail camp. | Permanent – new or existing residences in Port Hedland, Newman or permanent rail camp. |

| Key: | | | | | |
|------|------------------------------|------|--------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| | | MW | mega watts | DWT | dead weight tonne |
| Mtpa | Millions of tonnes per annum | Glpa | giga litres per annum | Mm ³ | million cubic metres |
| tph | tonnes per hour | Mlpa | million litres per annum | ha | hectare |
| m | metres | Mt | million tonnes | km | kilometre |

Hon Donna Faragher JP MLC MINISTER FOR ENVIRONMENT; YOUTH

Approval date: 17 December 2009

Change to Proposal

Proposal: Pilbara Iron Ore & Infrastructure Project: Port & North-South Railway (Stage A)

Proponent: Fortescue Metals Group Limited

Change: Increase in railway corridor disturbance (371 hectares) due to construction of additional infrastructure. Increase in port operating area (3 hectares) due to widening of causeway.

Key Characteristics Table:

| Element | Description of proposal | Description of approved change to proposal | | | |
|---------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| General | | | | | |
| Construction period | Element Removed – not relevant | Element Removed – not relevant | | | |
| Project life | 20+ years | 20+ years | | | |
| Export tonnage | 45 Mtpa | 45 Mtpa | | | |
| Railway | | | | | |
| Length | Not more than (±10%): 345 km (total) • Part 1: 244 km • Part 2: 101 km | Not more than (±10%): 345 km (total) • Part 1: 244 km • Part 2: 101 km | | | |
| | Sections of duplicate line from port unloading loops to approximately Chainage 23 | with sections of duplicate line | | | |
| Support Infrastructure | Sidings Administration offices and warehouses Trip servicing facilities Service and repair workshop Rail loops Marshalling yards Passing Loops Spurs Maintenance facilities Substations Communication systems Rail Loop - relocation of rail loop, train unloader vault and conveyor tunnel south of BHPBIO Shay Gap Railway (refer attached Figure 3, "Proposed Rail Loop Changes") | Sidings Administration offices and warehouses Trip servicing facilities Service and repair workshop Rail loops Marshalling yards Passing Loops Spurs Maintenance facilities Substations Communication systems Rail Loop - relocation of rail loop, train unloader vault and conveyor tunnel south of BHPBIO Shay Gap Railway (refer attached Figure 3, "Proposed Rail Loop Changes") | | | |

| Element | Description of proposal | Description of approved change to proposal | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|
| | Railway alignment - realignment of railway 3.5 km east (resulting in additional 1.9 km rail line with minimum 200 m offset from De Grey mineralisation area) (refer attached Figure 4, "Proposed De Gray Rail Realignment") | Railway alignment - realignment of railway 3.5 km east (resulting in additional 1.9 km rail line with minimum 200 m offset from De Grey mineralisation area) (refer attached Figure 4, "Proposed De Gray Rail Realignment") | | |
| Port | | | | |
| Stockyard | 2.5 Mt capacity (live) | 2.5 Mt capacity (live) | | |
| Materials Handling | Three Car dumpers Uncovered conveyors and transfer points Rescreening plant 2 x Stackers (8,000 tph each) Reclaimer (10,000 tph) | Three Car dumpers Uncovered conveyors and transfer points Rescreening plant 2 x Stackers (8,000 tph each) Reclaimer (10,000 tph) | | |
| Port development | Single wharf 750 m long Parking berth Ships up to 250,000 DWT Shiploader (10,000 tph) | Single wharf 750 m long Parking berth Ships up to 250,000 DWT Shiploader (10,000 tph) | | |
| Area of Port Facilities (including spoil reclamation below proposed stockpiles and temporary disturbance areas) | Anderson Point – dredging 4.5 Mm ³ Utah Point – dredging 0.17 Mm ³ | Anderson Point – dredging 4.5 Mm ³ Utah Point – dredging 0.17 Mm ³ | | |
| Buildings | Shift office Control room and amenities Wharf amenities Substations | Shift office Control room and amenities Wharf amenities Substations | | |
| Infrastructure | | | | |
| Power | 17.5 MW from existing system | 17.5 MW from existing system | | |
| Water | 2 Glpa from existing system | 2 Glpa from existing system | | |
| Fuel | 45 Mlpa for locomotives and other vehicles | 45 Mlpa for locomotives and other vehicles | | |
| Roads | General traffic, port access, rail service | General traffic, port access, rail service | | |
| Sewerage Construction – package treatment plant Operations – septic systems | | Construction – package treatment plant Operations – septic systems | | |

