STATEMENT THAT A REVISED PROPOSAL MAY BE IMPLEMENTED  
(Environmental Protection Act 1986)

MESA A HUB REVISED PROPOSAL

Proposal: The proposal is a revision of the existing Mesa A/Warramboo Iron Ore Project, the subject of Ministerial Statement 756, dated 21 November 2007.

The proposal includes the existing Mesa A/Warramboo Iron Ore Project and a revision which includes the development of additional mine pits and associated infrastructure, water treatment facilities, processing facilities and water management infrastructure, as well as expansion of existing mine pits, waste dumps and associated infrastructure, located approximately 43 km west of Pannawonica as documented in Schedule 1 of this Ministerial Statement.

Proponent: Robe River Mining Co. Pty. Ltd.  
Australian Company Number 008 694 246

Proponent Address: 152-158 St Georges Terrace  
PERTH WA 6000

Report of the Environmental Protection Authority: 1640

Previous Assessment Number: 1574

Previous Reports of the Environmental Protection Authority: 1251, 1264

Previous Statement Number: 756

Pursuant to section 45, read with section 45B of the Environmental Protection Act 1986, it has been agreed that:

1. The proposal described and documented in Table 2 of Schedule 1 of this Statement may be implemented.

2. This Statement supersedes Statement No. 756, and from the date of this Statement each of the implementation conditions in Statement No. 756 no longer apply in relation to the revised proposal.

3. The implementation of the proposal, is subject to the following implementation conditions.
1 Proposal Implementation

1-1 When implementing the proposal, the proponent shall not exceed the authorised extent of the proposal as defined in Table 2 of Schedule 1, unless amendments to the proposal and the authorised extent of the proposal have been approved under the Environmental Protection Act 1986.

2 Contact Details

2-1 The proponent shall notify the CEO of any change of its name, physical address or postal address for the serving of notices or other correspondence within twenty-eight (28) days of such change. Where the proponent is a corporation or an association of persons, whether incorporated or not, the postal address is that of the principal place of business or of the principal office in the State.

3 Compliance Reporting

3-1 The proponent shall prepare, submit and maintain a Compliance Assessment Plan to the CEO within six (6) months of the date of this Statement.

3-2 The Compliance Assessment Plan shall indicate:

(1) the frequency of compliance reporting;
(2) the approach and timing of compliance assessments;
(3) the retention of compliance assessments;
(4) the method of reporting of potential non-compliances and corrective actions taken;
(5) the table of contents of Compliance Assessment Reports; and
(6) public availability of Compliance Assessment Reports.

3-3 After receiving notice in writing from the CEO that the Compliance Assessment Plan satisfies the requirements of condition 3-2 the proponent shall assess compliance with conditions in accordance with the Compliance Assessment Plan required by condition 3-1.

3-4 The proponent shall retain reports of all compliance assessments described in the Compliance Assessment Plan required by condition 3-1 and shall make those reports available when requested by the CEO.

3-5 The proponent shall advise the CEO of any potential non-compliance within seven (7) days of that non-compliance being known.

3-6 The proponent shall submit to the CEO the first Compliance Assessment Report by 30 April 2020 addressing the previous calendar year period and then annually from the date of submission of the first Compliance Assessment Report, or as agreed in writing by the CEO.
The Compliance Assessment Report shall:

1. be endorsed by the proponent’s CEO or a person delegated to sign on the CEO’s behalf;
2. include a statement as to whether the proponent has complied with the conditions;
3. identify all potential non-compliances and describe corrective and preventative actions taken;
4. be made publicly available in accordance with the approved Compliance Assessment Plan; and
5. indicate any proposed changes to the Compliance Assessment Plan required by condition 3-1.

4 Public Availability of Data

4-1 Subject to condition 4-2, within a reasonable time period approved by the CEO of the issue of this Statement and for the remainder of the life of the proposal the proponent shall make publicly available, in a manner approved by the CEO, all validated environmental data (including sampling design, sampling methodologies, empirical data and derived information products (e.g. maps)), management plans and reports relevant to the assessment of this proposal and implementation of this Statement.

