EPA REFERRAL FORM

Referral of a Proposal to the Environmental Protection Authority under Section 38 of the *Environmental Protection Act 1986*.

PURPOSE OF THIS FORM

Section 38 of the *Environmental Protection Act 1986* (EP Act) makes provision for the referral to the Environmental Protection Authority (EPA) of a proposal (significant proposals, strategic proposals and proposals under an assessed scheme) by a proponent, a decision making authority (DMA), or any other person.

The purpose of this form is to ensure that EPA has sufficient information about a proposal to make a decision about the nature of the proposal and whether or not the proposal should be assessed under Part IV of the EP Act. Information provided in the referral form must be brief (no more than 30 pages), sharp and succinct to achieve the purposes of this form.

This form does not prevent the referrer from providing a supplementary referral report. Should a referrer choose to submit a supplementary referral report please ensure the following.

- i. Information is short, sharp and succinct.
- ii. Attachments are below eight megabytes (8 MB) as they will be published on the EPA's website (exemptions apply) for public comment. To minimise file size, "flatten" maps and optimise pdf files.
- iii. Cross-references are provided in the referral form to the appropriate section/s in the supplementary referral report.

This form is to be used for all proposals¹ which can be referred to the EPA under section 38 of the EP Act; i.e. referrals from: **proponents** of proposals (significant proposals, strategic proposals, derived proposals, proposals under an assessed scheme); **DMAs** (significant proposals); and **third parties** (significant proposals).

This form is divided into several sections, including; Referral requirements and Declaration; Part A - Information of the proposal and proponent; and Part B Environmental Factors. Guidance on successfully completing this form is provided throughout the form and is also available in the EPA's *Environmental Assessment Guideline for Referral of a Proposal under s38 of the EP Act (EAG 16)*.

Send completed forms to

Office of the Environmental Protection Authority Locked Bag 10, East Perth WA 6892

or

Email: Registrar@epa.wa.gov.au

Enquiries

Office of the Environmental Protection Authority Locked Bag 10, East Perth WA 6892

Telephone: 6145 0800

Fax: 6145 0895

Email: <u>info@epa.wa.gov.au</u> Website: <u>www.epa.wa.gov.au</u>

¹ Please note that this form consolidates and replaces the following forms: Referral of a Proposal by the Proponent to the EPA under section 38(1) of the EP Act; Referral of a Proposal by a third party to the EPA under section 38(1) of the EP Act; and Referral of a development proposal to the EPA by the decision making authority.

Referral requirements and Declaration

The following section outlines the referral information required from a proponent, decision making authority and third party.

(a) Proponents

Proponents are expected to complete all sections of the form and provide GIS spatial data to enable the EPA to consider the referral. Spatial GIS data is necessary to inform the EPA's decision.

The EPA expects that a proponent will address Part B of the form as thoroughly as possible to demonstrate whether or not the EPA's objectives for environmental factors can be met.

If insufficient information is provided the EPA will request more information and processing of the referral will commence once the information is provided or the EPA decides to make a precautionary determination on the available information.

Proponent to complete before submitting form	
Completed all the questions in Part A (essential)	⊠ Yes □ No
Completed all the questions in Part B	⊠ Yes □ No
Completed all other applicable questions	⊠ Yes □ No
Included Attachment 1 – any additional document(s) the proponent wishes to provide	⊠ Yes □ No
Included Attachment 2 – confidential information (if applicable)	☐ Yes ☐ No not applicable
Enclosed an electronic copy of all referral information, including spatial data and contextual mapping but clearly separating any confidential information	⊠ Yes □ No
Completed the Declaration	⊠ Yes □ No
What is the type of proposal being referred? * a referred proposal seeking to be declared a derived proposal	☑ significant☐ strategic☐ derived*☐ under an assessed scheme
Do you consider the proposal requires formal environmental impact assessment?	⊠ Yes □ No
If yes, what level of assessment? API = Assessment of Proponent Information PER = Public Environmental Review	□ API Category A □ API Category B □ PER

NB: The EPA may apply an Assessment on Proponent Information (API) level of assessment when the proponent has provided sufficient information about:

- the proposal;
- the proposed environmental impacts;
- the proposed management of the environmental impacts; and
- when the proposal is consistent with API criteria outlined in the <u>Environmental Impact</u>
 Assessment (Part IV Division 1 and 2) Administrative Procedures 2012.