| Element | Description of proposal | Description of approved change to proposal | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Disturbance Areas | | | | | |
| Area of railway construction | Not more than: 3,706 ha (total) – Part 1: 2,991 ha Part 2: 715 ha | Not more than: 4,077 ha (total) – Part 1: 3,362 ha – Part 2: 715 ha | | | |
| Railway construction corridor | Not more than: 1,575 ha (total) – Part 1: 1,190 ha Part 2: 385 ha | Not more than: 1,692 ha (total) – Part 1: 1,307 ha – Part 2: 385 ha | | | |
| Access track, yards, borrow pits, temporary disturbance | Not more than: 2,131 ha (total) – Part 1: 1,801 ha Part 2: 330 ha | Not more than: 2,385 ha (total) – Part 1: 2,055 ha – Part 2: 330 ha | | | |
| Area of operating railway Railway corridor Access road, yards, workshops, maintenance yards | Removed – information now contained in "Area of operating railway" | Removed – information now contained in "Area of operating railway" | | | |
| Area of operating railway | Not more than: 1,575 ha (total) • Part 1: 1,190 ha • Part 2: 385 ha | Not more than: 1,692 ha (total) • Part 1: 1,307 ha • Part 2: 385 ha | | | |
| Area of port facilities (including spoil reclamation below proposed stockpiles and temporary disturbance areas) | Anderson Point – 382.3 ha Utah Point 2 ha | Anderson Point – 386 ha Utah Point 2 ha | | | |
| Area of operating port facilities | 100 ha | 103.7 ha | | | |
| Total operation areas | 1,600 ha | Not more than 1,795.7 ha | | | |
| Rehabilitation | | | | | |
| Area of rehabilitation due to railway construction corridor, access track, yards, other infrastructure and borrow pits. | Not less than: 2,131 ha (total) • Part 1: 1,796 ha • Part 2: 335 ha | Not less than: 2,385 ha (total) • Part 1: 2,050 ha • Part 2: 385 ha | | | |

| Element | Description of proposal | Description of approved change to proposal | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Workforce (approximate peak levels) | | | | | |
| Construction | Element Removed – no longer relevant | Element Removed – no longer relevant | | | |
| Operations | Element Removed – no longer relevant | Element Removed – no longer relevant | | | |
| Accommodation | Construction – accommodation in Port Hedland | Construction – accommodation in Port Hedland | | | |
| | Track camps for rail | Track camps for rail | | | |
| | Permanent – new or existing residences in Port Hedland, Newman or permanent rail camp. | Permanent – new or existing residences in Port Hedland, Newman or permanent rail camp. | | | |

| Key: | | | | | |
|------|------------------------------|------|--------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| | | MW | mega watts | DWT | dead weight tonne |
| Mtpa | Millions of tonnes per annum | Glpa | giga litres per annum | Mm ³ | million cubic metres |
| tph | tonnes per hour | Mlpa | million litres per annum | ha | hectare |
| m | metres | Mt | million tonnes | km | kilometre |

Hon Bill Marmion MLA MINISTER FOR ENVIRONMENT; WATER

Approval date: 21 August 2011

Attachment 9 to Ministerial Statement 690 Change to proposal under s45C of the *Environmental Protection Act 1986*

| Pilbara Iron Ore and Infrastructure Project: Port and North-South Railway (Stage A) |
|---|
| |
| |

- Proponent: Fortescue Metals Group Limited
- **Change:** 1) Remove and amend the port elements of Schedule 1 to include wharf AP5; and
 - 2) Removal of references to export tonnage in Schedule 1.

Components of Schedule 1 to be changed

| Element | Description of authorised proposal | Description of approved changes to proposal | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| General | | | | | |
| Export tonnage | 45 Mtpa | Remove. Relevant environmental matters such as noise and dust can be managed under Part V of the EP Act. | | | |
| Port | | | | | |
| Stockyard | 2.5 Mt capacity (live) | Remove not relevant | | | |
| Materials Handling Port development | Three Car dumpers Uncovered conveyors and transfer points Rescreening plant 2 x Stackers (8,000 tph each) Reclaimer (10,000 tph) Single wharf 750 m long Parking berth Ships up to 250,000 DWT Shiploader (10,000 tph) | Car dumpers Conveyors and transfer points Rescreening plant Stackers Reclaimers Wharves and shiploader (see Figure 5) No change to ships or parking berth | | | |
| Area of Port Facilities (including spoil reclamation below proposed stockpiles and temporary disturbance areas) | Anderson Point – dredging 4.5 Mm ³ Utah Point – dredging 0.17 Mm ³ | Remove not relevant | | | |

List of Replacement Figures

Figure 5 Port Location and Infrastructure – Pilbara Iron Ore & Infrastructure Project: Port & North-South Railway (Stage A)

[Signed 6 February 2014]

Dr Paul Vogel CHAIRMAN Environmental Protection Authority under delegated authority



662,000

664,000

666,000

