# Referral of a Proposal by the Proponent to the Environmental Protection Authority under Section 38(1) of the *Environmental Protection Act 1986*.



#### **PURPOSE OF THIS FORM**

Section 38(1) of the *Environmental Protection Act 1986* (EP Act) provides that where a development proposal is likely to have a significant effect on the environment, a proponent may refer the proposal to the Environmental Protection Authority (EPA) for a decision on whether or not it requires assessment under the EP Act. This form sets out the information requirements for the referral of a proposal by a proponent.

Proponents are encouraged to familiarise themselves with the EPA's *General Guide* on *Referral of Proposals* [see Environmental Impact Assessment/Referral of Proposals and Schemes] before completing this form.

A referral under Section 38(1) of the EP Act by a proponent to the EPA must be made on this form. A request to the EPA for a declaration under Section 39B (derived proposal) must be made on this form. This form will be treated as a referral provided all information required by Part A has been included and all information requested by Part B has been provided to the extent that it is pertinent to the proposal being referred. Referral documents are to be submitted in two formats – hard copy and electronic copy. The electronic copy of the referral will be provided for public comment for a period of 7 days, prior to the EPA making its decision on whether or not to assess the proposal.

#### **CHECKLIST**

Before you submit this form, please check that you have:

|  | Yes      | No |
|--|----------|----|
| Completed all the questions in Part A (essential).                         | <b>~</b> |    |
| Completed all applicable questions in Part B.                              | <b>✓</b> |    |
| Included Attachment 1 – location maps.                                     | <b>~</b> |    |
| Included Attachment 2 – additional document(s) the proponent wishes        | ~        |    |
| to provide (if applicable).  |          |    |
| Included Attachment 3 – confidential information (if applicable).          | -        |    |
| Enclosed an electronic copy of all referral information, including spatial | ~        |    |
| data and contextual mapping but excluding confidential information.        |          |    |

following question (a response is optional). Do you consider the proposal requires formal environmental impact assessment? ☐ Not sure ✓ Yes No If yes, what level of assessment? Public Environmental Review → Assessment on Proponent Information PROPONENT DECLARATION (to be completed by the proponent) I, MICHAEL KLVAC , (full name) declare that I am authorised on behalf of RON ORE HOLDINGS . (being the person responsible for the proposal) to submit this form and further declare that the information contained in this form is true and not misleading. Name (print) MICHAFL KLUAC Signature Position LAND ACCESS + APPROVAGE KER COMPANY IRON ORE HOLDINGS LTD 14/11/12 Date

Following a review of the information presented in this form, please consider the

#### PART A - PROPONENT AND PROPOSAL INFORMATION

(All fields of Part A must be completed for this document to be treated as a referral)

## 1 PROPONENT AND PROPOSAL INFORMATION

### 1.1 Proponent

|   | T                                   |
|---|-------------------------------------|
| Name  | Iron Ore Holdings Limited           |
| Joint Venture parties (if applicable)   |                                     |
| Australian Company Number (if applicable)   | 17 107 492 517                      |
| Postal Address  | PO Box 1761                         |
| (where the proponent is a corporation or an association of persons, whether incorporated or not, the postal address is that of the principal place of business or of the principal office in the State) | West Perth, Western Australia, 6872 |
| Key proponent contact for the proposal:   | Michael Klvac                       |
| • name  | Land Access and Approvals Manager   |
| • address   | Iron Ore Holdings                   |
| • phone   | Level 1, 1 Altona Street            |
| • email   | West Perth, WA, 6005                |
|   | 9483 2000                           |
|   | MKIvac@ironoreholdings.com          |
| Consultant for the proposal (if applicable):  | Strategen Environmental Consultants |
| • name  | PO Box 243 Subiaco                  |
| • address   | WA 6904                             |
| • phone   | Ph 9380 3100                        |
| • email   | h.ventriss@strategen.com.au         |

## 1.2 Proposal

| Title  | Buckland Project   |
|--|--|
| Description                                  | The Proposal involves mining, processing and delivery of iron ore in the Pilbara region of Western Australia to a customer delivery point for sale to a second party. Mining is expected to commence in late 2014, reaching the nominal initial production rate of 4 million tonnes per annum (Mtpa) within 6 months and progressively increasing to an estimated production rate of 8 Mtpa. |
|  | Refer to Sections 1 (Introduction and Proposal background) and 2 (Proposal description) of attached Supporting Information for Environmental Referral (SIER) for more detail.  |
| Extent (area) of proposed ground disturbance | Approximately 1515 ha of vegetation within a Development Envelope is expected to be disturbed by the   |