If an API A formal level of assessment is considered appropriate, please refer to Environmental Assessment Guideline No. 14 Preparation for an Assessment on Proponent Information (Category A) Environmental Review Document EAG 14 (EAG14).

Declaration

I, Gavin Price declare that I am authorised on behalf of BHP Billiton Iron Ore Pty Ltd to submit this form and further declare that the information contained in this form is true and not misleading.

Signature	Phere	Name (print)	iavin Pri	ce
Position	Head of Environment	Organisation	BHP Billiton Iron	Ore Pty Ltd
Email	Gavin.Price@bhpbilliton.co	om		
Address	Level 39/125	St Georges Terra	ace	
	Perth		WA	6000
Date	18 May 2015			

(b) Decision-making authority

The EPA expects decision-making authorities to complete applicable sections of Part A of the form and provide the proponent an opportunity to provide additional information in Part B of the form where appropriate.

Wherever possible the DMA should obtain relevant spatial information from the proponent and provide this to the EPA with the referral.

DMA to comp	lete before submitting for	m			
Completed all the questions in Part A (essential)			☐ Yes	□No	
Provided Part	B to the proponent for comp	oletion		☐ Yes	□No
Completed all	other applicable questions			☐ Yes	□ No
Included Attac	hment 1 – any supporting ir	nformation		☐ Yes	□ No
	lectronic copy of all referral al data and contextual map			Yes	☐ No
Completed the	e below Declaration			☐ Yes	☐ No
	er the proposal requires for impact assessment?	mal		Yes	□No
What is the type	pe of proposal being referre	d?	sig	nificant pro	oposal
			nificant pro	oposal under scheme	
Declaration I,, (full name) submit this referral to the EPA for consideration of the environmental significance of its impacts.					
Signature		Name (print)			
Position		Organisation			
Email					
Address	Street No.	Street Name			
	Suburb		State	Po	ostcode
Date					

(c) Third Party

Third parties are asked to have consideration for the Significance Test outlined in Part A Section 1.5 of this form before referring a significant proposal to the EPA. The EPA will only consider proposals that are likely, if implemented, to have a significant effect on the environment.

Third parties are to provide sufficient information to clearly identify the significant proposal, the proponent, and their reasons for referring the proposal. This can be done by completing as much of Part A of the form as possible, taking into consideration the information available. Third parties may wish to fill in Part B of the form to advance their own views of the significance of the environmental impacts and the need for EPA assessment.

In most cases the EPA will seek additional information from the proponent. This will be to confirm or amend the identity of the proponent, the proposal, and to allow the proponent opportunity to provide its views on the significance of the environmental impacts and the need for EPA assessment.

Third Party to complete before submitting form				
Complete all ap	I applicable questions in Part A and B			
Completed the	Declaration			☐ Yes ☐ No
Do you conside assessment?	r the proposal require	s formal environmenta	l impact	☐ Yes ☐ No
Declaration I,, (full name) submit this referral to the EPA for consideration of the environmental significance of its impacts.				
Signature	Signature Name (print)			
Email				
Position		Organisation		
Address	Street No.	Street Name		
	Suburb		State	Postcode
Date				

PART A: Information on the proposal and the proponent

All fields of Part A must be completed by the proponent and/or decision-making authority for this document to be processed as a referral. Third party referrers are only expected to fill in the fields they have information for.

1 PROPONENT AND PROPOSAL DESCRIPTION

1.1 The proponent of the proposal

Proponent and/or DMA to complete	
Name of the proponent	BHP Billiton Iron Ore Pty Ltd – acting as manager and agent for the Mount Newman Joint Venture (NJV)
Joint Venture parties (if applicable)	 BHP Billiton Minerals Pty Ltd (ABN 93 008 694 782) 85%;
	 Mitsui – Itochu Iron Pty Ltd (ABN 84 008 702 761) 10%; and
	 Itochu Minerals & Energy of Australia Pty (ABN 44 009 256 259) 5%.
Australian Company Number(s) (if applicable)	4600870098
Postal Address	125 St Georges Terrace
(Where the proponent is a corporation or an association of persons, whether incorporated or not, the postal address is that of the principal place of business or of the principal office in the State)	Perth WA 6000
Key proponent contact for the proposal Please include: name; physical address; phone; and email.	Mark Garrahy Manager Environment Approvals BHP Billiton Iron Ore Pty Ltd 125 St Georges Terrace PERTH WA 6000 Office Phone Number: 6321 2181 Email: Mark.Garrahy@bhpbilliton.com
Consultant for the proposal (if applicable)	
Please include: name; physical address; phone; and email.	N/A

1.2 Proposal

Proposal is defined under the EP Act to mean a "project, plan, programme policy, operation, undertaking or development or change of land use, or amendment of any of the foregoing, but does not include scheme". Before completing this section please refer to Environmental Protection Bulletin 17 — Strategic and derived proposals (EPB 17) and Environmental Assessment Guideline for Defining the Key Characteristics of a proposal (EAG 1).