4-2 If any parts of the data, plans and reports referred to in condition 4-1 contain particulars of:

1. a secret formula or process; or
2. confidential commercially sensitive information;

the proponent may submit a request for approval from the CEO to not make those parts of the data, plans and reports publicly available. In making such a request the proponent shall provide the CEO with an explanation and reasons why the data, plans and reports should not be made publicly available.

5 Condition Environmental Management Plan(s)

5-1 The proponent shall prepare, to the satisfaction of the CEO, a Condition Environmental Management Plan(s) within six (6) months of this Statement being issued. This plan shall demonstrate that the environmental outcomes specified in conditions 7-1, 9-1, 10-1 and 11-1 and the environmental objectives specified in conditions 7-2, 8-1 and 10-2 will be met.

5-2 For outcome based provisions, the Condition Environmental Management Plan(s) shall:
(1) specify the environmental outcomes to be achieved, as specified in condition 5-1;

(2) specify trigger criteria that must provide an early warning that the threshold criteria may not be met;

(3) specify threshold criteria to demonstrate compliance with the environmental outcomes specified in condition 5-1. Exceedance of the threshold criteria represents non-compliance with these conditions;

(4) specify monitoring to determine if trigger criteria and threshold criteria are exceeded;

(5) specify trigger level actions to be implemented in the event that trigger criteria have been exceeded;

(6) specify threshold contingency actions to be implemented in the event that threshold criteria are exceeded; and

(7) provide the format and timing for the reporting of monitoring results against trigger criteria and threshold criteria to demonstrate that condition 5-1 has been met over the reporting period in the Compliance Assessment Report required by condition 3-6.

5-3 For management based provisions, the Condition Environmental Management Plan(s) shall:

(1) specify the environmental objectives to be achieved, as specified in condition 5-1;

(2) specify management actions to meet the environmental objective;

(3) specify management targets;

(4) specify monitoring to determine if management targets are being met; and

(5) provide the format and timing for the reporting of monitoring results against management targets to demonstrate that condition 5-1 has been met over the reporting period in the Compliance Assessment Report required by condition 3-6.

5-4 After receiving notice in writing from the CEO that the Condition Environmental Management Plan(s) satisfies the requirements of conditions 5-1, 5-2 and 5-3, the proponent shall:

(1) implement the Condition Environmental Management Plan(s), or any subsequent approved versions; and

(2) continue to implement the Condition Environmental Management Plan(s) until the CEO has confirmed by notice in writing that the proponent has
demonstrated the outcomes and objectives specified in condition 5-1 have been met.

5-5 In the event that monitoring, tests, surveys or investigations indicate exceedance of threshold criteria specified in the Condition Environmental Management Plan(s), the proponent shall:

(1) report the exceedance in writing to the CEO within seven (7) days of the exceedance being identified;

(2) implement the threshold level contingency actions specified in the Condition Environmental Management Plan(s) within 24 hours and continue implementation of those actions until the CEO has confirmed by notice in writing that it has been demonstrated that the threshold criteria are being met and the implementation of the threshold contingency actions is no longer required;

(3) investigate to determine the cause of the threshold criteria being exceeded;

(4) investigate to provide information for the CEO to determine potential environmental harm that occurred due to the threshold criteria being exceeded; and

(5) provide a report to the CEO within twenty-one (21) days of the exceedance being reported as required by condition 5-5(1). The report shall include:

(a) details of threshold contingency actions implemented;

(b) the effectiveness of the threshold contingency actions implemented, against the threshold criteria;

(c) the finding of the investigations required by conditions 5-5(3) and 5-5(4);

(d) measures to prevent the threshold criteria being exceeded in the future;

(e) measures to prevent, control or abate the environmental harm which may have occurred; and

(f) justification of the threshold remaining, or being adjusted based on better understanding, demonstrating that outcomes would continue to be met.

