Transportation Noise Assessment

Cumulative Noise Impact from FMG, BHPIO & HDMS Railways to Noise Sensitive Receivers

Prepared For

Fortescue Metals Group

December 2004

Reference: Job 401173

Lloyd Acoustics

PO Box 717 HILLARYS WA 6923 Phone: 08 9300 4188 Fax: 08 9300 4199

Email: info@lloydacoustics.com.au



Report: Job No.-Report No.401173-02

This report has been prepared in accordance with the scope of services described in the contract or agreement between Lloyd Acoustics Pty Ltd ACN 097 356 093 and the Client. The report relies upon data, surveys, measurements and results taken at or under the particular times and conditions specified herein. Any findings, conclusions or recommendations only apply to the aforementioned circumstances and no greater reliance should be assumed or drawn by the Client. Furthermore, the report has been prepared solely for use by the Client, and Lloyd Acoustics Pty Ltd accepts no responsibility for its use by other parties.

Supplementary Report

| Approved for Issue: | Daniel Lloyd |
|---------------------|-------------------|
| Position: | Project Director |
| Date: | 22 December 20044 |

CONTENTS

| 1 | INTRODUCTION | _ 1 |
|---|----------------------------|-----|
| 2 | NOISE PREDICTION VARIABLES | _ 1 |
| 3 | RESULTS | _ 1 |
| 4 | DISCUSSION | 2 |

1 INTRODUCTION

This report is supplementary to the assessment of the rail noise impacts detailed in the Lloyd Acoustics' report *Pilbara Iron Ore and Infrastructure Project Stage A - Port and North-South Railway (September 2004)*, prepared for Fortescue Metals Group (FMG) as part of the Public Environmental Review (PER) documentation.

The assessment prepared for the PER did not include the proposed Hope Downs Management Services (HDMS) railway, as it was considered unlikely that the railway would be constructed in addition to the existing BHP Iron Ore (BHPIO) railway and the proposed FMG railway. However, following a request by the Department of Environment to include all three railways, the HDMS has been included and the overall impacts assessed to noise sensitive receivers adjacent to the railway corridors.

2 NOISE PREDICTION VARIABLES

From information regarding BHPIO operations, nine trains a day operate from Port Hedland to the mine sites. For the purpose of this assessment, 10 movements were assumed for the HDMS and FMG railway. The assumptions that were used in the rail noise assessment are as follows:

| Parameter | Value | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| Locomotive maximum noise level at 30m | 89 dB(A) | |
| Wagons maximum noise level at 30m | 78 dB(A) | |
| Train Speed | 40 km/h | |
| Height of Locomotive | 4 metres above rail head | |
| Train Length | 3200 metres | |
| Number of Train Movements/8 hours | 3.33 | |

Table 1 Assumptions Used in Noise Level Predictions

3 RESULTS

The results of the noise assessment are provided in *Table 2* and have been compared against the *preliminary* draft *EPA Guidance for Road and Rail Transportation Noise.* It should be noted that *Section 5.3.2* of the preliminary draft EPA Guidance document puts forward an allowable noise level increase, based on the rating of the noise level prior to the proposal. For locations where the existing Noise Amenity Ratings are all N0 (the lowest possible), the allowable noise level increase is the greater of 4 dB or the highest range of N0, being 40 dB L_{Aeq,8hour}.

Table 2 Comparison Between the Predicted Rail Noise Levels and the Preliminary Draft EPA Guidance Note

| Location | Existing Predicted Noise Level from BHPIO Trains | Allowable Increase Under the Guidance Note | Total Future Predicted Noise Level Excluding HDMS | Total Future Predicted Noise Level Including HDMS |
|----------------------|--|---|---|---|
| Port Hedland | 45 (N1) | 3 | 45 dB(A) | 45 dB(A) |
| Wedgefield | 44 (N1) | 3 | 47 dB(A) | 48 dB(A) |
| South Hedland | 42 (N1) | 3 | 44 dB(A) | 46 dB(A) |
| White Hills | 42 (N1) | 3 | 46 dB(A) | 49 dB(A) |
| Boodarie Station | 33 (N0) | 7 | 37 dB(A) | 39 dB(A) |
| Pipingarra Station | 40 (N0) | 4 | 41 dB(A) | 42 dB(A) |
| Wallareenya Station | 35 (N0) | 5 | 37 dB(A) | 38 dB(A) |
| Indee Station | 39 (N0) | 4 | 45 dB(A) | 47 dB(A) |
| Kagan Station | 22 (N0) | 18 | 25 dB(A) | 25 dB(A) |
| Abydos Station | 55 (N3) | 0.5 | 55 dB(A) | 56 dB(A) |
| Hillside Outcamp* | 27 (N0) | 13 | 31 dB(A) | 31 dB(A) |
| Mulga Downs Outcamp* | 41 (N1) | 4 | 44 dB(A) | 47 dB(A) |
| Marrillana Station | 47 (N2) | 1.5 | 49 dB(A) | 50 dB(A) |

Indicates where the preliminary draft EPA criteria is exceeded

4 DISCUSSION

The predicted $L_{Aeq~(8~hour)}$ noise levels from the combined existing BHPIO and the proposed FMG and HDMS railways are shown to exceed the *preliminary* draft *EPA Guidance for Road and Rail Transportation Noise* at a number of locations along the railway alignment. When compared to the transportation noise criteria of $L_{Aeq~(8~hour)}$ 55 dB, used by the DoE for similar proposals in Port Hedland, it can be seen that this criterion is only exceeded at Abydos Station. However, the criterion at this location is already exceeded by the BHPIO railway ($L_{Aeq~(8~hour)}$ 55.4 dB) and the predicted increase in noise levels is less than 1 dB. Stuff.

Reference: 401173-02.doc.

^{*} Indicated that premises is not a permanent residence