Proponent and/or DMA to complete	
Title of the proposal	
What project phase is the proposal at?	☐ Scoping☐ Feasibility☑ Detailed design☐ Other

Proponent and/or DMA to complete	
Proposal type More than one proposal type can be identified, however for filtering purposes it is recommended that only the primary proposal type is identified.	Power/Energy Generation Hydrocarbon Based – coal Hydrocarbon Based – gas Waste to energy Renewable – wind Renewable – wave Renewable – solar Renewable – geothermal
	Mineral / Resource Extraction Exploration – seismic Exploration – geotechnical Development
	☐ Oil and Gas Development ☐ Exploration ☐ Onshore – seismic ☐ Onshore – geotechnical ☐ Onshore – development ☐ Offshore – seismic ☐ Offshore – geotechnical ☐ Offshore – development
	☐ Industrial Development ☐ Processing ☐ Manufacturing ☐ Beneficiation
	□ Land Use and Development □ Residential – subdivision □ Residential – development □ Commercial – subdivision □ Commercial – development □ Industrial – subdivision □ Industrial – development □ Agricultural – subdivision □ Agricultural – development □ Tourism
	☐ Linear Infrastructure ☐ Rail ☐ Road ☐ Power Transmission ☐ Water Distribution ☐ Gas Distribution ☐ Pipelines
	 Water Resource Development □ Desalination □ Surface or Groundwater □ Drainage □ Pipelines

Proponent and/or DMA to complete	
	 Marine Developments Port Jetties Marina Canal Aquaculture Dredging
	If other, please state below: Other
Proponent and/or DMA to complete	
Description of the proposal – describe the key characteristics of the proposal in accordance with <u>EAG 1</u> .	In summary, the key components of the Proposal are listed below: • campaign open pit mining at a base mining rate of 5 Mtpa; and
	 associated infrastructure, stockpiles and access roads. The Proposal will involve clearing up to 350 hectares of native vegetation within a Proposal Development Envelope of 414 hectares. The Key Characteristics Table for the proposal is provided in Section 1.3 of the Environmental Referral Document.
Timeframe in which the proposal is to occur (including start and finish dates where applicable).	BHP Billiton Iron Ore is seeking to commence construction in Quarter 4 of the 2015 calendar year.
Details of any staging of the proposal.	N/A
What is the current land use on the property, and the extent (area in hectares) of the property?	The current land use is BHP Billiton Iron Ore exploration activities under approved Native Vegetation Clearing Permits. The underlying tenure is State Agreement Mineral Lease ML244SA, which extends from the Newman Township across the Proposal Development Envelope and out east towards and including Jimblebar.
Have pre-referral discussions taken place with the OEPA?	Yes: • 5 March 2014 (Sally Bowman and
If yes, please provide the case number. If a case number was not provided, please state the date of the meeting and names of attendees.	Peter Tapsell) • 4 May 2015 (Sally Bowman, Vanessa Angus and John Guld) Case number: CMS15056
DMA (Responsible Authority) to complete	
For a proposal under an assessed scheme (as defined in section 3 of the EP Act, applicable only to the proponent and DMA) provide details (in an	

Proponent and/or DMA to complete	
attachment) as to whether:	
 The environmental issues raised by the proposal were assessed in any assessment of the assessed scheme. 	
The proposal complies with the assessed scheme and any environmental conditions in the assessed scheme.	

1.3 Strategic / derived proposals

Complete this section if the proposal being referred is a strategic proposal or you are seeking the proposal to be declared a derived proposal. Note: Only a proponent may refer a strategic proposal and seek a proposal to be declared a derived proposal.

Proponent to complete	
Is this referred proposal a strategic proposal?	☐ Yes ⊠ No
Are you seeking that this proposal be declared a derived proposal?	☐ Yes ⊠ No
If you are seeking that this proposal be declared a derived proposal, what is the Ministerial Statement number (MS #) of the associated strategic proposal?	MS #:

1.4 Location

Proponents and DMAs must provide spatial data. Please refer to <u>EAG 1</u> for more detail.