5-6 In the event that monitoring, tests, surveys or investigations indicate non-achievement of management target(s) specified in the Condition Environmental Management Plan(s), the proponent must:
(1) report the non-achievement in writing to the CEO within seven (7) days of the non-achievement being identified;

(2) investigate to determine the cause of the management targets not being achieved;

(3) provide a report to the CEO within twenty-one (21) days of the non-achievement being reported as required by condition 5-6(1). The report must include:

(a) cause of non-achievement of management targets;

(b) the findings of the investigation required by condition 5-6(2);

(c) details of revised and/or additional management actions to be implemented to prevent non-achievement of the management target(s); and

(d) relevant changes to proposal activities.

5-7 The proponent:

(1) may review and revise the Condition Environmental Management Plan(s), or

(2) shall review and revise the Condition Environmental Management Plan(s) as and when directed by the CEO.

5-8 The proponent shall implement the latest revision of the Condition Environmental Management Plan(s), which the CEO has confirmed by notice in writing, satisfies the requirements of conditions 5-1, 5-2 and 5-3.

6 **Mesa A Troglofauna Management Plan**

6-1 The proponent shall continue to implement the *Mesa A Troglofauna Management Plan* approved on 4 December 2009 until the CEO has confirmed by notice in writing the Condition Environmental Management Plan required by condition 5-1 satisfies the requirements of condition 5-2 and 5-3 to meet the outcomes of condition 10-1 and the objective of condition 10-2.

7 **Flora and Vegetation - Sand Sheet Vegetation (Robe Valley) Priority Ecological Community**

7-1 The proponent shall manage the implementation of the proposal to meet the following environmental outcome:

(1) The proponent shall ensure there is no direct impact to the Sand Sheet Vegetation (Robe Valley) Priority Ecological Community delineated in Figure 4 of Schedule 1 as a result of implementation of the proposal, other than existing and authorised disturbance.
The proponent shall manage implementation of the proposal to meet the following environmental objective:

(1) The proponent shall minimise indirect impacts due to the proposal as far as practicable to the Sand Sheet Vegetation (Robe Valley) Priority Ecological Community delineated in Figure 4 of Schedule 1 so that the biological diversity and ecological integrity of the Priority Ecological Community are maintained.

8 **Flora and Vegetation – Priority Flora**

8-1 The proponent shall manage the implementation of the proposal to meet the following environmental objective:

(1) Avoid where possible, and minimise direct and indirect impacts to *Abutilon* sp. Onslow (F. Smith s.n. 10/9/61).

8-2 To meet the requirements of condition 8-1, the proponent must conduct a pre-clearance survey for *Abutilon* sp. Onslow (F. Smith s.n. 10/9/61) to inform the final alignment of infrastructure in the Warramboo Borefield extension area.

8-3 The proponent must include the results of the survey required by condition 8-2 in the Compliance Assessment Report required by condition 3-6 to demonstrate that impacts to *Abutilon* sp. Onslow (F. Smith s.n. 10/9/61) are not greater than predicted.

9 **Terrestrial Fauna Habitat – Conservation Significant Fauna Species; Ghost Bat (*Macroderma gigas*) and Northern Quoll (*Dasyurus hallucatus*)**

9-1 The proponent shall manage the implementation of the proposal to meet the following environmental outcome:

(1) The proponent shall ensure there is no irreversible impact, as a result of the proposal, to ‘breakaways and gullies’ habitat retained in the escarpments of Mesa A, Mesa B and Mesa C Mining Exclusion Zones, other than existing and authorised disturbance.

10 **Subterranean Fauna – Troglofauna**

10-1 The proponent shall manage the implementation of the proposal to meet the following environmental outcomes:

(1) The proponent shall ensure that the Mining Exclusion Zone delineated in Figure 4 of Schedule 1 and the sub-floor zone at Mesa A provide for the retention of a minimum of fifty (50) per cent by volume of connected pre-mining troglofauna habitat.

(2) The proponent shall ensure that the Mining Exclusion Zones delineated in Figures 5 and 6 of Schedule 1 provide for the retention of a minimum
of fifty (50) per cent by volume of connected pre-mining troglofauna habitat at Mesa B and Mesa C.

10-2 The proponent shall manage the implementation of the proposal to meet the following environmental objective:

(1) The proponent shall protect the biological diversity and ecological integrity of the troglofauna assemblages of Warramboo, Highway/Tod Bore and Mesa A, Mesa B and Mesa C by minimising impacts as far as practicable.