|   | Proposal.  |
|---|--|
|   | Refer to Section 2.2 (Key Proposal characteristics) of SIER for more detail.   |
| Timeframe in which the activity or development is proposed to occur (including start and finish dates where applicable)   | Construction is anticipated to commence in Q3 2013 depending on receipt of all necessary approvals. The Proposal is expected to have an operational life of approximately 20 years until 2035.   |
| Details of any staging of the proposal  | The Proposal is expected to start producing by mid to late 2014 at a rate of 2 Mtpa of direct shipping ore, increasing within 6 months to 4 Mtpa before progressively increasing to 8 Mtpa.  |
| Is the proposal a strategic proposal?   | No   |
| Is the proponent requesting a declaration that the proposal is a derived proposal?  If so, provide the following information on the strategic assessment within which the referred proposal was identified:  • title of the strategic assessment; and | No   |
| <ul> <li>Ministerial Statement number.</li> </ul>   |  |
| Please indicate whether, and in what way, the proposal is related to other proposals in the region.   | The proposal is related to but independent of a proposed port development for a new export facility on the eastern side of Cape Preston, in the Pilbara region of Western Australia. The export facility is known as the Cape Preston East Project and will be the subject of a separate referral under Section 38 of the Environmental Protection Act 1986.                       |
| Does the proponent own the land on which the proposal is to be established? If not, what other arrangements have been established to access the land?   | IOH hold a mining lease (M 47/1464) for the minesite location. IOH will submit Miscellaneous Licence and General Purposes Lease applications under the <i>Mining Act 1978</i> , to facilitate access to land for the associated infrastructure before construction will commence.  Refer to Section 1.4 (Tenure and existing environmental approvals) of the SIER for more detail. |
| What is the current land use on the property, and the extent (area in hectares) of the property?  | The Proposal area is currently used for a mixture of pastoral, exploration, and mining activities. The main mining tenement is M 47/1464, comprising 1475 ha.  |

### 1.3 Location

| Name of the Shire in which the proposal is located.   | Shire of Ashburton   |
|---|--|
| For urban areas:  | N/A  |
| <ul><li>street address;</li></ul>   |  |
| lot number;   |  |
| suburb; and   |  |
| <ul> <li>nearest road intersection.</li> </ul>  |  |
| For remote localities:  | 45 km south-southwest of                                   |
| <ul><li>nearest town; and</li></ul>   | Pannawonica.   |
| <ul> <li>distance and direction from that town to the</li> </ul>                                    |  |
| proposal site.  | Refer to Section 1.1.2 (Location) of SIER for more detail. |
| Electronic copy of spatial data - GIS or CAD, geo-  |  |
| referenced and conforming to the following  | Enclosed.  |
| parameters:   |  |
| <ul> <li>GIS: polygons representing all activities and<br/>named;</li> </ul>                        |  |
| <ul> <li>CAD: simple closed polygons representing<br/>all activities and named;</li> </ul>          |  |
| datum: GDA94;   |  |
| <ul> <li>projection: Geographic (latitude/longitude)<br/>or Map Grid of Australia (MGA);</li> </ul> |  |
| format: Arcview shapefile, Arcinfo coverages, Microstation or AutoCAD.                              |  |

## 1.4 Confidential Information

| No.  |
|------|
| N/A. |
|      |

## 1.5 Government Approvals

| Is rezoning of any la<br>proposal can be implem<br>If yes, please provide de |  | No.   |  |
|--|--|---|--|
| Is approval required from  | m any Commonwealth or<br>ncy or Local Authority for<br>? | Yes.  |  |
| Agency/Authority   | Approval required  | Application lodged<br>Yes / No  | Agency/Local Authority contact(s) for proposal |
| DSEWPaC  | Controlled Action  | No – referral to be<br>submitted following<br>submission of s. 38<br>referral | Lachlan<br>Wilkinson                           |

| Department of Mines and Petroleum | Mining Proposal and licence and Miscellaneous Licence (Roads) |    | Adrian Wiley      |
|-----------------------------------|---|----|-------------------|
| Department of Water               | Sections 5C, 26D and 11/17/21A of RiWI Act                    | No | Gary<br>Humphries |
| DEC                               | Miscellaneous works approvals and licences                    | No | TBC               |