Proponent, DMA and Third Party to complete	
Name of the Local Government Authority in which the proposal is located.	Shire of East Pilbara
Location: a) street address; lot number; suburb; and nearest road intersection; or b) if remote the nearest town; and distance and direction from that town to the proposal site.	Orebody 32 deposit located west of Orebody 24 Mine, and approximately 10 km north-east of the town of Newman
Have maps and figures been included with the referral (consistent with <u>EAG 1</u> where appropriate)? The types of maps and figures which need to be provided (depending on the nature of the proposal) include: • maps showing the regional location and context of the proposal; and • figures illustrating the proposal elements.	⊠ Yes □ No
Proponent and DMA to complete	
Have electronic copies of spatial data been included with the referral?	⊠ Yes □ No
NB: Electronic spatial (GIS or CAD) data, geo-referenced and conforming to the following parameters:	
 GIS: polygons representing all activities and named; CAD: simple closed polygons representing all activities and named; 	
• datum: GDA94;	
 projection: Geographic (latitude/longitude) or Map Grid of Australia (MGA); 	
 format: ESRI geodatabase or shapefile, MapInfo Interchange Format, Microstation or AutoCAD 	

1.5 Significance test and environmental factors

Proponent, DMA and Third Party to complete		
What are the likely significant	☐ Benthic Communities and Habitat	
environmental factors for this proposal?	☐ Coastal Processes	
	☐ Marine Environmental Quality	
	☐ Marine Fauna	
	⊠ Flora and Vegetation	
	☐ Landforms	
	Subterranean Fauna (note that Troglofauna was assessed as a preliminary key factor, however following assessment, BHP Billiton Iron Ore is of the view that this factors meets the OEPA objective, and is therefore, not considered significant).	
	☐ Terrestrial Environmental Quality	
	☐ Terrestrial Fauna	

Proponent, DMA and Third Party to complete		
	☐ Hydrological Processes	
	☐ Inland Waters Environmental Quality	
	☐ Air Quality & Atmospheric Gases	
	☐ Amenity	
	☐ Heritage	
	☐ Human Health	
	☐ Offsets	
	Rehabilitation and Decommissioning	
I having regard to the eigenneance root	BHP Billiton Iron Ore has carried out a thorough	
(refer to Section 7 of the <i>EIA</i>	and comprehensive environmental impact	
Administrative Procedures 2012) in what	assessment process to review the potential	
ways as year sorisias; and proposal may	impacts of the Proposal on the environmental values of the area. Following this assessment	
Thave a digitificant check on the	BHP Billiton Iron Ore is of the opinion that the	
	Proposal meets the requirements of the	
1	significance test in relation to assessment due to	
	the potential extent of likely impacts.	
or subject to the Freedom of Information Act 1992	unless authorised for exemption under the EP Act 2.	
Proponent to complete		
Does the proponent request that the EPA treat any part of the referral information as confidential?	☐ Yes ⊠ No	
Ensure all confidential information is provided in a separate attachment in hard copy.	7	
 2 REGULATORY CONSIDERATIONS This section applies to the Local, State and Commonwealth regulatory considerations for the referred proposal. 2.1 Government approvals 2.1.1 State or Local Government approvals 		
DMA to complete		
What approval(s) is (are) required from you as a decision-making authority?	A	
Is rezoning of any land required before the proposal can be implemented? If yes, please provide details.	Yes No	

2.1.2 Regulation of aspects of the proposal

Complete the following to the extent possible.

Proponent to complete	
Do you have legal access required for the implementation of all aspects of the proposal?	⊠ Yes □ No
If yes, provide details of legal access authorisations / agreements / tenure.	The Proposal is located on Mineral Lease ML244SA, granted pursuant
If no, what authorisations / agreements / tenure is required and from whom?	to the Iron Ore (Mount Newman) Agreement Act 1964.

Outline both the existing approvals and approvals that will be / are being sought as a part of this proposal.

Proponent to complete			
Aspects* of the proposal	Type of approval	Legislation regulating this activity	Which State agency /entity regulate this activity?
N/A			

^{*}e.g. mining, processing, dredging

2.1.3 Commonwealth Government *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999* approvals

Refer to the <u>assessment bilateral agreement</u> between the Commonwealth of Australia and the State of Western Australia for assistance on this section.