11 Inland Waters

11-1 The proponent shall manage the implementation of the proposal to meet the following environmental outcomes:

(1) The proponent shall ensure that groundwater levels are maintained to ensure there is no impact to the health of riparian vegetation of the Robe River, as a result of groundwater abstraction and/or dewatering associated with implementation of the proposal.

(2) The proponent shall ensure that there is no irreversible impact to the health of riparian vegetation of Warramboo Creek as a result of groundwater abstraction and/or dewatering associated with implementation of the proposal.

(3) The proponent shall ensure that there is no irreversible impact to the health of riparian vegetation of Warramboo Creek as a result of surplus water discharge associated with implementation of the proposal.

12 Aboriginal Heritage

12-1 Prior to the commencement of ground disturbing activities, the proponent shall consult with the relevant Traditional Owners group(s) and ensure that the proponent complies with its obligations under the Aboriginal Heritage Act 1972.

12-2 That any contractors are made aware of their obligations under the Aboriginal Heritage Act 1972.

13 Rehabilitation and Decommissioning

13-1 The proponent shall manage the implementation of the proposal to meet the following environmental objectives:

(1) Ensure that the proposal is rehabilitated and decommissioned in an ecologically sustainable manner.

(2) Ensure that closure planning and rehabilitation are undertaken in a progressive manner.
13-2 Within twelve (12) months of the issue of this Statement or as otherwise agreed in writing from the CEO, the proponent shall prepare and submit a Mine Closure Plan in accordance with the *Guidelines for Preparing Mine Closure Plans, May 2015* (or any subsequent revisions of the guidelines), to the requirements of the CEO, on advice of the Department of Mines, Industry Regulation and Safety and the Department of Water and Environmental Regulation.

13-3 The proponent shall review and revise the Mine Closure Plan required by condition 13-2 at intervals not exceeding three (3) years, or as otherwise specified by the CEO, and submit the plan to the CEO at the agreed interval.

13-4 The proponent shall implement the latest revision of the Mine Closure Plan, which the CEO has confirmed by notice in writing, satisfies the requirements of condition 13-2.

14 **Offsets**

14-1 In view of the significant residual impacts and risks as a result of implementation of the proposal, the proponent shall contribute funds to the Pilbara Environmental Offsets Fund calculated pursuant to condition 14-2, subject to any reduction approved by the CEO under condition 14-9.

14-2 The proponent’s contribution to the Pilbara Environmental Offsets Fund shall be paid biennially, with the amount to be contributed calculated based on the clearing undertaken in each year of the biennial reporting period in accordance with the rates in condition 14-3. The first biennial reporting period shall commence from vegetation clearing activities for the environmental values identified in condition 14-3.

14-3 Calculated on the 2018 calendar year, the contribution rates are:

1. **$821 AUD (excluding GST) per hectare of ‘Good’ to ‘Excellent’ condition native vegetation, including foraging or dispersal habitat for the Pilbara olive python, northern quoll, Pilbara leaf-nosed bat and the ghost bat, cleared within Area A of the Development Envelope within the Hamersley IBRA subregion (delineated in Figure 7 and defined by the spatial data in Schedule 2).**

2. **$1,642 AUD (excluding GST) per hectare of riparian vegetation associated with the Robe River and/or Warramboo Creek cleared within Area B of the Development Envelope (delineated in Figure 7 and defined by the spatial data in Schedule 2) within the Hamersley and/or Roebourne IBRA subregions.**

3. **$1,642 AUD (excluding GST) per hectare of critical habitat for the northern quoll (‘breakaways and gullies habitat’ and ‘major rivers/creek habitat’) cleared within Area C of the Development Envelope (delineated...**
in Figure 7 and defined by the spatial data in Schedule 2) within the
Hamersley and/or Roebourne IBRA subregions.

14-4 From the commencement of the 2018 calendar year, the rates in condition 14-3 will be adjusted annually each subsequent calendar year in accordance with the percentage change in the CPI applicable to that calendar year.