#### PART B - ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS AND PROPOSED MANAGEMENT

#### 2. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS

Describe the impacts of the proposal on the following elements of the environment, by answering the questions contained in Sections 2.1-2.11:

| ۵    |            | The quotient contained in coolene in in in  |
|------|------------|---|
|      | 2.1        | flora and vegetation;   |
|      | 2.2        | fauna;  |
|      | 2.3        | rivers, creeks, wetlands and estuaries;   |
|      | 2.4        | significant areas and/ or land features;  |
|      | 2.5        | coastal zone areas;   |
|      | 2.6        | marine areas and biota;   |
|      | 2.7        | water supply and drainage catchments;   |
|      | 2.8        | pollution;  |
|      | 2.9        | greenhouse gas emissions;   |
|      | 2.10       | contamination; and  |
|      | 2.11       | social surroundings.  |
| The  | se fea     | tures should be shown on the site plan, where appropriate.  |
| For  | all info   | ormation, please indicate:  |
|      | (a)        | the source of the information; and  |
|      | (b)        | the currency of the information.  |
| 2.1  | Flora      | a and Vegetation  |
| 2.1. | 1 Do       | you propose to clear any native flora and vegetation as a part of this proposal?  |
|      | the<br>200 | proposal to clear native vegetation may require a clearing permit under Part V of EP Act (Environmental Protection (Clearing of Native Vegetation) Regulations (04)]. Please contact the Department of Environment and Conservation (DEC) for re information. |
|      |            | (please tick) $\checkmark$ Yes If yes, complete the rest of this section.   |
|      |            | No If no, go to the next section  |
| 2.1. | 2 Ho       | w much vegetation are you proposing to clear (in hectares)?   |
|      |            | The maximum area of vegetation proposed for clearing will be approximately 1515 ha. Clearing will occur within a 7350 ha development envelope.  |
|      |            | Refer to Section 2.2 (Key Proposal characteristics) of SIER for more detail.  |
| 2.1. |            | ve you submitted an application to clear native vegetation to the DEC (unless are exempt from such a requirement)?  |
|      |            | ☐ Yes ✓ No If yes, on what date and to which office was the application submitted of the DEC?   |

| 2.1.4 | Are you aware oby this proposal | -                  | ra surveys carried out over the area to be disturbed   |
|-------|---------------------------------|--------------------|--|
|       | ✓ Yes                           | ☐ No               | <b>If yes</b> , please <u>attach</u> a copy of any related survey reports and <u>provide</u> the date and name of persons / companies involved in the survey(s).   |
|       |                                 |                    | <b>If no</b> , please do not arrange to have any biological surveys conducted prior to consulting with the DEC.  |
|       |                                 |                    | en surveyed, with further studies planned. Refer to Flora) and Appendix 2 of SIER for more detail.   |
| 2.1.5 |                                 |                    | for known occurrences of rare or priority flora or les been conducted for the site?  |
|       | ✓ Yes                           | ☐ No               | If you are proposing to clear native vegetation for any part of your proposal, a search of DEC records of known occurrences of rare or priority flora and threatened ecological communities will be required. Please contact DEC for more information. |
|       | Refer to Sed<br>detail.         | ction 5.1 (Vegeta  | tion and Flora) and Appendix 2 of SIER for more  |
| 2.1.6 | Are there any k communities on  |                    | es of rare or priority flora or threatened ecological  |
|       | ✓ Yes                           | ☐ No               | <b>If yes</b> , please indicate which species or communities are involved and provide copies of any correspondence with DEC regarding these matters.   |
|       | Refer to Sed                    | ction 5.1 (Flora a | nd Vegetation) and Appendix 2 of SIER for more   |
| 2.1.7 | or adjacent to                  | a listed Bush F    | politan Region, is the proposed development within Forever Site? (You will need to contact the Bush of the Flanning and Infrastructure)  |
|       | ☐ Yes                           | ☐ No               | <b>If yes</b> , please indicate which Bush Forever Site is affected (site number and name of site where appropriate).  |
|       | The Propos                      | al is not located  | within the Perth Metropolitan Region.  |
| 2.1.8 | What is the con-                | dition of the vege | etation at the site?   |
|       | Refer to Sed<br>detail.         | ction 5.1.2 (Desc  | ription of factor) and Appendix 2 of SIER for more   |
| 2.2 F | -<br>auna                       |                    |  |
| 2.2.1 | Do you expect t                 | hat any fauna or   | fauna habitat will be impacted by the proposal?  |
|       |                                 | ck) 4 Voc          |  |