Pro	oponent to complete	
1.	Does the proposal involve an action that may be or is a controlled action under the <i>Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999</i> (EPBC Act)?	☐ Yes ☒ No If no continue to Part A section 2.3.4.
2.	What is the status of the decision on whether or not the action is a controlled action?	 □ Proposal not yet referred □ Proposal referred, awaiting decision □ Assessed – controlled action □ Assessed – not a controlled action
3.	If the action has been referred, when was it referred and what is the reference number (Ref #)?	Date: Ref #:
4.	If the action has been assessed, provide the decision in an attachment. Has an attachment been provided?	☐ Yes ☐ No
5.	Do you request this proposal to be assessed under the bilateral agreement?	☐ Yes ☐ No

Complete the following to the extent possible for the Public Comment of EPBC Act referral documentation.

Proponent to complete	
Have you invited the public to comment on your referral documentation?	☐ Yes ☐ No
7. How was the invitation published?	newspaper website
8. Did the invitation include all of the following?	
(a) brief description of the action	☐ Yes ☐ No
(b) the name of the action	☐ Yes ☐ No
(c) the name of the proponent	☐ Yes ☐ No
(d) the location of the action	☐ Yes ☐ No
(e) the matters of national environmental significance that will be or are likely to be significantly impacted	☐ Yes ☐ No
(f) how the relevant documents may be obtained	☐ Yes ☐ No
(g) the deadline for public comments	☐ Yes ☐ No
(h) available for public comment for 14 calendar days	☐ Yes ☐ No
(i) the likely impacts on matters of national environmental significance	☐ Yes ☐ No
(j) any feasible alternatives to the proposed action	☐ Yes ☐ No
(k) possible mitigation measures	☐ Yes ☐ No
Were any submissions received during the public comment period?	☐ Yes ☐ No
Have public submissions been addressed? If yes provide attachment.	☐ Yes ☐ No

2.1.4 Other Commonwealth Government Approvals

Proponent, DMA and Third Party to complete				
Is approval required from other Commonwealth Government/s for any part of the proposal?		li li	· yes, plea	Yes No
Agency / Authority	Approval required	Applio lodg		Agency / Local Authority contact(s) for proposal
		☐ Yes	☐ No	
		☐ Yes	☐ No	

3. SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Please attach copies of any relevant information on the proposal, supporting evidence and / or existing environmental surveys, studies or monitoring information undertaken and list the documents below.

Proponent, DMA and Third Party to complete			
(1)	Orebody 32 East AWT Project Environmental Referral Document	BHP Billiton Iron Ore Pty Ltd	Proposal supporting document which adheres to the EPA's recently released Environmental Assessment Guideline 14, Preparation of an API-A Environmental Review Document (EPA, 2015).

PART B: ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS

The purpose of Part B is to assist the EPA to determine the significance of the likely environmental impacts of the proposal in accordance with the EPA's *Environmental Assessment Guideline for Environmental factors and objectives* (EAG 8) and *Environmental Assessment Guideline for Application of a significant framework in the EIA process* (EAG 9). Referrers completing Part B should refer closely to EAG 8 and EAG 9.

The EPA has prepared <u>Referral of a Proposal under s38 of the EP Act EAG No.16 - Appendix A</u> (Appendix A) to assist in identifying factors and completing the below table. Further guidance can be found in the guidance and policy documents cited in Appendix A under each factor.

How to complete Part B

For each environmental factor, that is likely to be significantly impacted by the implementation of the proposal, make a copy of the table below and insert a summary of the relevant information relating to the proposal. The table can be broken down into more than one table per factor, if the need arises. For example the hydrological processes factor can be presented in two separate tables, one for surface water and one for groundwater, or similarly one for construction and one for operations.

For complex proposals a supplementary referral report can be provided in addition to the referral form. If this option is chosen the table must still be completed (summaries are acceptable) to assist the Office of the EPA with statistical reporting and filtering proposals for processing.

Proponents expecting an API level of assessment must provide information in accordance with the EPA's *Environmental Assessment Guideline for Preparation of an API-A environmental review document* (EAG 14).

For \underline{each} of the significant environmental factors, complete the following table (Questions 1 – 10).

POTE	POTENTIAL KEY FACTOR – FLORA AND VEGETATION		
1	Factor, as defined in <u>EAG 8</u>	Flora and Vegetation	
2	EPA Objective, as defined in <u>EAG 8</u>	To maintain representation, diversity, viability and ecological function at the species, population and community level	
3	Guidance - what established policies, guidelines, and standards apply to this factor in relation to the proposal?	Position Statement No. 2, Environmental Protection of Native Vegetation in Western Australia: Clearing of native vegetation with particular reference to agricultural areas (EPA 2000a); Position Statement No. 3, Terrestrial Biological Surveys as an element of Biodiversity Protection (EPA 2002a); Guidance Statement No. 51, Terrestrial Flora and Vegetation Surveys for Environmental Impact Assessment in WA (EPA 2004a) Checklist for Documents Submitted for EIA on Marine and Terrestrial Biodiversity (EPA 2010b).	