14-5 Within three months of the issue of this Statement, the proponent shall prepare and submit an Impact Reconciliation Procedure to the CEO, for the CEO to provide written confirmation that the Impact Reconciliation Procedure satisfies the requirements of condition 14-6.

14-6 The Impact Reconciliation Procedure required pursuant to condition 14-5 shall:

(1) state that clearing calculation for the first biennial reporting period will commence from vegetation clearing activities for the environmental values identified in condition 14-3 in accordance with condition 14-2 and end on the second 31 December following this date;

(2) state that clearing calculations for each subsequent biennial reporting period will commence on 1 January of the required reporting period, unless otherwise agreed by the CEO;

(3) include a methodology to calculate the amount of clearing undertaken during each year of the biennial reporting period for each of the environmental values identified in condition 14-3; and

(4) indicate the timing and content of the Impact Reconciliation Reports.

14-7 The proponent shall submit an Impact Reconciliation Report in accordance with the Impact Reconciliation Procedure approved in condition 14-5.

14-8 The Impact Reconciliation Report required pursuant to condition 14-7 shall provide the location and spatial extent of the clearing of each environmental value pursuant to condition 14-3 undertaken for the proposal during each year of the biennial reporting period.

14-9 The proponent may apply in writing and seek the written approval of the CEO to reduce all or part of the contribution payable under condition 14-2 where:

(1) a payment has been made to satisfy a condition of an approval under the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 in relation to the proposal;

(2) the payment is made for the purpose of counterbalancing impacts of the proposal on matters of national environmental significance; and

(3) the payment is made for the purpose of counterbalancing the significant residual impacts to the environmental values identified in condition 14-3.
14-10 The clearing of 3,680 ha previously approved under Statement No. 756 is exempt from the requirement to offset under condition 14-1.

14-11 Where clearing coincides with more than one environmental value under conditions 14-3(1) to 14-3(3), the higher contribution rate applies.

[signed on 21 August 2019]