| 2.2.2 | Describ          | e the nature a                   | nd extent o   | of the expected impact.  |
|-------|------------------|----------------------------------|---------------|--|
|       | Ref              | er to Section 5                  | 5.2 (Fauna)   | and Appendix 2 of SIER for more detail.  |
| 2.2.3 | -                | aware of any oroposal?           | recent fau    | na surveys carried out over the area to be disturbed   |
|       | •                | Yes                              | ☐ No          | <b>If yes</b> , please <u>attach</u> a copy of any related survey reports and <u>provide</u> the date and name of persons / companies involved in the survey(s). |
|       |                  |                                  |               | <b>If no</b> , please do not arrange to have any biological surveys conducted prior to consulting with the DEC.  |
|       | Ref              | er to Section 5                  | 5.2 (Fauna)   | and Appendix 2 of SIER for more detail   |
| 2.2.4 |                  |                                  |               | s for known occurrences of Specially Protected ted for the site?   |
|       | ~                | Yes                              | ☐ No          | (please tick)  |
|       |                  |                                  |               |  |
| 2.2.5 | Are the site?    | re any known                     | occurrence    | es of Specially Protected (threatened) fauna on the  |
|       | •                | Yes                              | □ No          | If yes, please indicate which species or communities are involved and provide copies of any correspondence with DEC regarding these matters.                     |
|       | Cor              | nsultation has<br>ential impacts | beèn undé     | and Appendix 2 of SIER for more detail.<br>rtaken with DEC regarding fauna studies and<br>rising from the Proposal (refer to Section 4 of                        |
| 2.3 F | Rivers, (        | Creeks, Wetla                    | nds and E     | stuaries   |
| 2.3.1 | Will the         | development                      | occur withi   | n 200 metres of a river, creek, wetland or estuary?  |
|       | (                | please tick)                     | ✓ Yes         | If yes, complete the rest of this section.   |
|       |                  |                                  | ☐ No          | If no, go to the next section.   |
| 2.3.2 | Will the         | development                      | result in the | e clearing of vegetation within the 200 metre zone?  |
|       | •                | Yes                              | ☐ No          | <b>If yes</b> , please describe the extent of the expected impact.   |
|       | Sec              | ctions 2.4.4 (S                  | urface and    | ent to Bungaroo Creek and its tributaries. Refer to groundwater management), 5.1 (Vegetation and r) of SIER for more detail.                                     |
| 2.3.3 | Will the estuary |                                  | result in th  | ne filling or excavation of a river, creek, wetland or   |

☐ No

If no, go to the next section.

|       | •                             | Yes  | ∐ No  | impact.   | uesci  | ibe tiii                               | e ext                         | ent o                             | ıııe                         | expedied                               |
|-------|-------------------------------|--|---|---|--|--|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|--|
|       | dis<br>wa<br>wit<br>Re<br>and | turbance to<br>tercourse in<br>h the most s<br>fer to Sectic             | some of the parts. The parts. The parts troops are constanted as 2.4.4 (Surface). | tect the pit from<br>creek bed and voroposed haul ro<br>ossings being at<br>rface and ground<br>te water manage               | vill ded<br>pad wi<br>the Fo<br>dwate  | crease<br>II also<br>ortescu<br>r mana | the<br>cros.<br>ue ar<br>agen | width<br>s cre<br>nd Ro<br>nent), | of the eks a sobe R<br>2.4.5 | e<br>nd rivers,<br>livers.<br>5 (Roads |
| 2.3.4 | Will th estuary               | •  | nent result i   | n the impound   | ment   | of a                                   | river,                        | cre                               | ek, v                        | vetland or                             |
|       |                               | ] Yes  | ✓ No  | If yes, please impact.  | descr  | ribe the                               | e ext                         | ent o                             | f the                        | expected                               |
| 2.3.5 | Will the                      | e developme  | ent result in d   | raining to a rive   | , cree   | k, wet                                 | land                          | or es                             | stuary                       | ·?                                     |
|       | <b>~</b>                      | Yes  | ☐ No  | If yes, please impact.  | descr  | ibe the                                | e ext                         | ent o                             | f the                        | expected                               |
| 2.3.6 | inte<br>ove<br>the<br>ma      | erval (ARI) e<br>erflow into t<br>Bungaroo<br>nagement)<br>u aware if th | events or gre<br>he pits. Pit<br>Creek syster<br>of SIER for n<br>ne proposal v   | ns experienced rater, the creek in inflows and surpoint. Refer to Second detail.  Will impact on a rang categories? (process) | evel of plus of the plus of th | could r<br>lewate<br>2.4.4 (S          | ise a<br>er wil<br>Surfa      | bove<br>I be<br>ce ai             | the lidisch                  | bunds and<br>arged into<br>oundwater   |
|       | Conser                        | vation Cate  | gory Wetland  | I   |  | Yes                                    | <b>~</b>                      | No                                | П                            | Unsure                                 |
|       | Environ<br>Agricult           |  | Protection<br>Vetlands) Pol   | (South Wes  | st $\Box$  | Yes                                    | <b>~</b>                      | No                                |                              | Unsure                                 |
|       | Perth's                       | Bush Forev   | er site   |   |  | Yes                                    | ~                             | No                                |                              | Unsure                                 |
|       |                               | mental Pro<br>Policy 1998  | •   | van & Cannin  | g $\square$  | Yes                                    | ~                             | No                                |                              | Unsure                                 |
|       |                               | inagement a<br>River Trust A   |   | ed in s4(1) of the  | е  | Yes                                    | ~                             | No                                |                              | Unsure                                 |
|       | becaus<br>waterbi             | e of the in  | nportance of  | ional agreemen<br>the wetland fo<br>ats (e.g. Ramsa   | r _  | Yes                                    | •                             | No                                |                              | Unsure                                 |
| 2.4   | Signific                      | ant Areas a  | nd/ or Land   | Features  |  |  |                               |                                   |                              |  |
| 2.4.1 |                               |  | evelopment le<br>ature Reserv   | ocated within or<br>e?  | adjac  | ent to                                 | an e                          | existi                            | ng or                        | proposed                               |
|       | ~                             | Yes  | ☐ No  | If yes, please  | provid   | de deta                                | ails.                         |                                   |                              |  |