POTE	NTIAL KEY FACTOR – FLORA AND VEGETATION	
4	Consultation - outline the need for consultation and the outcomes of any consultation in relation to the potential environmental impacts, including: • anticipated level of public interest in the impact; • consultation with regulatory agencies; and • consultation with community.	BHP Billiton Iron Ore has consulted with the Office of Environmental Protection Authority (OEPA) regarding the proposed clearing of vegetation in 'Good-to-Excellent' condition. BHP Billiton Iron Ore has also consulted with the Department of Parks and Wildlife (DPaW) regarding this factor. The DPaW has reviewed the Proposal and advised it does not need to provide comments.
5	Baseline information - describe the relevant characteristics of the receiving environment.	Details are provided in Table 9 of the Referral supporting document.
	This may include: regional context; known environmental values, current quality, sensitivity to impact, and current level of cumulative impacts.	
6	Impact assessment - describe the potential impact/s that may occur to the environmental factor as a result of implementing the proposal.	Details are provided in Table 9 of the Referral supporting document.
7	Mitigation measures - what measures are proposed to mitigate the potential environmental impacts? The following should be addressed:	Details are provided in Table 9 of the Referral supporting document.
	 Avoidance - avoiding the adverse environmental impact altogether; 	
	Minimisation - limiting the degree or magnitude of the adverse impact;	
	Rehabilitate – restoring the maximum environmental value that is reasonably practicable; and	
	Offsets – actions that provide environmental benefits to counterbalance significant residual environmental impacts or risks of a project or activity.	

POTE	NTIAL KEY FACTOR – FLORA AND VEGETATION	
8	Residual impacts – review the residual impacts against the EPA objectives.	Details are provided in Table 9 of the Referral supporting document.
	It is understood that the extent of any significant residual impacts may be hard to quantify at the referral stage. Referrers are asked to provide, as far as practicable, a discussion on the likely residual impacts and form a conclusion on whether the EPA's objective for this factor would be met if residual impacts remain. This will require:	
	quantifying the predicted impacts (extent, duration, etc.) acknowledging any uncertainty in predictions;	
	putting the impacts into a regional or local context, incorporating knowable cumulative impacts; and	
	comparison against any established environmental policies, guidelines, and standards.	
9	EPA's Objective – from your perspective and based on your review, which option applies to the proposal in relation to this factor? Refer to EAG 9	 ☐ meets the EPA's objective ☐ may meet the EPA's objective ☐ is unlikely to meet the EPA's objective
10	Describe any assumptions critical to your conclusion (in Question 9). e.g. particular mitigation measures or regulatory conditions.	Implementation conditions are suggested in Appendix M of the Referral supporting document.

POTEN	POTENTIAL KEY FACTOR – SUBTERRENEAN FAUNA		
1	Factor, as defined in <u>EAG 8</u>	Subterranean Fauna (Troglofauna)	
2	EPA Objective, as defined in <u>EAG 8</u>	To maintain representation, diversity, viability and ecological function at the species, population and assemblage level.	
3	Guidance - what established policies, guidelines, and standards apply to this factor in relation to the proposal?	EPA Position Statement No. 3, Terrestrial Biological Surveys as an Element of Biodiversity Protection (EPA, 2002a). EPA Guidance No. 56, Terrestrial Fauna Surveys for Environmental Impact Assessment in Western Australia (EPA, 2004b).	