Hon Stephen Dawson MLC
MINISTER FOR ENVIRONMENT
Table 1: Summary of the proposal

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposal Title</th>
<th>Mesa A Hub Revised Proposal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Short Description</td>
<td>This proposal is a revision of the existing Mesa A/Warramboo Iron Ore Project and includes development of additional mine pits and associated infrastructure, water treatment facilities, processing facilities and water management infrastructure, as well as expansion of existing mine pits, waste dumps and associated infrastructure, located approximately 43 km west of Pannawonica (Figure 1).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Location and authorised extent of physical and operational elements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Authorised Extent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mine and associated infrastructure</td>
<td>Figure 2, Figure 3, GIS coordinates in Schedule 2</td>
<td>Clearing of no more than 6,680 ha within the 16,834 ha Development Envelope, including:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• No more than 7 ha within the Mesa A Mining Exclusion Zone (MEZ) excluding existing and authorised clearing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• No more than 41 ha within the Mesa B MEZ.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• No more than 43 ha within the Mesa C MEZ.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mining depth</td>
<td>Mine operations area, Figure 3</td>
<td>Above water table at Mesa A, Mesa B, and Highway/Tod Bore. Above and below water table at Warramboo and Mesa C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water supply and Warramboo dewatering</td>
<td>Mine operations area and borefield extension area, Figure 3</td>
<td>Abstraction of no more than 15 GL/a of groundwater from the Warramboo dewatering and water supply borefield.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesa C dewatering</td>
<td>Mine operations area, Figure 3</td>
<td>Abstraction of no more than 5 GL/a of groundwater from the Mesa C CID aquifer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surplus water management</td>
<td>Mine operations area and borefield extension area, Figure 3</td>
<td>Controlled surface discharge from the proposal to extend along Warramboo Creek no further than 8 km downstream of the discharge point under natural no-flow conditions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ore processing (waste)</td>
<td>Mine operations area, Figure 3</td>
<td>In-pit disposal of waste fines at Warramboo.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Backfilling</td>
<td>Mine operations area, Figure 3</td>
<td>Below water table pits will be backfilled to a level which will not allow the formation of permanent pit lakes.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 3: Abbreviations and Definitions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym, Abbreviation or Term</th>
<th>Definition or Term</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CEO</td>
<td>The Chief Executive Officer of the Department of the Public Service of the State responsible for the administration of section 48 of the <em>Environmental Protection Act 1986</em>, or their delegate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CID</td>
<td>Channel Iron Deposit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition environmental objective</td>
<td>The proposal-specific desired state for an environmental factor/s, to be achieved from the implementation of management-based Condition EMP provisions, as required in a management-based implementation condition.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition environmental outcome</td>
<td>The proposal-specific desired state for an environmental factor/s to be achieved from the implementation of outcome-based Condition EMP provisions, as required in an outcomes-based implementation condition.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPI</td>
<td>The All Groups Consumer Price Index numbers for Perth compiled and published by the Australian Bureau of Statistics.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPA</td>
<td>Environmental Protection Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EP Act</td>
<td><em>Environmental Protection Act 1986</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIS</td>
<td>Geographical Information System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GL/a</td>
<td>Gigalitres per annum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ground disturbing activity</td>
<td>Activities that are associated with the substantial implementation of a proposal including but not limited to, digging (with mechanised equipment), blasting, earthmoving, vegetation clearance, grading, gravel extraction, construction of new or widening of existing roads and tracks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ha</td>
<td>Hectare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IBRA</td>
<td>Interim Biogeographic Regionalisation for Australia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>km</td>
<td>Kilometre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management actions</td>
<td>Identified actions undertaken to mitigate the impacts of implementation of a proposal on the environment and achieve the condition environmental objective.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management target</td>
<td>A measurable boundary of acceptable impact with proposal or sites specific parameters, that assesses the efficacy of management actions against the condition environmental objective and beyond which management actions have to be reviewed and revised. Proposal- or site-specific parameters may include location, scale, time period, specific species/ population/community and a relative benchmark (e.g. baseline or reference).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pilbara Environmental Offsets Fund</td>
<td>The special purpose account that has been created pursuant to section 16(1)(d) of the <em>Financial Management Act 2006</em> by the Department of Water and Environmental Regulation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Threshold criteria</td>
<td>Environmental criteria representative of the limit of acceptable impact beyond which indicate that the environmental outcome is not being met.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trigger criteria</td>
<td>Environmental criteria that forewarn of the approach of the threshold criteria and signal the need to undertake trigger level actions to ensure the threshold criteria are not exceeded.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acronym, Abbreviation or Term</td>
<td>Definition or Term</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Threshold contingency actions</td>
<td>Response actions that are implemented when monitoring shows that threshold criteria have been exceeded.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trigger level actions</td>
<td>Response actions that are implemented when monitoring shows that trigger criteria have been exceeded.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figures (attached)**

- Figure 1: Regional Location
- Figure 2: Development Envelope
- Figure 3: Proposal Areas
- Figure 4: Mesa A Mining Exclusion Zone and Sand Sheet Priority Ecological Community Mining Exclusion Zone
- Figure 5: Mesa B Mining Exclusion Zone
- Figure 6: Mesa C Mining Exclusion Zone
- Figure 7: Area A (Good to Excellent Condition Vegetation in the Hamersley Sub-region), Area B (Riparian Vegetation) and Area C (Critical Habitat for the northern quoll)
Figure 2: Development Envelope
Figure 3: Proposal Areas
Figure 4: Mesa A Mining Exclusion Zone and Sand Sheet Priority Ecological Community Mining Exclusion Zone
Figure 5: Mesa B Mining Exclusion Zone
Figure 6: Mesa C Mining Exclusion Zone
Figure 7: Area A (Good to Excellent Condition Vegetation in the Hamersley Sub-region), Area B (Riparian Vegetation) and Area C (Critical Habitat for the Northern Quoll)
Schedule 2

Geographical spatial data coordinates
Coordinates defining the following are held by the Department of Water and Environmental Regulation under the following reference numbers:

5. Fauna habitat: 2019-1553576398876
6. Vegetation condition: 2019-1557130644851
7. Riparian vegetation: 2019-1557726343261

All coordinates are in metres, listed in Map Grid of Australia Zone 50 (MGA Zone 50), datum of Geocentric Datum of Australia 1994 (GDA94).