The Proposal is located within the boundaries of the proposed West Hamersley Range Conservation Park.

| 2.4.2 | Are you aware of any Environmentally Sensitive Areas (as declared by the Ministe under Section 51B of the EP Act) that will be impacted by the proposed development?              |
|-------|---|
|       | ☐ Yes  ✓ No  If yes, please provide details.  |
|       | No gazetted Environmentally Sensitive Areas will be impacted by the proposal.   |
| 2.4.3 | Are you aware of any significant natural land features (e.g. caves, ranges etc) tha will be impacted by the proposed development?   |
|       | ✓ Yes   |
|       | The Proposal is located within an area of the Hamersley Ranges where there is known to be suitable gorge and cave habitat for a number of conservation significant fauna species. |
| 2.5   | Coastal Zone Areas (Coastal Dunes and Beaches)  |
| 2.5.1 | Will the development occur within 300 metres of a coastal area?   |
|       | (please tick) Yes If yes, complete the rest of this section.  |
|       | No If no, go to the next section.   |
| 2.5.2 | What is the expected setback of the development from the high tide level and from the primary dune?   |
|       | Not applicable  |
| 2.5.3 | Will the development impact on coastal areas with significant landforms including beach ridge plain, cuspate headland, coastal dunes or karst?                                    |
|       | ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ If yes, please describe the extent of the expected impact.   |
|       | Not applicable  |
| 2.5.4 | Is the development likely to impact on mangroves?   |
|       | ☐ Yes ☐ No <b>If yes</b> , please describe the extent of the expected impact.   |
|       | Not applicable  |

#### 2.6 Marine Areas and Biota

2.6.1 Is the development likely to impact on an area of sensitive benthic communities, such as seagrasses, coral reefs or mangroves?

|       | ☐ Yes                                   | ✓ No           | If yes, please describe the extent of the expected impact.  |
|-------|---|----------------|---|
| 2.6.2 | •                                       | reservation (  | mpact on marine conservation reserves or areas<br>as described in <i>A Representative Marine Reserve</i><br>ALM, 1994)?                       |
|       | ☐ Yes                                   | <b>√</b> No    | <b>If yes</b> , please describe the extent of the expected impact.  |
| 2.6.3 | Is the development or for commercial fi | •              | act on marine areas used extensively for recreationes?  |
|       | ☐ Yes                                   | ✓ No           | <b>If yes</b> , please describe the extent of the expected impact, and provide any written advice from relevant agencies (e.g. Fisheries WA). |
| 2.7 \ | Water Supply and I                      | Orainage Cat   | chments   |
| 2.7.1 | Are you in a proclai                    | med or propo   | osed groundwater or surface water protection area?  |
|       | `                                       | or your locati | Department of Water (DoW) for more information on<br>on, including the requirement for licences for water<br>W website)                       |
|       | ✓ Yes                                   | ☐ No           | If yes, please describe what category of area.  |
|       | Refer to Section                        | on 3.3.2 (Exis | thin the proposed Bungaroo Creek Water Reserve.<br>Sting and surrounding land use) of SIER for more<br>Posed Bungaroo Creek Water Reserve.    |
|       | licences are re                         | equired unde   | d within the Pilbara Groundwater Area, within which,<br>r the Rights in Water and Irrigation Act 1914 for<br>straction of groundwater.        |
| 2.7.2 | Are you in an existi area?              | ng or propos   | ed Underground Water Supply and Pollution Control   |
|       | •                                       | uding the red  | DoW for more information on the requirements for quirement for licences for water abstraction. Also,  |
|       | ☐ Yes                                   | ✓ No           | If yes, please describe what category of area.  |