POTE	NTIAL KEY FACTOR – SUBTERRENEAN FAUNA	
4	Consultation - outline the need for consultation and the outcomes of any consultation in relation to the potential environmental impacts, including: • anticipated level of public interest in the impact; • consultation with regulatory agencies; and • consultation with community.	BHP Billiton Iron Ore has carried out a thorough impact assessment and has assessed Subterranean Fauna (troglofauna) as a preliminary key factor in the referral supporting document, however, does not consider that Subterranean Fauna is a key factor and does not warrant specific conditioning. BHP Billiton Iron Ore has also consulted with the DPaW regarding troglofauna and the DPaW has chosen not to provide any comments with regards to this factor.
5	Baseline information - describe the relevant characteristics of the receiving environment. This may include: regional context; known environmental values, current quality, sensitivity to impact, and current level of cumulative impacts.	Details are provided in Table 10 of the Referral supporting document.
6	Impact assessment - describe the potential impact/s that may occur to the environmental factor as a result of implementing the proposal.	Details are provided in Table 10 of the Referral supporting document.
7	 Mitigation measures - what measures are proposed to mitigate the potential environmental impacts? The following should be addressed: Avoidance - avoiding the adverse environmental impact altogether; Minimisation - limiting the degree or magnitude of the adverse impact; Rehabilitate - restoring the maximum environmental value that is reasonably practicable; and Offsets - actions that provide environmental benefits to counterbalance significant residual environmental impacts or risks of a project or activity. 	Details are provided in Table 10 of the Referral supporting document.

POTENTIAL KEY FACTOR – SUBTERRENEAN FAUNA		
8	Residual impacts – review the residual impacts against the EPA objectives.	Details are provided in Table 10 of the Referral supporting document.
	It is understood that the extent of any significant residual impacts may be hard to quantify at the referral stage. Referrers are asked to provide, as far as practicable, a discussion on the likely residual impacts and form a conclusion on whether the EPA's objective for this factor would be met if residual impacts remain. This will require:	
	quantifying the predicted impacts (extent, duration, etc.) acknowledging any uncertainty in predictions;	
	putting the impacts into a regional or local context, incorporating knowable cumulative impacts; and	
	comparison against any established environmental policies, guidelines, and standards.	
9	EPA's Objective – from your perspective and based on your review, which option applies to the proposal in relation to this factor? Refer to EAG 9	□ meets the EPA's objective □ may meet the EPA's objective □ is unlikely to meet the EPA's objective
10	Describe any assumptions critical to your conclusion (in Question 9). e.g. particular mitigation measures or regulatory conditions.	Implementation conditions are suggested in Appendix M of the Referral supporting document.

POTEN	POTENTIAL KEY FACTOR – OFFSETS		
1	Factor, as defined in <u>EAG 8</u>	Offsets	
2	EPA Objective, as defined in <u>EAG 8</u>	To counterbalance any significant residual environmental impacts or uncertainty through the application of offsets	
3	Guidance - what established policies, guidelines, and standards apply to this factor in relation to the proposal?	WA Environmental Offsets Policy 2011 WA Environmental Offsets Guidelines Environmental Protection Bulletin No. 1 - Environmental Offsets – Biodiversity WA environmental offsets template	

POTEN	POTENTIAL KEY FACTOR – OFFSETS		
4	Consultation - outline the need for consultation and the outcomes of any consultation in relation to the potential environmental impacts, including: • anticipated level of public interest in the impact; • consultation with regulatory agencies; and • consultation with community.	BHP Billiton Iron Ore has reviewed the recently published <i>WA Environmental Offsets Guidelines</i> (WA Government, 2014) and completed the <i>Offsets Form</i> as part of this Proposal.	
		BHP Billiton Iron Ore will address offsets in accordance with the Offsets Guidelines (WA Government, 2014 – or its revisions).	
5	Baseline information - describe the relevant characteristics of the receiving environment.	Details are provided in Table 11 of the Referral supporting document.	
	This may include: regional context; known environmental values, current quality, sensitivity to impact, and current level of cumulative impacts.		
6	Impact assessment - describe the potential impact/s that may occur to the environmental factor as a result of implementing the proposal.	Details are provided in Table 11 of the Referral supporting document.	
7	Mitigation measures - what measures are proposed to mitigate the potential environmental impacts? The following should be addressed:	Details are provided in Table 11 of the Referral supporting document.	
	Avoidance - avoiding the adverse environmental impact altogether;		
	Minimisation - limiting the degree or magnitude of the adverse impact;		
	Rehabilitate – restoring the maximum environmental value that is reasonably practicable; and		
	Offsets – actions that provide environmental benefits to counterbalance significant residual environmental impacts or risks of a project or activity.		