| 2.7.3 | Are you in a Public Dri   | inking Wate  | r Supply <i>i</i>                                  | Area (PD  | WSA)?  |                             |                                    |                             |
|-------|---|--|--|---|--|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
|       | (You may need to co<br>website. A proposal<br>DoW.)   |  |  |   |  |                             |                                    |                             |
|       | ✓ Yes   | ☐ No   | If yes, area.                                      | please  | describe   | what                        | category                           | of                          |
|       | The Proposal is lo<br>Refer to Section 3<br>detail with regard t  | .3.2 (Existin  | the prop<br>g and sui                              | rrounding                                       | g land use   | e) of SII                   | ER for mo                          |                             |
| 2.7.4 | Is there sufficient water   | er available f   | for the pro  | oposal?   |  |                             |                                    |                             |
|       | (Please consult with the as you propose. Where  |  |  |   |  |                             |                                    |                             |
|       | ✓ Yes   | ☐ No   | (please  | tick)   |  |                             |                                    |                             |
|       | Dewatering is prop<br>from dewatering w<br>water in excess of<br>dewatering. Bores<br>meet construction<br>and wastewater tro | vill be used t<br>f water supp<br>s will be inst<br>r water requ | for mine a<br>oly demai<br>talled and<br>uirements | and asso<br>nd will be<br>I used se<br>:. Refer | ciated wa<br>e abstract<br>equentially<br>to Section | ter sup<br>ed to e<br>along | ply. Volu<br>enable ad<br>the haul | mes of<br>equate<br>road to |
| 2.7.5 | Will the proposal requi   | ire drainage   | of the lar   | nd?   |  |                             |                                    |                             |
|       | ☐ Yes •   | • No   | the drain  | nage be (<br>/ or V                             | ne site to<br>connected<br>Vater Co<br>provide de    | to an<br>orporati           | existing L                         | .ocal                       |
| 2.7.6 | Is there a water require  | ement for th   | ie constru   | ıction an                                       | d/ or oper   | ation o                     | f this prop                        | osal?                       |
|       | (please tick)   | ✓ Yes  | If ye  | s, comp   | lete the re  | st of th                    | is section                         |                             |
|       |   | ☐ No   | If no  | , go to tl                                      | ne next se   | ection.                     |                                    |                             |
| 2.7.7 | What is the water requ  | uirement for   | the cons   | truction a                                      | and opera  | tion of                     | this propo                         | osal, in                    |
|       | Total water supply construction and suppression and p   | operation)   | are exp  |   |  |                             | ***                                |                             |
|       | Refer to Sections and wastewater tre  | , -  | -  |   | •  | nd 2.5.                     | 4 (Water                           | supply                      |
| 2.7.8 | What is the proposed water etc.)  | source of  | water for  | the pro   | posal? (e  | .g. dar                     | n, bore, s                         | surface                     |
|       | Dewatering to all processing supply groundwater to m  | y. Bores ı   | will be ir   | nstalled  | along the  | e haul                      | road to                            | supply                      |

treatment) of SIER for more detail.