POTENTIAL KEY FACTOR – OFFSETS		
8	Residual impacts – review the residual impacts against the EPA objectives.	Details are provided in Table 11 of the Referral supporting document.
	It is understood that the extent of any significant residual impacts may be hard to quantify at the referral stage. Referrers are asked to provide, as far as practicable, a discussion on the likely residual impacts and form a conclusion on whether the EPA's objective for this factor would be met if residual impacts remain. This will require:	
	quantifying the predicted impacts (extent, duration, etc.) acknowledging any uncertainty in predictions;	
	putting the impacts into a regional or local context, incorporating knowable cumulative impacts; and	
	comparison against any established environmental policies, guidelines, and standards.	
9	EPA's Objective – from your perspective and based on your review, which option applies to the proposal in relation to this factor? Refer to EAG 9	 ☐ meets the EPA's objective ☐ may meet the EPA's objective ☐ is unlikely to meet the EPA's objective
10	Describe any assumptions critical to your conclusion (in Question 9). e.g. particular mitigation measures or regulatory conditions.	Implementation conditions are suggested in Appendix M of the Referral supporting document.

POTENTIAL KEY FACTOR – REHABILITATION AND DECOMMISSIONING		
1	Factor, as defined in <u>EAG 8</u>	Rehabilitation and decommissioning
2	EPA Objective, as defined in <u>EAG 8</u>	To ensure that premises are decommissioned and rehabilitated in an ecologically sustainable manner.
3	Guidance - what established policies, guidelines, and standards apply to this factor in relation to the proposal?	EPA Guidance Statement No. 6 Rehabilitation of Terrestrial Ecosystems (EPA, 2006a) Guidelines for Preparing Mine Closure Plans (DMP and EPA, 2015) Leading Practice Sustainable Development Program for the Mining Industry - Managing Acid and Metalliferous Drainage (DITR, 2007) EPA involvement in mine closure, (EPA, 2013e)

POTENTIAL KEY FACTOR – REHABILITATION AND DECOMMISSIONING		
4	Consultation - outline the need for consultation and the outcomes of any consultation in relation to the potential environmental impacts, including:	BHP Billiton Iron Ore has consulted with the Department of Mines and Petroleum (DMP) regarding closure and rehabilitation for the wider Eastern Ridge Hub in January 2015.
	anticipated level of public interest in the impact;	BHP Billiton Iron Ore has since provided a copy of the draft referral package to the DMP for
	 consultation with regulatory agencies; and 	review as part of the pre-consultation process for this Proposal.
	consultation with community.	BHP Billiton Iron Ore is committed to ongoing consultation with the DMP throughout this Proposal assessment process.
5	Baseline information - describe the relevant characteristics of the receiving environment.	Details are provided in Table 12 of the Referral supporting document.
	This may include: regional context; known environmental values, current quality, sensitivity to impact, and current level of cumulative impacts.	
6	Impact assessment - describe the potential impact/s that may occur to the environmental factor as a result of implementing the proposal.	Details are provided in Table 12 of the Referral supporting document.
7	Mitigation measures - what measures are proposed to mitigate the potential environmental impacts? The following should be addressed:	Details are provided in Table 12 of the Referral supporting document.
	Avoidance - avoiding the adverse environmental impact altogether;	
	Minimisation - limiting the degree or magnitude of the adverse impact;	
	Rehabilitate – restoring the maximum environmental value that is reasonably practicable; and	
	Offsets – actions that provide environmental benefits to counterbalance significant residual environmental impacts or risks of a project or activity.	

POTENTIAL KEY FACTOR – REHABILITATION AND DECOMMISSIONING		
8	Residual impacts – review the residual impacts against the EPA objectives.	Details are provided in Table 12 of the Referral supporting document.
	It is understood that the extent of any significant residual impacts may be hard to quantify at the referral stage. Referrers are asked to provide, as far as practicable, a discussion on the likely residual impacts and form a conclusion on whether the EPA's objective for this factor would be met if residual impacts remain. This will require:	
	 quantifying the predicted impacts (extent, duration, etc.) acknowledging any uncertainty in predictions; 	
	 putting the impacts into a regional or local context, incorporating knowable cumulative impacts; and 	
	 comparison against any established environmental policies, guidelines, and standards. 	
9	EPA's Objective – from your perspective and based on your review, which option applies to the proposal in relation to this factor? Refer to EAG 9	 ☐ meets the EPA's objective ☐ may meet the EPA's objective ☐ is unlikely to meet the EPA's objective
10	Describe any assumptions critical to your conclusion (in Question 9) e.g. particular mitigation measures or regulatory conditions.	Implementation conditions are suggested in Appendix M of the Referral supporting document.

In circumstances where there was some uncertainty on the level of significance of a particular factor it is recommended that a brief summary (no longer than 1 - 2 paragraphs) is provided on the steps taken to determine why a factor was not considered to be significant.