(Key Proposal characteristics) and 2.5.4 (Water supply and wastewater

## 2.8 Pollution

| 2.8.1 | Is there likely to be noise, vibration, gas pollutants? | •                 | •                  |                           |               |              |           |
|-------|---|-------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|---------------|--------------|-----------|
|       | (please tick)   | ✓ Yes             | If yes,            | complete                  | the rest of   | this section | n.        |
|       |   | ☐ No              | If no,             | go to the n               | ext section   | 1.           |           |
|       | Refer to Section s<br>detail.                           | 5.6 (Other en     | vironmenta         | al factors a              | nd issues)    | of SIER fo   | or more   |
| 2.8.2 | Is the proposal a Regulations 1987?                     | prescribed        | premise,           | under the                 | Environr      | nental Pi    | rotection |
|       | (Refer to the EPA's Section 38(1) of the E              |                   |                    |                           | 'roposals t   | to the EP    | 'A undei  |
|       | ✓ Yes   |                   | If yes, prescribed |                           | escribe w     | hat cate     | gory of   |
|       | The Proposal will categories:                           | be a prescrib     | bed premis         | e, based o                | n the follov  | ving possi   | ble       |
|       | Category 5  | 5 –Processing     | g or benefic       | ciation of m              | netallic or r | non-metall   | ic ore    |
|       | Category 6  | 6 – Mine dew      | atering            |                           |               |              |           |
|       | <ul> <li>Category a mining)</li> </ul>                  | 12 – Screenin     | ng etc of ma       | aterial (pric             | r to below    | -watertable  | e         |
|       | Category 5  | 54 – Sewage       | facility           |                           |               |              |           |
|       | Category 6  | 67 – Fuel buri    | ning               |                           |               |              |           |
|       | Category 8  | 39 –Putrescib     | ole landfill s     | ite.                      |               |              |           |
| 2.8.3 | Will the proposal resu                                  |                   |                    |                           |               |              |           |
|       | ✓ Yes   |                   |                    |                           | describe.     |              |           |
|       | Gaseous emissio<br>and utilisation of t                 |                   |                    |                           |               | power ge     | neration  |
| 2.8.4 | Have you done any r will be met, includin sources?      |                   |                    |                           |               |              |           |
|       | ☐ Yes   | <b>√</b> No       | If yes, ple        | ase briefly               | describe.     |              |           |
| 2.8.5 | Will the proposal resu                                  | ılt in liquid eff | luent disch        | arge?                     |               |              |           |
|       | ✓ Yes   | ☐ No              | <b>If yes</b> , p  | lease brie<br>tions and r | •             |              | -         |
|       | Wastewater will b<br>and wastewater t                   | _                 |                    |                           | ction 2.5.4   | (Water su    | ıpply     |

| 2.8 | If there is likely to be discharges to a watercourse or marine environment, has any analysis been done to demonstrate that the State Water Quality Management Strategy or other appropriate standards will be able to be met?  |
|-----|--|
|     | ☐ Yes  ✓ No  If yes, please describe.  |
|     | Under heavy flow conditions experienced during 100-year ARI events or greater, the creek level could rise above the bunds and overflow into the pits. Pit inflows and surplus dewater water be discharged into the Bungaroo Creek system. IOH is committed to ensuring all discharges occur following treatment in order to meet appropriate water quality parameters and in accordance with the site environmental licence. DoW will be consulted to ensure unacceptable impacts on the water resources of the Bungaroo Creek Water Reserve are avoided. Refer to Section 2.4.4 (Surface and groundwater management) of SIER for more detail. |
| 2.8 | .7 Will the proposal produce or result in solid wastes?  |
|     | ✓ Yes ☐ No If yes, please briefly describe the nature concentrations and disposal location/ method.  |

Refer to Section 2.5.9 (Refuse disposal/treatment) of the SIER for more detail.

| 2.0.0  | will the proposal res  | buit ii                             | ii sigi                                     | illicarii on-sii  | e noise  | 611119210112 ;  |   |                            |
|--------|--|-------------------------------------|---|---|--|---|---|----------------------------|
|        | ☐ Yes  | ~                                   | No  | If yes,   | olease b   | riefly describe   | <b>)</b> .  |                            |
|        |  |                                     |   |   |  |   |   |                            |
| 2.8.9  | Will the developm Regulations 1997?  | nent                                | be  | subject to  | the Ei   | nvironmental  | Protection  | (Noise)                    |
|        | ☐ Yes  | ~                                   | No  |   | trate th   | ny analysis b<br>at the propos<br>?   |   |                            |
|        |  |                                     |   | Please  | attach th  | ne analysis.  |   |                            |
|        | The proposed capproximately of understands the Environmental Famous homestead is the approximately 8 impacts from this negligible. | ne k<br>noi:<br>Prote<br>e on<br>km | ilome<br>se lev<br>ection<br>ly otl<br>from | etre away to r<br>vels at mine o<br>(Noise) Reg<br>ner potential t<br>the nearest p | mine ope<br>camps a<br>ulations<br>sensitive<br>point of t | erations; howe<br>re not subject<br>1997. Red H<br>receptor ider<br>he proposed i | ever the prop<br>to the<br>lill Station<br>ntified and is<br>haul road. N | oonent<br>located<br>loise |
| 2.8.10 | Does the proposal odour or another "sensitive premises include intensive a   | pollu<br>s" su                      | utant<br>ch as                              | that may at schools and   | fect the hospita   | amenity of als (proposals   | residents ar<br>in this cated   | nd other<br>Jory may       |
|        | ☐ Yes  | •                                   | No  |   |  | describe and and other "sens  |   |                            |
| 2.8.11 | If the proposal has located near a land  |                                     |   | •   |  |   | sitive premis   | ses", is it                |
|        | ☐ Yes  | •                                   | No  | ☐ Not   | Applica  | ble   |   |                            |
|        |  |                                     |   |   |  | escribe and p<br>pollution sour   |   | istance                    |
| 2.9 (  | Greenhouse Gas En  | nissi                               | ions  |   |  |   |   |                            |
| 2.9.1  | Is this proposal likely than 100 000 tonnes  | y to ı                              | resul                                       |   | _  | _   | , •   | ater                       |
|        | ☐ Yes  | •                                   | No  | gross e   | nissions   | rovide an esti<br>s in absolute a<br>ent figures.                                 |   |                            |
|        | The proposal is  | estir                               | nated                                       | d to emit app   | roximate   | ely 83 000 t Co   | <i>0₂-e/yr.</i>   |                            |
| 2.9.2  | Further, if yes, pleas   | se de                               | escrik                                      | oe proposed i   | neasure  | s to minimise   | emissions.  | and anv                    |

2.9.2 Further, if yes, please describe proposed measures to minimise emissions, and any sink enhancement actions proposed to offset emissions.

|        | 2.10 Contaminati  | on                              |                                      |  |
|--------|---|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| 2.10.1 | Has the property on activities which may h              |                                 |                                      | ated been used in the past for r contamination?  |
|        | ☐ Yes •   | No No                           | Unsure                               | If yes, please describe.   |
|        |   |                                 |                                      | rea, which was historically the activities have also occurred  |
| 2.10.2 | Has any assessment                                      | been done for                   | soil or groundwa                     | ter contamination on the site?   |
|        | ☐ Yes •   | · No                            | If yes, please de                    | escribe.   |
|        |   |                                 |                                      |  |
| 2.10.3 |   |                                 |                                      | under the <i>Contaminated Sites</i> proclamation of the CS Act)  |
|        | ☐ Yes •   | · No                            | If yes, please de                    | escribe.   |
|        |   |                                 |                                      |  |
| 2.11 S | ocial Surroundings                                      |                                 |                                      |  |
|        |   |                                 |                                      | is near a site of Aboriginal be disturbed?   |
|        | ✓ Yes   | ] No                            | Unsure                               | If yes, please describe.   |
|        | has maintained c<br>group and Yabura                    | lose consultat<br>ra Mardudhune | ion with the Ku<br>era claimant grou | mmunity Relations Policy and ruma Marthudunera claimant up traditional owners. Refer to original heritage) of the SIER |
| 2.11.2 | Is the proposal on a posterior (e.g. a major recreation |                                 |                                      | ar a site of high public interest<br>e)?   |

If yes, please describe. ✓ Yes ☐ No

The Proposal is located within the proposed West Hamersley Range Conservation Park boundary. Refer to Section 3.3.2 (Existing and surrounding land use) of the SIER for more detail.

2.11.3 Will the proposal result in or require substantial transport of goods, which may affect the amenity of the local area?

> If yes, please describe. ✓ Yes □ No

The proposal involves the daily movement of trucks along the haul road from the mine to the customer delivery point; however, this is not expected to significantly adversely affect the amenity of the local area. The proposal is not located near to existing sites significantly utilised for their visual amenity values.

## 3. PROPOSED MANAGEMENT

# 3.1 Principles of Environmental Protection

| 3.1.1 | Have you considered how your project gives attention as set out in section 4A of the EP Act? (For inform Environmental Protection, please see EPA Position Statute EPA website) | ation  | on the P                | rinciples of             |
|-------|---|--------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
|       | 1. The precautionary principle.   | •      | Yes                     | ☐ No                     |
|       | 2. The principle of intergenerational equity.   | •      | Yes                     | ☐ No                     |
|       | 3. The principle of the conservation of biological diversity and ecological integrity.  | ~      | Yes                     | ☐ No                     |
|       | 4. Principles relating to improved valuation, pricing and incentive mechanisms.   | ~      | Yes                     | ☐ No                     |
|       | 5. The principle of waste minimisation.   | •      | Yes                     | ☐ No                     |
| 3.1.2 | Is the proposal consistent with the EPA's EBulletins/Position Statements and Environ Guidelines/Guidance Statements (available on the EPA   ✓ Yes □ No                          | nme    |                         | Protection<br>Assessment |
| 3.2 ( | Consultation  |        |                         |                          |
| 3.2.1 | Has public consultation taken place (such as with oth community groups or neighbours), or is it intended the place?   |        |                         |                          |
|       | ✓ Yes No If yes, please list those comments or summare separate sheet.  |        | nsulted a<br>response   |                          |
|       | Refer to Section 4 (Community and other stakeholde the SIER for more detail.  | er cor | nsultation <sub>l</sub> | orogram) of              |